

Applications for abelian varieties I: the structure theorem(s)

§1. Results

A/\mathbb{C} ab var. $A^g = \{(L, \nabla) \mid \text{line bundle w/ conn.}\} / \sim$

Defn M left \mathcal{D}_A -module.

$$S_m^k(A, M) = \{(L, \nabla) \in A^g \mid \dim H^k(A, DR_A(M \otimes (L, \nabla))) \geq m\} \subseteq A^g$$

Defn a linear subvariety of A^g is a subset of the form

$$(L, \nabla) \otimes \text{im}(\phi^g: B^g \rightarrow A^g)$$

$f: A \rightarrow B$ surj, conn fibers, B ab var.

this is arithmetic if (L, ∇) can be taken to be a torsion point

Theorem 1 (T.2) $M \in \mathcal{D}_h^b(\mathcal{D}_A)$.

a) $S_m^k(A, M)$ is a finite union of linear subvars.

b) if M semisimple, regular, of geometric origin, the lin subvars can be taken to be arithmetic.

Defn $\text{Char} A = \text{characters of } \pi_1(A, 0)$. $\rho \in \text{Char}(A) \mapsto \mathbb{C}_\rho$ local system.

$$\text{if } K \in \mathcal{D}_c^b(\mathbb{C}_A), S_m^k(A, K) = \{\rho \in \text{Char} A \mid \dim H^k(A, K \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{C}_\rho) \geq m\}.$$

Prmk $\Phi: A^g \rightarrow \text{Char} A; (L, \nabla) \mapsto \ker \nabla$

analytic isomorphism.

Method of proving T.1.a) Simpson $Z \subseteq A^g, Z, \Phi(Z)$ algebraic $\Rightarrow Z, \Phi(Z)$ are finite unions of linear subvars.

- show $S_m^k(M) \subseteq A^g$

$$S_m^k(DR(M)) \subseteq \text{Char} A \text{ alg}$$

- show $\Phi(S_m^k(M)) = S_m^k(DR(M))$

recall $FM_A: \mathcal{D}_{\text{rh}}^b(\mathcal{D}_A) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{D}_{\text{rh}}^b(\mathbb{C}_A^g)$

Theorem 2 (T.2) $M \in \mathcal{D}_h^b(\mathcal{D}_A)$. $\text{Supp} FM_A(M)$ is a fin union of linear subvars. M geom origin \Rightarrow arithmetic.

§2. Cohomology of constructible complexes (§13)

(prove $S_m^k(K) \subseteq \text{Char} A$ algebraic.) use "key lemma"

Write $A = V/\Lambda$, V \mathbb{C} -space dim g .

$$\Lambda \simeq \pi_1(A, 0) \text{ lattice r.t. } \mathbb{Z}^g.$$

$$\text{Char} A = \text{Spec } \mathbb{C}[\Lambda]$$

Defn M a $\mathbb{C}[\Lambda]$ -module. then $\Lambda \curvearrowright M \rightsquigarrow \mathcal{L}_M$ local system of $\mathbb{C}[\Lambda]$ -modules. note $\mathcal{L}_{\mathbb{C}[\Lambda]} \simeq \pi_1 \mathbb{C}_V, \pi: V \rightarrow A$.

Lemma (13.3) \mathcal{F} fine sheaf of \mathbb{C} -spaces on A

$$(\mathcal{F} \text{ fine} \Leftrightarrow \forall \{U_i\} \exists f_i \in \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{F})$$

$\cdot \text{supp } f_i \subseteq U_i, \cdot \{ \text{supp } f_i \}$ locally finite, $\cdot \sum f_i = \text{Id}_{\mathcal{F}}$.)

then $H^0(A, \mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{L}_{\mathbb{C}[\Lambda]})$ is a flat $\mathbb{C}[\Lambda]$ -module

$$\text{and } H^0(A, \mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{L}_M) \simeq H^0(A, \mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{L}_{\mathbb{C}[\Lambda]}) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}[\Lambda]} M.$$

Pf $F: M \mapsto H^0(A, \mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{L}_M)$ exact + preserves \otimes .

$$\text{Cohenberg-Watts: } F \simeq H^0(A, \mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{L}_{\mathbb{C}[\Lambda]}) \otimes -$$

