

Counting tropically-induced log curves

Henry Dakin

In the previous talk we gave a method to construct a marked parameterized tropical curve from a marked torically transverse log curve. The aim of this talk is to calculate exactly how many torically transverse log curves induce a particular parameterized tropical curve. We will show that this number equals the multiplicity of the marked parameterized tropical curve h , in the case that h is simple, that the number of marked points equals $|\deg h| - 1$, and under an extra condition on the weights of h that we will describe shortly.

We are given as in the last talk the data $P_1, \dots, P_s \in M$, with $s = |\underline{\Delta}| - 1$, \mathcal{P} a good polyhedral decomposition of $M_{\mathbb{R}}$, and general points $Q_1, \dots, Q_s \in \mathbb{G}(\widehat{M})$, giving points $q_i = \sigma_i(0) \in X_0$. Let

$$h : (\Gamma, x_1, \dots, x_s) \rightarrow M_{\mathbb{R}}$$

be a marked parameterized tropical curve with $h(x_i) = P_i$, with h simple of genus 0 and degree Δ .

To count the number of marked torically transverse log curves whose associated tropical curve is h , we will first count the number of morphisms of schemes that can underly such a log curve. More specifically, we define:

Definition 0.1. A *torically transverse pre-log curve* in X_0 is a stable map $f : C \rightarrow X_0$ with C a curve, such that for every vertex $v \in \mathcal{P}$, $f^{-1}(D_v) \rightarrow D_v$ is torically transverse, and such that, if $x \in C$ such that $f(x) \in \text{Sing}(X_0)$,

1. x is a double point of C , contained in two distinct irreducible components C_1 and C_2 of C , and $f(C_i) \subseteq D_{v_i}$ for $i = 1, 2$, for $v_1, v_2 \in \mathcal{P}$ distinct vertices connected by some edge $\omega \in \mathcal{P}$.
2. The multiplicities of the intersection of C_i at x with $D_{\omega} \subseteq D_{v_i}$ agree, for $i = 1, 2$.

Note. Identically to in the last talk, we may construct a marked parameterized tropical curve from a marked torically transverse pre-log curve. For f^\dagger a torically transverse log curve (f a torically transverse pre-log curve, respectively), we will write h_{f^\dagger} (h_f , respectively) for the associated marked parameterized tropical curve.

Note. See that, by Proposition 4.9 of [2], the underlying morphism of schemes of a torically transverse log curve is a torically transverse pre-log curve.

Definition 0.2.

$$\begin{aligned}
 N_h^{\log} &= \left| \left\{ f^\dagger : C^\dagger \rightarrow X_0^\dagger \text{ a t.t. log curve in } X_0^\dagger \text{ with } h_{f^\dagger} = h \text{ and } f(x_i) = q_i \right\} / \sim \right|, \\
 N_h^{\text{pre-log}} &= \left| \left\{ f : C \rightarrow X_0 \text{ a t.t. pre-log curve in } X_0 \text{ with } h_f = h \text{ and } f(x_i) = q_i \right\} / \sim \right|, \\
 N_h^{\log/f} &= \left| \left\{ f^\dagger : C^\dagger \rightarrow X_0^\dagger \text{ a t.t. log curve in } X_0^\dagger, \text{ strict over } C^\circ, \text{ with scheme morphism } f \right\} / \sim \right|,
 \end{aligned}$$

for f a marked torically transverse pre-log curve with $h_f = h$, and $C^\circ = C \setminus f^{-1}(\text{Sing}(X_0) \cup \partial X_0)$. The strictness condition will be discussed later.

For these numbers to be non-zero, we know that we must have that the affine length of $h(E)$ is divisible by the weight $w(E)$ for every edge E in $\tilde{\Gamma}$, where $\tilde{\Gamma}$ is the subdivision of Γ for which for a point $y \in \tilde{\Gamma}$, $h(y)$ is a vertex of \mathcal{P} if and only if y is a vertex of $\tilde{\Gamma}$ or y is contained within a marked edge (by Proposition 4.9.(4) of [2]). Henceforth we will assume that h satisfies this property.

