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## Lattice parameters

Why do we need them? How accurate they have to be?

```
% crystal symmetry
CS_all = {...
    'notIndexed',...
    crystalSymmetry('m-3m', [2.866 2.866 2.866], 'mineral', 'Kamacite', 'color', 'light blue'),...
    crystalSymmetry('m-3m', [3.656 3.656 3.656], 'mineral', 'Taenite', 'color', 'light green'),...
    crystalSymmetry('l l 2/m', [10.4192 6.0186 4.7768], [90,90,90.952]*degree, 'mineral', 'Sarcopside', 'color', 'magenta'),...
    crystalSymmetry('-4', [9.04 9.04 4.462], 'min|ral', 'Schreibersite', 'color', 'yellow')};
CS=CS_all;
```

MTEX mainly works with angles to display pole figures of any kind.

## Generally valid computations


[hkl]* (reciprocal lattice)

| Angle | $\cos \varrho=\frac{\boldsymbol{R}_{1} \cdot \boldsymbol{R}_{2}}{\left\|\boldsymbol{R}_{1}\right\| \cdot\left\|\boldsymbol{R}_{2}\right\|}$ | $\cos \varphi=\frac{\boldsymbol{R}_{1}^{*} \cdot \boldsymbol{R}_{2}^{*}}{\left\|\boldsymbol{R}_{1}^{*}\right\| \cdot\left\|\boldsymbol{R}_{2}^{*}\right\|}$ |
| :--- | ---: | :--- |
| Length | $\left\|\boldsymbol{R}_{i}\right\|=\sqrt{\boldsymbol{R}_{i} \cdot \boldsymbol{R}_{i}}$ | $\left\|\boldsymbol{R}_{i}^{*}\right\|=\sqrt{\boldsymbol{R}_{i}^{*} \cdot \boldsymbol{R}_{i}^{*}}$ |
| Dot | $\boldsymbol{R}_{i} \cdot \boldsymbol{R}_{j}=[u v w]_{i} \cdot \mathbf{G} \cdot\left[\begin{array}{c}u \\ v \\ w\end{array}\right]_{j}$ | $\boldsymbol{R}_{i} * \cdot \boldsymbol{R}_{j}^{*}=[h k l]_{i}^{*} \cdot \mathbf{G}^{*} \cdot\left[\begin{array}{c}h \\ k \\ l\end{array}\right]_{j}^{*}$ |

## Metric tensor


From this follows:
For angle computations we only need the lattice parameter ratios

$$
\frac{a_{\mathrm{o}}}{b_{\mathrm{o}}}, \frac{c_{\mathrm{o}}}{b_{\mathrm{o}}} \quad \text { and } \quad \alpha, \beta, \gamma
$$

These data are available from a single EBSD pattern with similar accuracy to orientations.

How is that possible?

## High correlation

between bands, intersections and widths

An EBSD pattern can be seen as visual representation of two coupled, highly overdetermined systems of equations.

- Only 12 numbers are unknown:
- In maximum (!) six lattice parameters: $a, b, c, \alpha, \beta, \gamma$
- the projection center: $\left(\mathrm{PC}_{x}, \mathrm{PC}_{y}, \mathrm{PC}_{z}\right)$ (assumed to be known!)
- the orientation: $\left(\varphi_{1}, \Phi, \varphi_{2}\right)$
- A single EBSD pattern delivers 50-200 bands described by distance, slope, and width, effectively providing 150-600 numerical values for the determination of only 9 unknowns.
- The high correlation results from

1. crystal and reciprocal lattice which are translation lattices:

$$
[u v w]_{k}=[u v w]_{i}+[u v w]_{j} \quad[h k l]_{k}^{*}=[h k l]_{i}^{*}+[h k l]_{j}^{*}
$$

2. simple relationships between crystal and reciprocal lattice:

$$
[u v w]_{r}=[h k l]_{s}^{*} \times[h k l]_{t}^{*} \quad[h k l]_{n}^{*}=[u v w]_{p} \times[u v w]_{q}
$$

## Inherent constrains

## Principle

Question: How well is a circle described by a point cloud when we know it is a circle?

