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## Introduction

Preconditioned gradient iterative methods have been studied for the symmetric eigenvalue problem $A u=\lambda B u$ in an Euclidean space $H$, for example, in the papers [1-11]. These iterative methods for computing the smallest eigenvalue have the following form:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& C \frac{\tilde{u}^{n+1}-u^{n}}{\tau_{n}}+\left(A-\mu^{n} B\right) u^{n}=0, \\
& u^{n+1}=\frac{\tilde{u}^{n+1}}{\left\|\tilde{u}^{n+1}\right\|_{B}}, \\
& \mu^{n}=R\left(u^{n}\right), \quad n=0,1, \ldots,
\end{aligned}
$$

where $R(v)=(A v, v) /(B v, v), v \in H \backslash\{0\}$, the symmetric operator $C$ satisfies the condition: $\delta_{0}(C v, v) \leq(A v, v) \leq \delta_{1}(C v, v), v \in H$, the iteration parameters $\tau_{n}$ can be chosen by the formula $\tau_{n}=\delta_{1}^{-1}$ or to maximize $R\left(u^{n+1}\right)$. A survey of results on preconditioned iterative methods is presented in the paper [12].

Here, we generalize these methods for solving the symmetric nonlinear eigenvalue problem: $\lambda \in \Lambda, u \in H \backslash\{0\}, A(\lambda) u=\lambda B(\lambda) u$. We propose preconditioned gradient iterative methods of the following kind:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& C\left(\mu^{n}\right) \frac{\tilde{u}^{n+1}-u^{n}}{\tau_{n}}+\left(A\left(\mu^{n}\right)-\mu^{n} B\left(\mu^{n}\right)\right) u^{n}=0, \\
& u^{n+1}=\frac{\tilde{u}^{n+1}}{\left\|\tilde{u}^{n+1}\right\|_{B\left(\mu^{n}\right)}}, \\
& \mu^{n}=R\left(\mu^{n}, u^{n}\right), \quad n=0,1, \ldots,
\end{aligned}
$$

where $R(\mu, v)=(A(\mu) v, v) /(B(\mu), v, v), v \in H \backslash\{0\}, \mu \in \Lambda, \Lambda$ is an interval on the real axis, the symmetric operator $C(\mu)$ satisfies the condition: $\delta_{0}(\mu)(C(\mu) v, v) \leq(A(\mu) v, v) \leq$ $\delta_{1}(\mu)(C(\mu) v, v), v \in H \backslash\{0\}, \mu \in \Lambda$, the iteration parameters $\tau_{n}$ are defined by the formula $\tau_{n}=\delta_{1}^{-1}\left(\mu^{n}\right)$ or to maximize $R\left(\mu^{n}, u^{n+1}\right)$. In the paper [13], the preconditioned gradient subspace iteration method for computing a group of the smallest eigenvalues of finite-dimensional symmetric nonlinear eigenvalue problems was investigated. Nonlinear finite-dimensional eigenvalue problems arise after the discretization of infinite-dimensional nonlinear eigenvalue problems (see, for example, [14-32]).

In section 1 of the present paper, we give the statement of a symmetric eigenvalue problem in a finite-dimensional space with a nonlinear entrance of a spectral parameter. In section 2, results about existence and properties of the eigenvalues of the nonlinear eigenvalue problem are proved. Similar results were obtained earlier in the papers [14-$16,18-23]$. In section 3, we describe auxiliary results obtained in the papers [2,6]. These results are used further for constructing and investigating the iterative methods. In sections 4,5 and 6 , we formulate the preconditioned gradient iterative methods for the nonlinear eigenvalue problem, and we investigate the convergence and the error of these methods for computing the smallest eigenvalue.

## 1. Formulation of the problem

Let $H$ be an $N$-dimensional real Euclidean space with the scalar product (.,.) and the norm $\|$.$\| , and let \Lambda$ be an interval on the real axis $\mathbb{R}, \Lambda=(\alpha, \beta), 0 \leq \alpha<\beta \leq \infty$. Introduce the operators $A(\mu)$ and $B(\mu)$ that, for fixed $\mu \in \Lambda$, are symmetric linear operators from $H$ to $H$ satisfying the following conditions:
a) positive definiteness, i.e. there exist positive continuous functions $\alpha_{1}(\mu)$ and $\beta_{1}(\mu)$, $\mu \in \Lambda$, such that

$$
(A(\mu) v, v) \geq \alpha_{1}(\mu)\|v\|^{2}, \quad(B(\mu) v, v) \geq \beta_{1}(\mu)\|v\|^{2} \quad \forall v \in H, \mu \in \Lambda ;
$$

b) continuity with respect to the numerical argument, i.e.