The aim of this talk is to show the following theorem.

Theorem 0.3. *Under the above assumptions for h , $N_h^{\log} = \text{Mult}(h)$.*

The proof will proceed by calculating both $N_h^{\text{pre-log}}$ and $N_h^{\log/f}$ (the latter of which will actually be independent of f), and showing that the product of these two numbers equals $\text{Mult}(h)$.

We begin with a study of irreducible components of torically transverse curves.

1 Lines on toric surfaces

Definition 1.1. Let Y be a projective toric surface. A *line* on Y is a non-constant, torically transverse map $\varphi : \mathbb{P}^1 \rightarrow Y$ such that $|\varphi^{-1}(\partial Y)| \leq 3$ and $|\varphi^{-1}(D)| \leq 1$ for each toric divisor D of Y .

Let u_1, \dots, u_p be the primitive generators of the rays in the fan defining Y corresponding to the toric divisors D_i such that $\varphi^{-1}(D_i) \neq \emptyset$, and let w_i be the order of tangency of φ with D_i . One may check, similarly to in the last talk, that the balancing condition holds for (\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{w}) . (\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{w}) will be called the *type* of the line φ . $\mathcal{L}_{(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{w})}$ will be the set of all lines of type (\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{w}) in Y up to isomorphism.

Example 1.2. Let $f : C \rightarrow X_0$ be a torically transverse pre-log curve with $h_f = h$ and $f(x_i) = q_i$. For $V \in \tilde{\Gamma}^{[0]}$, define C_V to be the union of all irreducible components of C in the equivalence class corresponding to V (recall our construction of h_f). Then $\varphi_V := f|_{C_V} \rightarrow D_{h(V)}$ is non-constant and torically transverse, since f is. Since h is simple, there are at most 3 points in C_V mapping into $\text{Sing}(X_0)$, since such points are in correspondence with bounded edges of adjacent to V by Definition 0.1.(1), and each such point maps into a different components of $\text{Sing}(X_0)$. Moreover, by toric transversality any non-contracted component of C_V must have at least two intersections with $\text{Sing}(X_0)$ under

f . Therefore, by the stability of f , C_V is irreducible so φ is a line under the above definition. Its type is given by the primitive tangent vectors adjacent to V and the associated weights.

We now classify all lines on Y , by noticing that either $p = 2$ or $p = 3$ by the balancing condition.

Lemma 1.3. *Assume that $p = 2$. Let E be the sublattice of M generated by u_1 , and let $g : Y \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ be the map induced by the morphism of lattices $M \rightarrow M/E$. Then a line φ of type (\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{w}) has image $g^{-1}(p)$ for some $p \in \mathbb{P}^1 \setminus \{0, \infty\}$, and φ is a w_1 -fold branched cover, totally branched precisely at the two points $g^{-1}(p) \cap \partial Y$.*

Proof. The projection $M \rightarrow M/E$ induces a morphism of fans from Σ_Y to the fan in $(M/E) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{R}$ defining \mathbb{P}^1 . The toric boundary of Y may then be written $\partial Y = D_1 \cup D_2 \cup g^{-1}(0) \cup g^{-1}(\infty)$. By toric transversality, $\varphi(\mathbb{P}^1)$ is disjoint from $g^{-1}(0)$ and $g^{-1}(\infty)$, so $g \circ \varphi$ is a constant map, $\neq 0, \infty$. Finally, $\varphi^{-1}(\partial Y)$ consists of precisely two points, by the above expression for ∂Y and by our hypothesis on φ , so φ is totally branched at ∂Y . \square

Corollary 1.4. $\mathcal{L}_{(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{w})} \simeq \mathbb{G}(M/E)$.