- The black circle between the blue ones (deviation range) is the one we are looking for.
- The blue dots are randomly shifted from the black circle.
- The red circle is drawn using the average diameter derived from the blue dots.

Insight: If the shape of an object is known, detection is easier and much more accurate.
(cf. car license plate recognition from a few pixels only)

For EBSD patterns follows, mainly from projective geometry:

- In a pattern lattice plane traces are straight lines.
- Intersections of traces describe lattice directions: two (hkI) $\Rightarrow$ [uvw].
- But also two [uvw] $\Rightarrow$ (hkl).
- The indices $\mathbf{h}, \mathbf{k}, \mathbf{l}$ as well as $\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w}$ are small integers.


## Pattern analysis in CALM

Crystallographic Analysis of the Lattice Metric

1. The projection center (pattern center + DD): 4-direction approach.
2. The trace positions of lattice planes: 4-line approach.
3. The bandwidth definition / selection.

Please note:
High resolution patterns are beneficial but not absolutely necessary!


## Edge filtered Funk transform

Spherical Radon transform of great circles


- Stereographic projection of the reciprocal lattice.
- (uvw)* are great circles.


$$
[u v w] \perp(u v w)^{*}
$$

## Edge filtered Funk transform

Spherical Radon transform of great circles



In the red zone 33 bands can be discovered.

- Stereographic projection of the reciprocal lattice.
- (uvw)* are great circles.
- [hkl]* are the (blue) centered points in ring-shaped features.
- The bars are tiny misalignments of the traces.

$$
[u v w] \perp(u v w)^{*}
$$

## Band profiles

- For each band its profile (light gray curve) can be displayed.
- The extrema - left: minima, right: maxima - of the $1^{\text {st }}$ derivative (red curve) indicate possible interference orders.
- The bars at the blue dots display $\theta$ asym, the asymmetric position of the extrema (green lines) in [ ${ }^{\circ}$ ].



## Lattice parameters and ratio

## Corundum (rhombohedral)

72 experimental patterns of different orientation and resolution show:


The lattice parameter ratios have a deviation <0.6\%.


The lattice parameters also scatter only $\pm \mathbf{1 . 5 \%}$ around a value which is, however, shifted by -3.0\%.

## Possible applications

## Main focus

- Lattice parameter and Bravais lattice extraction
- Symmetry approximation
- Lattice parameter ratio mapping

But secondarily other alternative applications

- (Pseudo)symmetry evaluation
- Phase confirmation (superstructures)
- Pattern overlapping
- Projection center confirmation
- Indexing of EBSD patterns
- Charging (local pattern distortions; landing energy)
- Excess deficiency impact
- and of course: TEACHING


## CALM: Crystallographic Analysis of the Lattice Metric


 $\begin{array}{lll}\mathrm{c}=4.322 & \beta=90.6 & \mathrm{a} / \mathrm{b}=0.61 \\ \mathrm{c}=5.788 & \mathrm{y}=89.9 & \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{b}=1.339\end{array}$
 $\begin{array}{lll}\mathrm{a}=6.474 & \mathrm{c}=89.9 & \mathrm{Vol}=72.6 \\ \mathrm{~b}=2.902 & \beta=90.6 \\ \mathrm{c}=4.322 & \mathrm{y} / \mathrm{b}=2.231 \\ \mathrm{y}=63.4 & \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{b}=1.489\end{array}$

Triclinic, ap
$=2.902$
$\alpha=90.1 \quad$ Vol $=72.6$ $\begin{array}{lll}\begin{array}{lll}a=2.902 & & \alpha=90.1\end{array} & \text { Vol=72.6 } \\ \mathrm{b}=4.322 & \beta=90.8 & \mathrm{a} / \mathrm{b}=0.671 \\ c=5.788 & y=89.9 & c / b=1.339\end{array}$

