

Geometric Perron-Frobenius theory in finite dimensions

Hans Schneider

Chemnitz
October 2010

geompfchmn

2010.09.08, 11:05

September 8, 2010

Definition

K a cone in \mathbb{R}^n

- 1 $K + K \subseteq K$
 $(x, y \in K \implies x + y \in K)$
- 2 $\mathbb{R}_+ K \subseteq K$
 $(\alpha \geq 0, x \in K \implies \alpha x \in K)$
- 3 K pointed
 $K \cap -K = \{0\}$
 $(x, -x \in K \implies x = 0)$

Definition

K a cone in \mathbb{R}^n

- 1 $K + K \subseteq K$
 $(x, y \in K \implies x + y \in K)$
- 2 $\mathbb{R}_+ K \subseteq K$
 $(\alpha \geq 0, x \in K \implies \alpha x \in K)$
- 3 K pointed
 $K \cap -K = \{0\}$
 $(x, -x \in K \implies x = 0)$

We assume K closed (in Euclidean topology of \mathbb{R}^n)

Definition

cone K proper:

$$\text{span}(K) = K - K = \mathbb{R}^n$$

$$(\forall z \in \mathbb{R}^n \exists x, y \in K, z = x - y)$$

Definition

cone K proper:

$$\text{span}(K) = K - K = \mathbb{R}^n$$

$$(\forall z \in \mathbb{R}^n \exists x, y \in K, z = x - y)$$

proper cone p.o.'s \mathbb{R}^n

$$y \geq x : y - x \in K$$

Definition

cone K proper:

$$\text{span}(K) = K - K = \mathbb{R}^n$$

$$(\forall z \in \mathbb{R}^n \exists x, y \in K, z = x - y)$$

proper cone p.o.'s \mathbb{R}^n

$$y \geq x : y - x \in K$$

$$x \geq 0 \iff x \in K$$

$$x > 0 \iff x \in \text{int } K$$

Definition

F a face of cone K – $F \trianglelefteq K$:

F is a subcone of K and

$x \in F, 0 \leq y \leq x \implies y \in F$

Definition

F a face of cone K – $F \triangleleft K$:

F is a subcone of K and

$$x \in F, 0 \leq y \leq x \implies y \in F$$

F a proper face of K :

$$F \neq 0, F \neq K$$

\mathbb{R}_+^n
Faces: $(+, 0, +0, \dots, 0, +)$

\mathbb{R}_+^n

Faces: $(+, 0, +0, \dots, 0, +)$

$$(x_1^2 + x_2^2 + \dots + x_{n-1}^2)^{1/2} \leq x_n$$

Proper faces:

$$(x_1^2 + x_2^2 + \dots + x_{n-1}^2) \leq x_n$$

Extremals

$$\mathbb{R}_+^n$$

Faces: $(+, 0, +0, \dots, 0, +)$

$$(x_1^2 + x_2^2 + \dots + x_{n-1}^2)^{1/2} \leq x_n$$

Proper faces:

$$(x_1^2 + x_2^2 + \dots + x_{n-1}^2) \leq x_n$$

Extremals

(Real) Space: Hermitian $n \times n$ matrices

Cone: Positive semi-definite matrices

Faces: Matrices similt similar to $0_k \oplus X$

K a proper cone in \mathbb{R}^n
 $A \geq 0 : AK \subseteq K$ $A > 0 : AK \subseteq \text{int}(K)$

K a proper cone in \mathbb{R}^n

$A \geq 0 : AK \subseteq K \quad A > 0 : AK \subseteq \text{int}(K)$

F an invariant face:

$$AF \subseteq F$$

K a proper cone in \mathbb{R}^n

$$A \geq 0 : AK \subseteq K \quad A > 0 : AK \subseteq \text{int}(K)$$

F an invariant face:

$$AF \subseteq F$$

$A \geq 0$ irreducible:

A leaves no proper face of K invariant:

$$\iff (I + A)^{n-1} > 0$$

The aim is to relate the structure of invariant faces of the cone to the spectral properties of an operator nonnegative w.r.t. the cone.

The aim is to relate the structure of invariant faces of the cone to the spectral properties of an operator nonnegative w.r.t. the cone.

As we related the graph theoretic and spectral properties of a nonnegative matrix both in classical nonneg alg and max alg.