The lines for $p = 3$ can also be classified:

Corollary 1.5 ([2], Lemma 4.19, Corollary 4.20). *Assume that $p = 3$. Assume for simplicity that the fan defining Y has only three rays. Then*

$$\mathcal{L}_{(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{w})} \simeq \left\{ \text{t.t. linear embeddings } \mathbb{P}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^2 \right\} \stackrel{(\text{non-canon.})}{\simeq} \mathbb{G}(M).$$

2 Pre-log and log curve counting

We associate to $h : \Gamma \rightarrow M_{\mathbb{R}}$ another tropical curve $h : \widehat{\Gamma} \rightarrow M_{\mathbb{R}}$, by removing all marked edges and resulting bivalent vertices from Γ . Denote by E_1, \dots, E_s the distinguished edges of Γ , so that the endpoint of E_{x_i} lies in E_i . Choose orientations of the edges of $\widehat{\Gamma}$, and denote for an edge E of $\widehat{\Gamma}$ $\partial^- E$ and $\partial^+ E$ for the two adjacent vertices accordingly (or just $\partial^- E$ for E non-compact). Finally, choose $u_{(\partial^- E, E)} \in M$ for the primitive tangent vector to $h(E)$ pointing from $h(\partial^- E)$ to $h(\partial^+ E)$.

Proposition 2.1. *The map*

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi : \text{Hom}(\widehat{\Gamma}^{[0]}, M) &\rightarrow \left(\prod_{E \in \widehat{\Gamma}^{[1]} \setminus \widehat{\Gamma}^{[1]!}} M / \mathbb{Z}u_{(\partial^- E, E)} \right) \times \left(\prod_{i=1}^s M / \mathbb{Z}u_{(\partial^- E_i, E_i)} \right) \\ H &\mapsto \left((H(\partial^+ E) - H(\partial^- E))_E, (H(\partial^- E_i))_i \right) \end{aligned}$$

is an inclusion of lattices of index $N_h^{\text{pre-log}}$.

Proof. If $H \in \ker(\Phi_{\mathbb{R}})$, then $H(\partial^+ E) - H(\partial^- E) \in \mathbb{Z}u_{(\partial^- E, E)}$ for all compact edges E and $H(\partial^- E) \in \mathbb{Z}u_{(\partial^- E, E_i)}$ for all i . This implies that $h + H$ is a tropical curve, such that P_i is contained within the span of the image of E_i . Assuming that H is sufficiently close to the origin, $h + H$ is a tropical curve passing through P_i . But h is rigid, since as shown in [2] Lemma 1.20, the number of genus zero tropical curves of degree Δ through P_i is finite. Therefore $H = 0$. This shows, by rescaling, that the kernel of Φ is trivial, proving the first statement of the proposition.

To calculate the index, we introduce another morphism of lattices which will turn out to have the same index as Φ . For $V \in \tilde{\Gamma}^{[0]} \setminus \hat{\Gamma}^{[0]}$, let $E(V)$ be the edge of $\hat{\Gamma}$ containing V in its interior. For E an edge in $\tilde{\Gamma}$, write \hat{E} for the edge of $\hat{\Gamma}$ containing E . Define

$$\Phi'' : \prod_{V \in \tilde{\Gamma}^{[0]}} M \times \prod_{V \in \tilde{\Gamma}^{[0]} \setminus \hat{\Gamma}^{[0]}} M / \mathbb{Z}u_{(\partial^- E(V), E(V))} \rightarrow \prod_{E \in \tilde{\Gamma}^{[1]} \setminus \hat{\Gamma}^{[1]}} M / \mathbb{Z}u_{(\partial^- \hat{E}, \hat{E})} \times \prod_{i=1}^s M / \mathbb{Z}u_{(\partial^- E_i, E_i)},$$

mapping an element $(m_V)_V$ to $((m_{\partial^+ E} - m_{\partial^- E})_E, (m_{V_i})_i)$. Now, by Corollaries 1.4 and 1.5, the associated morphism of algebraic tori is isomorphic to the morphism