$$
\|A(\mu)-A(\eta)\| \rightarrow 0, \quad\|B(\mu)-B(\eta)\| \rightarrow 0
$$

as $\mu \rightarrow \eta, \mu, \eta \in \Lambda$. By $\|$.$\| also denote the norm of an operator from H$ to $H$.
Define the Rayleigh quotient by the formula:

$$
R(\mu, v)=\frac{(A(\mu) v, v)}{(B(\mu) v, v)}, \quad v \in H \backslash\{0\}, \mu \in \Lambda
$$

Assume that the following additional conditions are fulfilled:
c) the Rayleigh quotient $R(\mu, v), \mu \in \Lambda$, is, for fixed $v \in H$, a nonincreasing function of the numerical argument, i.e.

$$
R(\mu, v) \geq R(\eta, v), \quad \mu<\eta, \mu, \eta \in \Lambda, v \in H \backslash\{0\} ;
$$

d) there exists $\eta \in \Lambda$ such that

$$
\eta-\min _{v \in H \backslash\{0\}} R(\eta, v) \leq 0 ;
$$

e) there exists $\eta \in \Lambda$ such that

$$
\eta-\max _{v \in H \backslash\{0\}} R(\eta, v) \geq 0 .
$$

Consider the following eigenvalue problem: find $\lambda \in \Lambda, u \in H \backslash\{0\}$, such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
A(\lambda) u=\lambda B(\lambda) u . \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The number $\lambda$ that satisfies (1) is called an eigenvalue, and the element $u$ is called an eigenelement of problem (1) corresponding to $\lambda$. The set $U(\lambda)$ that consists of the eigenelements corresponding to the eigenvalue $\lambda$ and the zero element is a closed subspace in $H$, which is called the eigensubspace corresponding to the eigenvalue $\lambda$. The dimension of this subspace is called a multiplicity of the eigenvalue $\lambda$.

## 2. Existence of the eigenvalues

For fixed $\mu \in \Lambda$ we introduce the auxiliary linear eigenvalue problem: find $\gamma(\mu) \in \mathbb{R}$, $u=u(\mu) \in H \backslash\{0\}$, such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
A(\mu) u=\gamma(\mu) B(\mu) u \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

For a symmetric positive definite linear operator $A$ from $H$ to $H$, denote by $H_{A}$ the Euclidean space of elements from $H$ with the scalar product $(u, v)_{A}=(A u, v)$ and the norm $\|v\|_{A}=(v, v)_{A}^{1 / 2}, u, v \in H_{A}$.

Lemma 1. For fixed $\mu \in \Lambda$ problem (2) has $N$ real positive eigenvalues $0<\gamma_{1}(\mu) \leq$ $\gamma_{2}(\mu) \leq \ldots \leq \gamma_{N}(\mu)$. The eigenelements $u_{i}=u_{i}(\mu), i=1,2, \ldots, N$, correspond to these eigenvalues:

$$
\left(A(\mu) u_{i}, u_{j}\right)=\gamma_{i}(\mu) \delta_{i j}, \quad\left(B(\mu) u_{i}, u_{j}\right)=\delta_{i j}, \quad i, j=1,2, \ldots, N .
$$

The elements $u_{i}=u_{i}(\mu), i=1,2, \ldots, N$, form an orthonormal basis of the space $H_{B(\mu)}$.
The proof is given, for example, in [33].
Lemma 2. The formula of the minimax principle is valid:

$$
\gamma_{i}(\mu)=\min _{W_{i} \subset H} \max _{v \in W_{i} \backslash\{0\}} R(\mu, v), \quad i=1,2, \ldots, N
$$

where $W_{i}$ is an $i$-dimensional subspace of the space $H$. In particular, the following relations hold:

$$
\gamma_{1}(\mu)=\min _{v \in H \backslash\{0\}} R(\mu, v), \quad \gamma_{N}(\mu)=\max _{v \in H \backslash\{0\}} R(\mu, v) .
$$

