# Using discretly subsampled quadrature points for function reconstruction

#### Felix Bartel Lutz Kämmerer Daniel Potts Tino Ullrich

Inaugural CAMDA Conference

24th of May 2023



#### Given:

- $L_2 = L_2(D, \nu)$
- reproducing kernel Hilbert space  $H(K) \hookrightarrow L_2$

#### Goal:

• find good points  $X = \{x^1, \dots, x^n\} \subset D$  and a sampling recovery operator  $S_X \colon H(K) \to L_2$  with small worst-case error

$$\sup_{\|f\|_{H(K)} \le 1} \|f - S_{\mathbf{X}} f\|_{L_2}$$





#### Least squares approximation

• let 
$$\eta_0, \dots, \eta_{m-1} \in L_2$$
 with  $n \ge m$   
• ansatz  $f(\boldsymbol{x}) = \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} c_k \eta_k(\boldsymbol{x})$  with  $\boldsymbol{c} = (c_0, \dots, c_{m-1})^\mathsf{T}$  solving  
 $\begin{pmatrix} \eta_0(\boldsymbol{x}^1) & \cdots & \eta_{m-1}(\boldsymbol{x}^1) \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \eta_0(\boldsymbol{x}^n) & \cdots & \eta_{m-1}(\boldsymbol{x}^n) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} c_0 \\ \vdots \\ c_{m-1} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} f(\boldsymbol{x}^1) \\ \vdots \\ f(\boldsymbol{x}^n) \end{pmatrix}$ 

1

#### Least squares approximation

• let 
$$\eta_0, \dots, \eta_{m-1} \in L_2$$
 with  $n \ge m$   
• ansatz  $f(\boldsymbol{x}) = \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} c_k \eta_k(\boldsymbol{x})$  with  $\boldsymbol{c} = (c_0, \dots, c_{m-1})^{\mathsf{T}}$  solving  

$$\left\| \begin{pmatrix} \eta_0(\boldsymbol{x}^1) & \cdots & \eta_{m-1}(\boldsymbol{x}^1) \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \eta_0(\boldsymbol{x}^n) & \cdots & \eta_{m-1}(\boldsymbol{x}^n) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} c_0 \\ \vdots \\ c_{m-1} \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} f(\boldsymbol{x}^1) \\ \vdots \\ f(\boldsymbol{x}^n) \end{pmatrix} \right\|_{\boldsymbol{W}}^2 \to \min$$

for a weight matrix  $\boldsymbol{W} = \operatorname{diag}(\omega_1, \ldots, \omega_n)$ 

#### Least squares approximation

• let 
$$\eta_0, \dots, \eta_{m-1} \in L_2$$
 with  $n \ge m$   
• ansatz  $f(\boldsymbol{x}) = \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} c_k \eta_k(\boldsymbol{x})$  with  $\boldsymbol{c} = (c_0, \dots, c_{m-1})^{\mathsf{T}}$  solving  

$$\left\| \underbrace{\begin{pmatrix} \eta_0(\boldsymbol{x}^1) & \cdots & \eta_{m-1}(\boldsymbol{x}^1) \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \eta_0(\boldsymbol{x}^n) & \cdots & \eta_{m-1}(\boldsymbol{x}^n) \end{pmatrix}}_{=:L} \underbrace{\begin{pmatrix} c_0 \\ \vdots \\ c_{m-1} \end{pmatrix}}_{=:f} - \underbrace{\begin{pmatrix} f(\boldsymbol{x}^1) \\ \vdots \\ f(\boldsymbol{x}^n) \end{pmatrix}}_{=:f} \right\|_{\boldsymbol{W}}^2 \to \min$$

for a weight matrix  $oldsymbol{W} = \operatorname{diag}(\omega_1,\ldots,\omega_n)$ 

• the solution is given by

$$c = (L^*WL)^{-1}L^*Wf$$
 and  $(S_Xf)(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} c_k\eta_k(x)$ 

## Reproducing kernel Hilbert space

- assume finite trace  $\int_D K({m x},{m x}) \; \mathrm{d} 
  u({m x}) < \infty$
- embedding  $\operatorname{Id}_{K,\nu} \colon H(K) \hookrightarrow L_2$  has the representation

$$\mathrm{Id}_{K,\nu}(f) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sigma_k \langle f, e_k \rangle_{H(K)} \eta_k$$

with  $e_k = \sigma_k \eta_k$  and

- singular values  $\sigma_0 \ge \sigma_1 \ge \cdots \ge 0$
- right singular functions  $e_0, e_1, \ldots$  forming an ONS in H(K)
- left singular functions  $\eta_0, \eta_1, \ldots$  forming an ONS in  $L_2$