$$\Phi' : \prod_{V \in \tilde{\Gamma}^{[0]}} \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{w}) \rightarrow \prod_{E \in \tilde{\Gamma}^{[1]} \setminus \hat{\Gamma}^{[1]}} \mathbb{G}(M / \mathbb{Z}u_{(\partial^- \hat{E}, \hat{E})}) \times \prod_{i=1}^s \mathbb{G}(M / \mathbb{Z}u_{(\partial^- E_i, E_i)}),$$

which acts on an element $(\varphi_V)_{V \in \tilde{\Gamma}^{[0]}}$ in the domain as follows.

For $E \in \tilde{\Gamma}^{[1]} \setminus \hat{\Gamma}^{[1]}$, write $p^\pm = \varphi_{\partial^\pm E}(C_{\partial^\pm E}) \cap D_\omega$, where $\omega \in \mathcal{P}$ is the edge joining $h(\partial^- E)$ and $h(\partial^+ E)$. By toric transversality, p^\pm lie in the big torus of D_ω . Then take the component of the image of $(\varphi_V)_V$ under Ψ' to be p^+ / p^- .

For $i \in \{1, \dots, s\}$, let $V_i \in \tilde{\Gamma}^{[0]}$ be the vertex adjacent to E_{x_i} . By Lemma 1.3, the image of φ_{V_i} is $g_i^{-1}(r_i)$ for some $r_i \in \mathbb{P}^1 \setminus \{0, \infty\}$, where $g_i : D_{P_i} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ induced by $M \rightarrow M / \mathbb{Z}u_{(\partial^- E_i, E_i)}$. We take the component of the image of $(\varphi_V)_V$ under Φ' to be $(g_i(q_i)) / r_i$ (recalling that $q_i \in \text{Int}(D_{P_i})$).

It is now clear that the index of the map Φ'' equals the cardinality of the set $\Phi^{-1}(1, \dots, 1)$ (i.e. the degree of the morphism Φ'). We show firstly that this cardinality equals N_h^{log} .

Indeed, if $f : C \rightarrow X_0$ is a marked torically transverse pre-log curve with $h_f = h$, then we obtain an element $(\varphi_V = f|_{C_V})_V \in \prod_{V \in \tilde{\Gamma}^{[0]}} \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{w})$ as in Example 1.2. For any $E \in \tilde{\Gamma}^{[1]} \setminus \hat{\Gamma}^{[1]}$, $\varphi_{\partial^- E}$ and $\varphi_{\partial^+ E}$ intersect D_ω in the same point, i.e. $p^- = p^+$. For any $i \in \{1, \dots, s\}$, the line φ_{V_i} passes through q_i , so $(g_i(q_i)) / r_i = 1$. Therefore we see that $\Phi'((\varphi_V)_V) = (1, \dots, 1)$.

Conversely, if $(\varphi_V)_V \in \prod_{V \in \tilde{\Gamma}^{[0]}} \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{w})$ is such that $\Phi'((\varphi_V)_V) = (1, \dots, 1)$, then we may glue the φ_V to obtain a torically transverse pre-log curve $f : C \rightarrow X_0$, which we mark by choosing a point $x_i \in C_{V_i}$ mapping under φ_{V_i} to q_i , and observing that two choices of x_i give two isomorphic marked pre-log curves. It is clear that if $(\varphi_V)_V \neq (\varphi'_V)_V \in \prod_{V \in \tilde{\Gamma}^{[0]}} \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{w})$, then the pre-log curves f and f' obtained respectively as above are non-isomorphic.