The proof is given, for example, in [33].
For a fixed segment $[a, b]$ on $\Lambda$ we set

$$
\alpha_{1, \min }(a, b)=\min _{\mu \in[a, b]} \alpha_{1}(\mu), \quad \beta_{1, \min }(a, b)=\min _{\mu \in[a, b]} \beta_{1}(\mu) .
$$

Lemma 3. Suppose that for $\mu, \eta \in[a, b]$ the following condition holds:

$$
\frac{\|A(\mu)-A(\eta)\|}{\alpha_{1, \min }(a, b)} \leq \frac{1}{2} .
$$

Then for $\mu, \eta \in[a, b]$ the following inequalities are valid:

$$
\begin{aligned}
|R(\mu, v)-R(\eta, v)| & \leq 2\left(\frac{\|A(\mu)-A(\eta)\|}{\alpha_{1, \min }(a, b)}+\frac{\|B(\mu)-B(\eta)\|}{\beta_{1, \min }(a, b)}\right) R(a, v), \quad v \in H \backslash\{0\}, \\
\left|\gamma_{i}(\mu)-\gamma_{i}(\eta)\right| & \leq 2\left(\frac{\|A(\mu)-A(\eta)\|}{\alpha_{1, \min }(a, b)}+\frac{\|B(\mu)-B(\eta)\|}{\beta_{1, \min }(a, b)}\right) \gamma_{i}(a), \quad i=1,2, \ldots, N .
\end{aligned}
$$

The proof is given in [13].

Lemma 4. The functions $\gamma_{i}(\mu), \mu \in \Lambda, i=1,2, \ldots, N$, are continuous nonincreasing functions with positive values.

Proof. The continuity of the functions $\gamma_{i}(\mu), \mu \in \Lambda, i=1,2, \ldots, N$, follows from Lemma 3 and condition b ). Using the minimax principle of Lemma 2 and condition c ), we obtain that the functions $\gamma_{i}(\mu), \mu \in \Lambda, i=1,2, \ldots, N$, are nonincreasing functions. Thus, the lemma is proved.

Lemma 5. The functions $\mu-\gamma_{i}(\mu), \mu \in \Lambda, i=1,2, \ldots, N$, are continuous and strictly increasing functions with negative and positive values in the neighbourhoods of the points $\alpha$ and $\beta$, respectively.

The proof is given in [13].
Lemma 6. A number $\lambda \in \Lambda$ is an eigenvalue of problem (1) if and only if the number $\lambda$ is a solution of an equation from the set $\mu-\gamma_{i}(\mu)=0, \mu \in \Lambda, i=1,2, \ldots, N$.

Proof. If $\lambda$ is a solution of the equation $\mu-\gamma_{i}(\mu)=0, \mu \in \Lambda$, for some $i, 1 \leq i \leq N$, then it follows from (1) and (2) that $\lambda$ is an eigenvalue of problem (1). If $\lambda$ is an eigenvalue of problem (1), then (1) and (2) imply $\lambda-\gamma_{i}(\lambda)=0$ for some $i, 1 \leq i \leq N$. This proves the lemma.

Theorem 1. Problem (1) has $N$ eigenvalues $\lambda_{i}, i=1,2, \ldots, N$, which are repeated according to their multiplicity: $\alpha<\lambda_{1} \leq \lambda_{2} \leq \ldots \leq \lambda_{N}<\beta$. Each eigenvalue $\lambda_{i}$ is a unique root of the equation $\mu-\gamma_{i}(\mu)=0, \mu \in \Lambda, i=1,2, \ldots, N$.

Proof. By Lemma 5, each equation of the set $\mu-\gamma_{i}(\mu)=0, \mu \in \Lambda, i=1,2, \ldots, N$, has a unique solution. Denote these solutions by $\lambda_{i}, i=1,2, \ldots, N$, i. e. $\lambda_{i}-\gamma_{i}\left(\lambda_{i}\right)=0$, $i=1,2, \ldots, N$. To check that the numbers $\lambda_{i}, i=1,2, \ldots, N$, are put in an increasing order, let us assume the opposite, i. e. $\lambda_{i}>\lambda_{i+1}$. Then, according to Lemma 4, we obtain a contradiction, namely

$$
\lambda_{i}=\gamma_{i}\left(\lambda_{i}\right) \leq \gamma_{i}\left(\lambda_{i+1}\right) \leq \gamma_{i+1}\left(\lambda_{i+1}\right)=\lambda_{i+1} .
$$

By Lemma 6 , the numbers $\lambda_{i}, i=1,2, \ldots, N$, are eigenvalues of problem (1). Thus, the theorem is proved.