## Reproducing kernel Hilbert space

- assume finite trace  $\int_D K({m x},{m x}) \; \mathrm{d} 
  u({m x}) < \infty$
- embedding  $\operatorname{Id}_{K,\nu} \colon H(K) \hookrightarrow L_2$  has the representation

$$\mathrm{Id}_{K,\nu}(f) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sigma_k \langle f, e_k \rangle_{H(K)} \eta_k$$

with  $e_k = \sigma_k \eta_k$  and

- singular values  $\sigma_0 \ge \sigma_1 \ge \cdots \ge 0$
- right singular functions  $e_0, e_1, \ldots$  forming an ONS in H(K)
- left singular functions  $\eta_0, \eta_1, \ldots$  forming an ONS in  $L_2$
- Kolmogorov width

1

$$d_{m}(H(K)) \coloneqq \inf_{\substack{\ell_{0}, \dots, \ell_{m-1} \colon H(K) \to \mathbb{C} \\ \varphi_{0}, \dots, \varphi_{m-1} \in L_{2}}} \sup_{\|f\|_{H(K)} \leq 1} \left\| f - \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} \ell_{k}(f)\varphi_{k} \right\|_{L_{2}}}$$
$$= \sup_{\|f\|_{H(K)} \leq 1} \left\| f - \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} \langle f, \eta_{k} \rangle_{L_{2}} \eta_{k} \right\|_{L_{2}} = \sigma_{m}$$

## Sobolev spaces with dominating mixed smoothness on $\mathbb{T}^d$

• 
$$H^s_{\min}(\mathbb{T}^d) = \{f \in L_2 : \|f\|_{H^s_{\min}} < \infty\} \ (s > 1/2)$$
 with

$$\langle f,g\rangle_{H^s_{\mathsf{mix}}} \coloneqq \sum_{\boldsymbol{j}\in\{0,s\}^d} \langle D^{(\boldsymbol{j})}f, D^{(\boldsymbol{j})}g\rangle_{L_2}$$

• singular functions 
$$\eta_{m k} = \exp(2\pi \mathrm{i} \langle m k, \cdot 
angle)$$

• singular values 
$$\sigma_{\boldsymbol{k}} = \prod_{j=1}^{a} (1 + (2\pi |k_j|)^{2s})^{-1/2}$$

1

• Kolmogorov width 
$$d_m(H^s_{mix}) = m^{-s} (\log m)^{(d-1)s}$$



## Structured points: rank-1 lattice

• 
$$D = \mathbb{T}^d$$
,  $\{\eta_0, \dots, \eta_{m-1}\} = \{\exp(2\pi i \langle \boldsymbol{k}, \cdot \rangle)\}_{\boldsymbol{k} \in I}$ 

• 
$$\boldsymbol{X} = \Lambda(\boldsymbol{z}, n) = \left\{\frac{i}{n}\boldsymbol{z} \mod \boldsymbol{1}, i = 0, \dots, n-1\right\}$$

- [Nuyens '07], [Kämmerer, Potts, Volkmer '15]:
  - given I, there exist algorithms that find z and n such that  $L^*WL = I$
  - multiplication with  $\boldsymbol{L}$  can be carried out with the LFFT in  $\mathcal{O}(n\log n)$



#### Theorem

[Byrenheid, Kämmerer, T. Ullrich, Volkmer '17]

$$n^{-s} \lesssim \sup_{\|f\|_{H^s_{\mathsf{mix}}} \le 1} \|f - S_{\boldsymbol{X}} f\|_{L_2}^2 \lesssim n^{-s} (\log n)^{(d-2)s + (d-1)}$$

 X = {x<sup>1</sup>,...,x<sup>n</sup>} drawn randomly i.i.d. w.r.t. Lebesgue measure such that

 $n \sim m \log m$ 

• no fast matrix-vector multiplication due to lack of structure



#### Theorem

[M. Ullrich, Krieg '19]

$$n^{-2s} (\log n)^{2(d-1)s} \lesssim \sup_{\|f\|_{H^s_{\mathsf{mix}}} \le 1} \|f - S_{\mathbf{X}} f\|_{L_2}^2 \lesssim n^{-2s} (\log n)^{2(d-1)s+2s}$$

## Subsampled random points

- $X = \{x^1, \dots, x^n\}$  drawn randomly i.i.d. w.r.t. Lebesgue measure such that  $n \sim m \log m$
- there exist  $m{X}' = \{m{x}^{i_1}, \dots, m{x}^{i_{n'}}\} \subset m{X}$  subsampled points suitable for reconstruction with  $n' \in \mathcal{O}(|I|)$