So it now suffices only to show that the index of Φ'' equals the index of Φ . We do this by considering the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathrm{Hom}(\widehat{\Gamma}^{[0]}, M) & \xrightarrow{\Phi} & \left(\prod_{E \in \widehat{\Gamma}^{[1]} \setminus \widehat{\Gamma}^{[1]}_{\infty}} M/\mathbb{Z}u_{(\partial^- E, E)} \right) \times \left(\prod_{i=1}^s M/\mathbb{Z}u_{(\partial^- E_i, E_i)} \right) \\
\downarrow \Psi_1 & & \downarrow \Psi_2 \\
\prod_{V \in \widehat{\Gamma}^{[0]}} M \times \prod_{V \in \widehat{\Gamma}^{[0]} \setminus \widehat{\Gamma}^{[0]}} M/\mathbb{Z}u_{(\partial^- E(V), E(V))} & \xrightarrow{\Phi''} & \prod_{E \in \widehat{\Gamma}^{[1]} \setminus \widehat{\Gamma}^{[1]}_{\infty}} M/\mathbb{Z}u_{(\partial^- \widehat{E}, \widehat{E})} \times \prod_{i=1}^s M/\mathbb{Z}u_{(\partial^- E_i, E_i)},
\end{array}$$

where

$$\Psi_1((m_V)_{V \in \widehat{\Gamma}^{[0]}}) = \left((m_V)_{V \in \widehat{\Gamma}^{[0]}}, (m_{\partial^- E(V)})_{V \in \widehat{\Gamma}^{[0]} \setminus \widehat{\Gamma}^{[0]}} \right)$$

and Ψ_2 is the natural inclusion. One may check that Φ'' induces an isomorphism between the cokernels of Ψ_1 and Ψ_2 , implying by the snake lemma that $\mathrm{coker}(\Psi) \simeq \mathrm{coker}(\Psi'')$. \square

Now we wish to count the number of torically transverse log curves with a fixed underlying torically transverse pre-log curve. To achieve this, we have to impose a strictness condition (see Definition 0.2), that ensures for instance that all log marked points of f^\dagger are mapped into the toric boundary of X_0 . We have the following formula for the number $N_h^{\mathrm{log}/f}$.

Proposition 2.2. *Let $f : C \rightarrow X_0$ be a torically transverse pre-log curve with $f(x_i) = q_i$ and $h_f = h$. Then*

$$N_h^{\mathrm{log}/f} = \prod_{E \in \widehat{\Gamma}^{[1]} \setminus \widehat{\Gamma}^{[1]}_{\infty}} w(E) \prod_{i=1}^s w(E_i).$$

Proof. ([2], Proposition 4.23.) I'd like to sketch it here when I have the time. \square

Proof of Theorem 0.3. The previous two propositions show that

$$N_h^{\mathrm{log}} = \mathrm{index}(\Phi) \prod_{E \in \widehat{\Gamma}^{[1]} \setminus \widehat{\Gamma}^{[1]}_{\infty}} w(E) \prod_{i=1}^s w(E_i).$$

To prove the theorem it thus remains to show that

$$\mathrm{index}(\Phi) \prod_{E \in \widehat{\Gamma}^{[1]} \setminus \widehat{\Gamma}^{[1]}_{\infty}} w(E) \prod_{i=1}^s w(E_i) = \mathrm{Mult}(h).$$

We proceed via induction on the number of vertices of $\widehat{\Gamma}$.

If the number of vertices is one, then $\widehat{\Gamma}$ has no compact edges, three non-compact edges, two of which are marked. Writing u_1 and u_2 for the primitive vectors of the marked edges, Φ is the map

$$\Phi : M \rightarrow M/\mathbb{Z}u_1 \times M/\mathbb{Z}u_2,$$

the index of which is $|u_1 \wedge u_2|$. So

$$\text{index}(\Phi)w_1w_2 = w_1w_2|u_1 \wedge u_2| = \text{Mult}(h)$$

by definition.

In general, choose E an non-compact unmarked edge. Removing E and $\partial^- E$ gives two connected components $\widehat{\Gamma}_1$ and $\widehat{\Gamma}_2$, with new non-compact edges $E^{(1)}$ and $E^{(2)}$ respectively.