Remark 1. If $\alpha=0$, then condition d) follows from condition c).
Proof. Let us fix $\nu \in \Lambda$ and put $\eta=\min \left\{\gamma_{1}(\nu), \nu\right\} / 2$. Taking into account condition c), Lemma 2, and the relations $\eta \leq \gamma_{1}(\nu) / 2, \eta \leq \nu / 2<\nu$, we have

$$
\eta-\min _{v \in H \backslash\{0\}} R(\eta, v)=\eta-\gamma_{1}(\eta) \leq \gamma_{1}(\nu) / 2-\gamma_{1}(\nu)=-\gamma_{1}(\nu) / 2<0 .
$$

Thus, condition d) is satisfied for chosen $\eta \in \Lambda$.

Remark 2. If $\beta=\infty$, then condition e) follows from condition c).
Proof. For fixed $\nu \in \Lambda$ put $\eta=2 \max \left\{\gamma_{N}(\nu), \nu\right\}$. Since $\eta \geq 2 \gamma_{N}(\nu)$ and $\eta \geq 2 \nu>\nu$, according to condition c ) and Lemma 2, we obtain the relations:

$$
\eta-\max _{v \in H \backslash\{0\}} R(\eta, v)=\eta-\gamma_{N}(\eta) \geq 2 \gamma_{N}(\nu)-\gamma_{N}(\nu)=\gamma_{N}(\nu)>0,
$$

which implies that condition e) is satisfied.

## 3. Auxiliary results

Assume that the symmetric positive definite linear operator $C(\mu)$ from $H$ to $H$ is given for fixed $\mu \in \Lambda$, and that there exist continuous functions $\delta_{0}(\mu), \delta_{1}(\mu), \mu \in \Lambda$, $0<\delta_{0}(\mu) \leq \delta_{1}(\mu), \mu \in \Lambda$, such that

$$
\delta_{0}(\mu)(C(\mu) v, v) \leq(A(\mu) v, v) \leq \delta_{1}(\mu)(C(\mu) v, v), \quad v \in H, \quad \mu \in \Lambda
$$

For a given element $v \in H,\|v\|_{B(\mu)}=1$, we define an element $w \in H$ and numbers $\nu^{0}$ and $\nu^{1}$ by the formulas:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& C(\mu) \frac{\tilde{w}-v}{\tau(\mu)}+(A(\mu)-R(\mu, v) B(\mu)) v=0 \\
& w=\frac{\tilde{w}}{\|\tilde{w}\|_{B(\mu)}}, \quad \nu^{0}=R(\mu, v), \quad \nu^{1}=R(\mu, w)
\end{aligned}
$$

for fixed $\mu \in \Lambda, \tau(\mu)=\delta_{1}^{-1}(\mu), \mu \in \Lambda$.
Lemma 7. Let $\gamma_{1}(\mu)$ and $\gamma_{2}(\mu)$ be eigenvalues of problem (2) with $\mu \in \Lambda$ such that $\gamma_{1}(\mu)<\gamma_{2}(\mu)$. Assume that $\nu^{0}<\gamma_{2}(\mu)$. Then $\gamma_{1}(\mu) \leq \nu^{1} \leq \nu^{0}$, and the following estimate is valid:

$$
\nu^{1}-\gamma_{1}(\mu) \leq \rho\left(\mu, \nu^{0}\right)\left(\nu^{0}-\gamma_{1}(\mu)\right)
$$

where $0<\rho(\mu, \nu)<1$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \rho(\mu, \nu)=\frac{1-\delta(\mu)\left(1-\nu / \gamma_{2}(\mu)\right)}{1+\delta(\mu)\left(1-\nu / \gamma_{2}(\mu)\right)\left(\nu / \gamma_{1}(\mu)-1\right)} \\
& \delta(\mu)=\delta_{0}(\mu) / \delta_{1}(\mu), \quad \nu \in\left[\gamma_{1}(\mu), \gamma_{2}(\mu)\right), \quad \mu \in \Lambda
\end{aligned}
$$