• no fast matrix-vector multiplication

## Theorem [Nagel, Schäfer, T. Ullrich '21], [B., Schäfer, T. Ullrich '22]

$$n^{-s} (\log n)^{2(d-1)s} \lesssim \sup_{\|f\|_{H(K)} \le 1} \|f - S_{\mathbf{X}} f\|_{L_2}^2 \lesssim n^{-2s} (\log n)^{2(d-1)s+1}$$

#### Theorem

[Dolbeaut, Krieg, M. Ullrich '22]

$$\sup_{\|f\|_{H(K)} \le 1} \|f - S_{\mathbf{X}} f\|_{L_2}^2 \sim n^{-2s} (\log n)^{2(d-1)s}$$

B., Kämmerer, Potts, Ullrich

#### www.tu-chemnitz.de/~febar

Goal: combine both advantages



structure for fast algorithms



optimal rates

## Approach (motivated by [Kunis, Rauhut '08])



## Starting points: MZ inequalities and exact quadrature

points X<sub>MZ</sub> and weights ω<sub>1</sub>,..., ω<sub>M</sub> fulfill a L<sub>2</sub> Marcinkiewicz-Zygmund inequality for V ⊂ L<sub>2</sub>, iff

$$\|A\|g\|_{L_2}^2 \leq \sum_{i=1}^M \omega_i |g(m{x}^i)|^2 \leq B\|g\|_{L_2}^2 \quad ext{for all} \quad g \in V$$

• the full system matrix is well-conditioned

$$A \le \sigma_{\min}^2(\boldsymbol{W}^{1/2}\boldsymbol{L}) \le \sigma_{\max}^2(\boldsymbol{W}^{1/2}\boldsymbol{L}) \le B$$

## Starting points: MZ inequalities and exact quadrature

• MZ inequalities are widly available: [Mhaskar, Narcowich, Ward '01], [Keiner, Kunis, Potts '07], [Filbir, Mhaskar '11], [Müller-Gronbach, Novak, Ritter '12], [Temlyakov '18], [Gröchenig '20], [Filbir, Hielscher, Jahn, T. Ullrich to appear], ...

#### Lemma

The MZ inequality on V with A = B is equivalent to the exact integration

$$\sum_{i=1}^M \omega_i g(\boldsymbol{x}^i) \overline{h(\boldsymbol{x}^i)} = \int_D g(\boldsymbol{x}) \overline{h(\boldsymbol{x})} \; \mathrm{d} \nu(\boldsymbol{x}) \quad \text{for all} \quad g,h \in V \,.$$

• exact integration is widly available: [Nuyens, Cools '06], [Kämmerer, Potts, Volkmer '15], [Trefethen '19], ...

### Incorporate fast algorithms

- big point set  $oldsymbol{X}_{\mathsf{MZ}} = \{oldsymbol{x}^1, \dots, oldsymbol{x}^M\}$  with  $\mathcal{O}(M\log M)$  algorithm
- small point set  $oldsymbol{X}=\{oldsymbol{x}^{j_1},\ldots,oldsymbol{x}^{j_n}\}\subset oldsymbol{X}_{\sf MZ}$
- we may use the algorithm for the big point set

$$\boldsymbol{L}_{\boldsymbol{X}} = \boldsymbol{P} \boldsymbol{L}_{\boldsymbol{X}_{\mathsf{MZ}}} \quad \text{where} \quad \boldsymbol{P} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & \dots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & & & \vdots & & \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \\ \vdots \\ n \\ j_1 & j_2 & j_n \end{pmatrix}$$

- with  $n\sim m\log m$  and  $M\sim m^2$  we obtain the same complexity as for naive matrix-vector multiplication

$$\mathcal{O}(mn) = \mathcal{O}(M \log M)$$

#### Assumptions 1 of 2

Let

- H(K) separable RKHS with  $\sup_{\bm{x}\in D}K(\bm{x},\bm{x})<\infty$  and  $\int_D K(\bm{x},\bm{x})\;\mathrm{d}\bm{x}<\infty$ ,
- $\sigma_k$  and  $\eta_k$  singular values and functions of  $\mathrm{Id}_{K,\nu} \colon H(K) \hookrightarrow L_2(\nu)$
- $I = \{0, \ldots, m-1\} \subset I_M$
- $X_{MZ}$  points and  $W_{MZ}$  weights fulfilling an  $L_2$ -Marcinkiewicz-Zygmund inequality with constants A and B for  $V = \text{span}\{\eta_k\}_{k \in I_M}$ ,