Case 1. *Both $\widehat{\Gamma}_1$ and $\widehat{\Gamma}_2$ have vertices.* We obtain new tropical curves h_1 and h_2 . let u_1, \dots, u_{s-2} be primitive tangent vectors to the images under h of the bounded edges of $\widehat{\Gamma}$, v_1, \dots, v_s those for the marked edges, such that u_1, \dots, u_{l-2} are associated to $\widehat{\Gamma}_1$, u_{l-1} to $E^{(1)}$, u_l to $E^{(2)}$, u_{l+1}, \dots, u_{s-2} to $\widehat{\Gamma}_2$, and such that v_1, \dots, v_l are associated to $\widehat{\Gamma}_1$, v_{l+1}, \dots, v_s to $\widehat{\Gamma}_2$.

Let Φ_1 and Φ_2 be the maps defined in 2.1 for h_1 and h_2 . Writing $B^{(1)}$ and $B^{(2)}$ for the targets of Φ_1 and Φ_2 for ease of notation, the map Φ becomes

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi : \text{Hom}(\widehat{\Gamma}_1^{[0]}, M) \times \text{Hom}(\widehat{\Gamma}_2^{[0]}, M) \times \text{Hom}(\{V\}, M) &\rightarrow B^{(1)} \times B^{(2)} \times M/\mathbb{Z}u_{l-1} \times M/\mathbb{Z}u_l \\ (H_1, H_2, H') &\mapsto (\Phi_1(H_1), \Phi_2(H_2), H_1(V^{(1)}) - H'(V), H_2(V^{(2)}) - H'(V)), \end{aligned}$$

where $V^{(1)} \in \widehat{\Gamma}_1^{[0]}$ and $V^{(2)} \in \widehat{\Gamma}_2^{[0]}$ are vertices adjacent to $E^{(1)}$ and $E^{(2)}$.

Therefore we see that

$$\text{index}(\Phi) = \text{index}(\Phi_1)\text{index}(\Phi_2)\text{index}(M \rightarrow M/\mathbb{Z}u_{l-1} \times M/\mathbb{Z}u_l).$$

Applying the inductive hypothesis to h_1 and h_2 gives

$$\text{index}(\Phi) \prod_{E \in \widehat{\Gamma}^{[1]} \setminus \widehat{\Gamma}_\infty^{[1]}} w(E) \prod_{i=1}^s w(E_i) = \text{Mult}(h_1)\text{Mult}(h_2)w(E^{(1)})w(E^{(2)})|u_{l-1} \wedge u_l| = \text{Mult}(h).$$

Case 2. *$\widehat{\Gamma}_2$ consists of just an unbounded edge.* In this case, Φ takes the form

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi : \text{Hom}(\widehat{\Gamma}_1^{[0]}, M) \times \text{Hom}(\{V\}, M) &\rightarrow B^{(1)} \times B^{(2)} \times M/\mathbb{Z}u_{s-2} \times M/\mathbb{Z}v_s \\ (H_1, H') &\mapsto (\Phi_1(H_1), H_1(V^{(1)}) - H'(V), H'(V)), \end{aligned}$$

so

$$\text{index}(\Phi) = \text{index}(\Phi_1)\text{index}(M \rightarrow M/\mathbb{Z}u_{s-2} \times M/\mathbb{Z}v_s).$$

Applying the inductive hypothesis to h_1 gives

$$\text{index}(\Phi) \prod_{E \in \widehat{\Gamma}^{[1]} \setminus \widehat{\Gamma}_\infty^{[1]}} w(E) \prod_{i=1}^s w(E_i) = \text{Mult}(h_1)w(E^{(1)})w(E_s)|u_{s-2} \wedge v_s| = \text{Mult}(h).$$

□

References

- [1] William Fulton, *Introduction to Toric Varieties*
- [2] Mark Gross, *Tropical Geometry and Mirror Symmetry*
- [3] Robin Hartshorne, *Algebraic Geometry*