Theorem

$A \geq 0$ irreducible

- $\rho(A) \in \text{spec}(A)$
- $\rho(A)$ simple eigenvalue
- $\exists! x > 0, Ax = \rho x$
- $y \geq 0, Ay = \lambda y \implies \lambda = \rho, y = x$

Theorem

$A \geq 0$ irreducible

- $\text{spec rad } \rho(A) \in \text{spec}(A)$
- $\rho(A)$ simple eigenvalue
- $\exists! x > 0, Ax = \rho x$
- $y \geq 0, Ay = \lambda y \implies \lambda = \rho, y = x$

And for reducible matrices?

$$\rho_i := \rho(A_{ii})$$

i is a distinguished vertex: $i \xleftarrow{*} j \implies \rho_i > \rho_j$

Theorem

Let A be a nonnegative matrix in FNF. Then the nonnegative eigenvectors of A correspond to the distinguish vertices of A : for for each distinguished vertex i of $\Delta(A)$ there is nonnegative eigenvector x^i with $Ax^i = \rho_i x^i$ such that

$$x_j^i > 0 \quad \text{if } i \xleftarrow{*} j$$

$$x_j^i = 0 \quad \text{otherwise}$$

These are linearly independent, and all others are nonneg lin combs.

$F \trianglelefteq K$: F an invariant face of K

$$\rho_F = \rho(A|_F)$$

$F \trianglelefteq K$ distinguished:

$$F, G \text{ invariant, } G \triangleleft F \implies \rho_G < \rho_F$$

Theorem

K a proper cone in \mathbb{R}^n . Each distinguished face contains an eigenvector of ρ_F in its relative interior.

For any particular eigenvalue, the eigenvectors so obtained are the extremals of the cone of nonnegative eigenvectors.

$F \trianglelefteq K$ is *semi-distinguished* :

$$G \trianglelefteq F, G \text{ invariant} \implies \rho_G \leq \rho_F$$

$F \trianglelefteq K$ is *semi-distinguished* :

$$G \trianglelefteq F, G \text{ invariant} \implies \rho_G \leq \rho_F$$

$A \in \mathbb{R}_+^{n \times n}$ in FNF

$\text{ind}_\lambda(A) := \max \text{ size of } J\text{-block for } \lambda$

$$= \min\{k : \mathcal{N} = \mathcal{N}(\lambda I - A)^{k+1} = \mathcal{N}(\lambda I - A)^k\}$$

$F \trianglelefteq K$ is *semi-distinguished* :

$$G \trianglelefteq F, G \text{ invariant} \implies \rho_G \leq \rho_F$$

$A \in \mathbb{R}_+^{n \times n}$ in FNF

$\text{ind}_\lambda(A) := \max \text{ size of } J\text{-block for } \lambda$

$$= \min \{ k : \mathcal{N} = \mathcal{N}(\lambda I - A)^{k+1} = \mathcal{N}(\lambda I - A)^k \}$$

Invariant $F\lambda$ -face: $\rho_F = \lambda$

$$K \triangleright F_1 \triangleright F_2 \triangleright \dots \triangleright F$$

: proper chain of inv semi-dist λ faces

$\text{ord}_\lambda = \max \text{ length of such a chain of faces}$

Tam-S (2001)

Theorem

- $ind_{\lambda} \leq ord_{\lambda}$
- If K is polyhedral (fin gen), $ind_{\rho} = ord_{\rho}$

Tam-S (2001)

Theorem

- $ind_{\lambda} \leq ord_{\lambda}$
- If K is polyhedral (fin gen), $ind_{\rho} = ord_{\rho}$

If $K = \mathbb{R}_+^n$ this is a generalization by Hershkowitz-S of Rothblum's theorem (case $\lambda = \rho$)

Tam-S, Matrices leaving a cone invariant , Art. 26,
Handbook of Linear Algebra (ed. L. Hogben) 2007

Tam-S, Matrices leaving a cone invariant , Art. 26,
Handbook of Linear Algebra (ed. L. Hogben) 2007

THANK YOU

And please allow me one more slide!

17.10.50

LINEAR OPERATIONS IN PROBABILITY.

Prof. A.C. AITKEN

Fundamental laws. in prob.:

(I) "Addition theorem" for mutually exclusive events:

If E_1, E_2, \dots, E_n are n events, mutually exclusive, respect. prob., p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n ,range $\sum p_i = 1$.then the prob. in a single trial, of E_1, E_2, \dots, E_n happen. is

$$p_1 + p_2 + \dots + p_n$$

(II) Multiplication law, for indep. events
If $E_1, E_2, E_3, \dots, E_n$ are indep. events, or events belonging to indep. systems, [the p_i are not nec. sum to 1] the prob. that all events occur together is $p_1 p_2 \dots p_n$.(III) Multiplication for dep. events is prescribed