Proposition (13.4) $K \in \mathcal{D}_c^b(\mathbb{C}_A), M \in \mathbb{C}[\Lambda]$ -mod.

$$R\rho_* (K \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{L}_M) \simeq R\rho_* (K \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{L}_{\mathbb{C}[\Lambda]}) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}[\Lambda]}^{\mathbb{L}} M$$

Pf we can choose a \mathbb{L} -complex of fine sheaves \mathcal{F}

which is giso to K :

$$K \simeq DR_A(M). \quad K \simeq [A_A^0 \otimes M \rightarrow A_A^1 \otimes M \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow A_A^{2g} \otimes M]$$

it is easy to see that any A_A^i -module is a fine sheaf.

$$\begin{aligned} R\rho_* (K \otimes \mathcal{L}_M) &\simeq [H^0(A, \mathcal{F} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{L}_M)] \\ &\simeq [H^0(A, \mathcal{F} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{L}_{\mathbb{C}[\Lambda]})] \otimes_{\mathbb{C}[\Lambda]}^{\mathbb{L}} M \\ &= R\rho_* (K \otimes \mathcal{L}_{\mathbb{C}[\Lambda]}) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}[\Lambda]}^{\mathbb{L}} M. \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

Corollary $\rho \in \text{Char} A$.

$$R\rho_* (K \otimes \mathbb{C}_\rho) \simeq R\rho_* (K \otimes \mathbb{C}[\Lambda]) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}[\Lambda]}^{\mathbb{L}} \mathbb{C}[\Lambda] / \mathfrak{m}_\rho$$

Pf $\mathbb{C}_\rho = \mathcal{L}_{\mathbb{C}[\Lambda] / \mathfrak{m}_\rho}$. \square

Key lemma (13.7) E perfect complex on scheme X .

$$\{x \in X \mid \dim H^k(E \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_x}^{\mathbb{L}} \mathcal{O}_{x, \mathbb{Z}} / \mathfrak{m}_x) \geq m\}$$

is the set of closed pts of a closed subscheme.

Proof local. $X = \text{Spec} R, P \subseteq R$ prime.

set of primes for which $\dim H^k(E_P) \geq m$ is equal to

$$\bigcup_{i \geq 0} \{P \mid \text{rk}(\text{ker } d_{k+i}) \geq m+i\} \cap \{P \mid \text{rk}(\text{ker } d_{k-1}) \geq \text{rk}(E_{k-1})\}$$

this is defined by vanishing of minors of d_k, d_{k-1} . \square

Theorem (13.6) $K \in \mathcal{D}_c^b(\mathbb{C}_A), S_m^k(A, K) \subseteq \text{Char} A$ is algebraic.

$$\text{Proof } S_m^k(A, K) = \{\rho \in \text{Char} A \mid \dim H^k(R\rho_* (K \otimes \mathcal{L}_{\mathbb{C}[\Lambda]}) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}[\Lambda]}^{\mathbb{L}} \mathbb{C}[\Lambda] / \mathfrak{m}_\rho) \geq m\}$$

apply key lemma $X = \text{Spec } \mathbb{C}[\Lambda], E = R\rho_* (K \otimes \mathcal{L}_{\mathbb{C}[\Lambda]})$. \square

§3. Comparison theorems $\Phi: A^g \rightarrow \text{Char} A$.

Theorem (14.1) $M \in \mathcal{D}_h^b(\mathcal{D}_A)$

$$\Phi(S_m^k(A, M)) = S_m^k(A, DR_A(M))$$

Proof given (L, ∇) . $\ker \nabla \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{O}_A \simeq L$

$$(\Omega_A^{g-i} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} M^i) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \ker \nabla \xrightarrow{\sim} \Omega_A^{g-i} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} M^i \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} L$$

compatible with differentials.

$$DR_A(M) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \ker \nabla \xrightarrow{\sim} DR_A(M \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} L)$$

$$\dim H^k(DR(M) \otimes \ker \nabla) \geq m \Leftrightarrow \dim H^k(DR(M \otimes L)) \geq m \quad \square$$

Theorem (14.2) $M \in \mathcal{D}_h^b(\mathcal{D}_A)$

$$R\Phi_* FM_A(M) \simeq R\rho_* (DR_A(M) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{L}_{\mathbb{C}[\Lambda]}) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}[\Lambda]}^{\mathbb{L}} \mathbb{C}[\Lambda]$$

§4. Structure theorem(s) (§15, 16)

Theorem (Simpson) $Z \subseteq A^g$ cl subset of A^g . If $Z, \Phi(Z)$ algebraic,

then Z (and $\Phi(Z)$) are finite unions of linear subvars.