The proof is given in [2], [6].
Remark 3. For fixed $\mu \in \Lambda$, the gradient of the Rayleight quotient $R(\mu, v)$ in the space $H_{C(\mu)}$ is defined by the formula:

$$
\operatorname{grad}_{C(\mu)} R(\mu, v)=2\|v\|_{B(\mu)}^{-2} C^{-1}(\mu)(A(\mu)-R(\mu, v) B(\mu)) v
$$

Hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
\tilde{w} & =v-\tau(\mu) C^{-1}(\mu)(A(\mu)-R(\mu, v) B(\mu)) v= \\
& =v-\frac{1}{2} \tau(\mu)\|v\|_{B(\mu)}^{2} \operatorname{grad}_{C(\mu)} R(\mu, v)= \\
& =v-c_{0} \operatorname{grad}_{C(\mu)} R(\mu, v),
\end{aligned}
$$

where $c_{0}=0.5 \tau(\mu)\|v\|_{B(\mu)}^{2}$.

## 4. Gradient iterative methods

Assume that the symmetric positive definite linear operator $C(\mu)$ from $H$ to $H$ is given for fixed $\mu \in \Lambda$, and that there exist continuous functions $\delta_{0}(\mu), \delta_{1}(\mu), \mu \in \Lambda$, $0<\delta_{0}(\mu) \leq \delta_{1}(\mu), \mu \in \Lambda$, such that

$$
\delta_{0}(\mu)(C(\mu) v, v) \leq(A(\mu) v, v) \leq \delta_{1}(\mu)(C(\mu) v, v), \quad v \in H, \quad \mu \in \Lambda
$$

Consider the following iterative method:

$$
\begin{align*}
& C\left(\mu^{n}\right) \frac{\tilde{u}^{n+1}-u^{n}}{\tau\left(\mu^{n}\right)}+\left(A\left(\mu^{n}\right)-\mu^{n} B\left(\mu^{n}\right)\right) u^{n}=0, \\
& u^{n+1}=\frac{\tilde{u}^{n+1}}{\left\|\tilde{u}^{n+1}\right\|_{B\left(\mu^{n}\right)}}, \quad n=0,1, \ldots, \tag{3}
\end{align*}
$$

where the number $\mu^{n}$ is defined as a solution of the equation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu-\varphi_{n}(\mu)=0, \quad \mu \in \Lambda, \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $n=0,1, \ldots$ Here $u^{0}$ is a given element of $H,\left\|u^{0}\right\|_{B\left(\mu^{0}\right)}=1, \tau(\mu)=\delta_{1}^{-1}(\mu), \mu \in \Lambda$, the functions $\varphi_{n}(\mu), \mu \in \Lambda, n=0,1, \ldots$, are defined by the formulas:

$$
\varphi_{n}(\mu)=R\left(\mu, u^{n}\right), \quad \mu \in \Lambda,
$$

for $n=0,1, \ldots$
Remark 4. The following formula holds:

$$
\tilde{u}^{n+1}=u^{n}-c_{n} \operatorname{grad}_{C\left(\mu^{n}\right)} R\left(\mu^{n}, u^{n}\right)
$$

where $c_{n}=0.5 \tau\left(\mu^{n}\right)\left\|u^{n}\right\|_{B\left(\mu^{n}\right)}^{2}$. This formula follows from Remark 3 .

Lemma 8. The functions $\varphi_{n}(\mu), \mu \in \Lambda, n=0,1, \ldots$, are continuous nonincreasing functions with positive values. In addition, the following inequalities are valid: $\varphi_{n}(\mu) \geq$ $\gamma_{1}(\mu), \mu \in \Lambda, n=0,1, \ldots$

The proof follows from Lemmas 2 and 3.
Lemma 9. The functions $\mu-\varphi_{n}(\mu), \mu \in \Lambda, n=0,1, \ldots$, are continuous and strictly increasing functions with negative and positive values in the neighbourhoods of the points $\alpha$ and $\beta$, respectively.

The proof is similar to the proof of Lemma 5 (see [13]).
Let $\lambda_{1}$ and $\lambda_{2}$ be eigenvalues of problem (1) such that $\lambda_{1}<\lambda_{2}$. Put

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \rho(\nu)=\frac{1-d\left(1-\nu / \lambda_{2}\right)}{1+d\left(1-\nu / \lambda_{2}\right)\left(\nu / \lambda_{1}-1\right)}, \quad \nu \in\left[\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}\right), \\
& d=\min _{\mu \in\left[\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}\right]} \delta(\mu), \quad \delta(\mu)=\delta_{0}(\mu) / \delta_{1}(\mu), \quad \mu \in \Lambda .
\end{aligned}
$$

Note that $0<d \leq 1,0<\rho(\nu)<1$ for $\nu \in\left[\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}\right)$.
Lemma 10. The half-open interval $\left[\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}\right)$ is contained in the half-open interval [ $\left.\gamma_{1}(\mu), \gamma_{2}(\mu)\right)$ for any $\mu \in\left[\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}\right)$.