## Central theorem

#### Assumptions 2 of 2

• 
$$n \coloneqq \left\lceil \frac{72B}{A} |I| \log |I| \right\rceil$$
,

•  $X = \{x^i\}_{i \in J}$ , |J| = n points drawn i.i.d. from  $X_{MZ}$  w.r.t. the discrete density weights  $\varrho_i = \omega_i \varrho(x^i)$  with

$$arrho(oldsymbol{x}^i) = rac{\omega_i \sum_{k \in I} |\eta_k(oldsymbol{x}^i)|^2}{3\sum_{j=1}^M \omega_j \sum_{k \in I} |\eta_k(oldsymbol{x}^j)|^2} + rac{\omega_i \sum_{k \in I_{\mathsf{MZ}} \setminus I} |e_k(oldsymbol{x}^j)|^2}{3\sum_{j=1}^M \omega_j \sum_{k \in I_{\mathsf{MZ}} \setminus I} |e_k(oldsymbol{x}^j)|^2} + rac{\omega_i}{3} \sum_{j=1}^M \omega_j \sum_{k \in I_{\mathsf{MZ}} \setminus I} |e_k(oldsymbol{x}^j)|^2}{3} + rac{\omega_i}{3} \sum_{j=1}^M \omega_j \sum_{k \in I_{\mathsf{MZ}} \setminus I} |e_k(oldsymbol{x}^j)|^2}{3}$$

- uses spectral properties of the embedding
- discrete version of [M. Ullrich, Krieg '19], [Kämmerer, T. Ullrich, Volkmer '19]
- $\varrho(\pmb{x}^i)$  neglectable for BOS, i.e.,  $\sup_{x\in D} |\eta_k(x)| \leq B$  for all k

• b >

#### Theorem

#### [B, Kämmerer, Potts, T. Ullrich '22]

Given the assumptions we construct  $m{X}' \subset m{X}_{\sf MZ}$  with  $|m{X}'| \leq \lceil b |I| 
ceil$  such that

$$\begin{split} \sup_{\|f\|_{H(K)} \le 1} \|f - S_I^{\mathbf{X}'} f\|_{L_2}^2 &\leq C_{A,B,b} \log |I| \left( \sup_{k \notin I} \sigma_k^2 + \frac{1}{|I|} \sum_{k \in I_{\mathsf{MZ}} \setminus I} \sigma_k^2 \right. \\ &+ \sup_{\|f\|_{H(K)} \le 1} \|f - P_{I_{\mathsf{MZ}}} f\|_{\ell_{\infty}(D)}^2 \right) \end{split}$$

with probability larger than 1 - 4/|I| and  $C_{A,B,b} = 79616(\frac{B}{A})^2 \frac{(b+1)^2}{(b-1)^3}$ .

#### Theorem for rank-1 lattices

[B, Kämmerer, Potts, T. Ullrich '22]

Let • s > 1/2,

- $I \subset I_{\mathsf{MZ}} \subset \mathbb{Z}^d$  hyperbolic cross frequency index sets,  $|I| \geq 3$ ,
- $X_{MZ}$  reconstructing rank-1 lattice for  $I_{MZ}$  with M points,

• 
$$b > 1 + \frac{1}{|I|}$$
.

Then we construct  $m{X}' \subset m{X}_{\mathsf{MZ}}$  with  $|m{X}'| = n \leq \lceil b |I| \rceil$  such that

$$\sup_{\|f\|_{H^s_{\mathsf{mix}}} \le 1} \|f - S_I^{\mathbf{X}'} f\|_{L_2}^2 \le C_{d,s,b} \Big( n^{-2s} (\log n)^{2(d-1)s+1} + M^{-s+1} (\log M)^{(d-1)(s+1)-s} \Big)$$

with probability 1 - 4/|I|.

## Numerical experiment for random subsampling

- d=5,~f tensorized bumb function in  $H^s_{\rm mix}(\mathbb{T}^5)$  for s<3/2
- initial  $X_{MZ}$ : fast probabilistic CBC rank-1 lattice construction [Kämmerer '20]



black: full rank-1 lattice azure: continuously random magenta: randomly subsampled  $10^{6}$ 

## Numerical experiment for random + BSS subsampling

- d = 5, f tensorized bumb function in  $H^s_{\text{mix}}(\mathbb{T}^5)$  for s < 3/2
- initial  $X_{MZ}$ : fast probabilistic CBC rank-1 lattice construction [Kämmerer '20]



black: full rank-1 lattice magenta: randomly subsampled orange: BSS subsampled

## Conclusion



- we proposed random + BSS subsampling procedure for MZ inequalities
  - $\bullet\,$  achieving the near optimal convergence rates  $\checkmark\,$
  - making it possible to utilize fast algorithms  $\checkmark$
- [B., Kämmerer, Potts, T. Ullrich '22] on arXiv:2208.13597 "On the reconstruction of functions from values at subsampled quadrature points"