Proposition (15.2) $M \in \mathcal{D}_{\text{rh}}^b(\mathcal{D}_A)$. Then $S_m^k(A, M)$ is algebraic.

Proof given (L, ∇)

$$\{(L, \nabla)\} \xleftarrow{p} A \times \{(L, \nabla)\} \xrightarrow{q} A$$

$$\downarrow i_{(L, \nabla)} \quad \downarrow i \quad \nearrow p_1$$

$$A^g \xleftarrow{p_2} A \times A^g$$

$$\mathbb{L}i_{(L, \nabla)}^* FM_A(M) \simeq R\rho_{2,*} \mathbb{L}i^* DR_{A \times A^g / A^g}(p_1^* M \otimes p_2^*)$$

$$\simeq R\rho_{2,*} DR_A(M \otimes \mathbb{L}i^* p_2^*)$$

$$\simeq R\rho_{2,*} DR_A(M \otimes (L, \nabla))$$

$FM_A(M) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{E} \leftarrow \text{loc free}$

$$S_m^k(A, M) = \{(L, \nabla) \in A^g \mid \dim H^k(i_{(L, \nabla)}^*(\mathcal{E}^i)) \geq m\}$$

by key lemma, \square

Thm 1.a) follows immediately.

Proof Thm 2 $\text{Supp} FM_A(M)$ is algebraic.

$$\Phi(\text{Supp} FM_A(M)) \simeq \text{Supp } R\Phi_* FM_A(M)$$

$$\simeq \text{Supp } (R\rho_* (DR(M) \otimes \mathcal{L}_{\mathbb{C}[\Lambda]}) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}[\Lambda]}^{\mathbb{L}} \mathbb{C}[\Lambda])$$

is algebraic. \square

Defn $M \in \mathcal{D}_{\text{rh}, \text{ss}}^b(\mathcal{D}_A)$ is of geometric origin if it

can be obtained from \mathcal{O}_- after applications of

$H^i f_+, H^i f_-, H^i f_!, H^i f^!, \psi_*, \phi_{g,1}, D, \otimes, \oplus, R\Gamma_{\text{flam}}$,

subquotients.

Fact any ss rh \mathcal{D} -mod of geom origin is a direct summand

of rh \mathcal{D} -mods of geom origin w/ \mathbb{Q} -structure.

Now, given $\sigma \in \text{Aut}(\mathbb{C}/\mathbb{Q})$. $A^\sigma, L^\sigma, \nabla^\sigma$.

$$c_\sigma: A^g \rightarrow (A^\sigma)^g \text{ iso of ab grps}$$

Defn $Z \subseteq A^g$ absolute closed if

$$\Phi(c_\sigma(Z)) \subseteq \text{Char}(A^\sigma) \text{ closed + } \mathbb{Q}\text{-str } \forall \sigma.$$

Thm [Simpson] Z abs cl \Rightarrow fin union of arithmetic linear subvars.

Lemma $M \in \mathcal{D}_{\text{rh}}^b(\mathcal{D}_A)$ w/ \mathbb{Q} -structure.

then $S_m^k(DR(M)) \subseteq \text{Char} A$ has \mathbb{Q} -structure.

Proof of T.1.b)

$$\text{case 1 } M \text{ has } \mathbb{Q}\text{-structure } c_\sigma(S_m^k(M)) = S_m^k(M^\sigma)$$

so by lemma, $c_\sigma(S_m^k(M^\sigma))$ closed + defined over \mathbb{Q} .

Simpson $\Rightarrow S_m^k(M)$ fin union with lin subvars

case 2 general M M summand of ss rh \mathcal{D} -mods w/ \mathbb{Q} -structure of geom origin.

$M =$ direct sum of simple subquotients of rh \mathcal{D} -mods w/ \mathbb{Q} -str.

it's easy to see (15.6) that such simple subquotients have \mathbb{Q} -structure. M has \mathbb{Q} -structure. \square