Proof. Taking into account Lemma 4, we get $\gamma_{1}(\mu) \leq \lambda_{1}$ and $\gamma_{2}(\mu) \geq \lambda_{2}$ for $\mu \in$ $\left[\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}\right)$. These inequalities prove the lemma.

Lemma 11. The following inequality holds: $\rho(\mu, \nu) \leq \rho(\nu)$ for $\mu, \nu \in\left[\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}\right)$.
Proof. By Lemma 10, if $\nu \in\left[\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}\right)$ and $\lambda_{1}<\lambda_{2}$, then $\nu \in\left[\gamma_{1}(\mu), \gamma_{2}(\mu)\right)$ and $\gamma_{1}(\mu)<\gamma_{2}(\mu)$ for $\mu \in\left[\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}\right)$. Now relations $\gamma_{1}(\mu) \leq \lambda_{1}, \gamma_{2}(\mu) \geq \lambda_{2}, \mu \in\left[\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}\right)$, imply the desired inequality:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\rho(\mu, \nu) & =\frac{1-\delta(\mu)\left(1-\nu / \gamma_{2}(\mu)\right)}{1+\delta(\mu)\left(1-\nu / \gamma_{2}(\mu)\right)\left(\nu / \gamma_{1}(\mu)-1\right)} \leq \\
& \leq \frac{1-d\left(1-\nu / \lambda_{2}\right)}{1+d\left(1-\nu / \lambda_{2}\right)\left(\nu / \lambda_{1}-1\right)}=\rho(\nu)
\end{aligned}
$$

for $\mu, \nu \in\left[\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}\right) \subset\left[\gamma_{1}(\mu), \gamma_{2}(\mu)\right)$. Thus, the lemma is proved.
Theorem 2. Let $\lambda_{1}$ and $\lambda_{2}$ be eigenvalues of problem (1) such that $\lambda_{1}<\lambda_{2}$. Suppose that the sequence $\mu^{n}, n=0,1, \ldots$ is calculated by the formulas (3), (4), $\mu^{0}<\lambda_{2}$. Then $\mu^{n} \rightarrow \lambda_{1}$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, and the following inequalities are valid

$$
\lambda_{2}>\mu^{0} \geq \mu^{1} \geq \ldots \geq \mu^{n} \geq \ldots \geq \lambda_{1} .
$$

Moreover, the following estimate holds:

$$
\mu^{n+1}-\gamma_{1}\left(\mu^{n+1}\right) \leq\left(\mu^{n+1}-\varphi_{n+1}\left(\mu^{n}\right)\right)+\rho\left(\mu^{n}\right)\left(\mu^{n}-\gamma_{1}\left(\mu^{n}\right)\right),
$$

where $0<\rho(\mu)<1, \mu \in\left[\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}\right), n=0,1, \ldots$
Proof. Let us show that the solutions $\mu^{n}, n=0,1, \ldots$ of the equations $\mu-\varphi_{n}(\mu)=0$, $\mu \in \Lambda, n=0,1, \ldots$ satisfy the following inequalities:

$$
\lambda_{2}>\mu^{0} \geq \mu^{1} \geq \ldots \geq \mu^{n} \geq \ldots \geq \lambda_{1}
$$

Assume that the equation $\mu-\varphi_{n}(\mu)=0, \mu \in \Lambda$, has the solution $\mu^{n}$ such that

$$
\lambda_{2}>\mu^{0} \geq \mu^{1} \geq \ldots \geq \mu^{n} \geq \lambda_{1}, \quad n \geq 0
$$

Hence we obtain

$$
\nu^{0}=\varphi_{n}\left(\mu^{n}\right)=\mu^{n}<\lambda_{2}=\gamma_{2}\left(\lambda_{2}\right) \leq \gamma_{2}\left(\mu^{n}\right) .
$$

Consequently, by Lemma 7, we have

$$
\nu^{1}=\varphi_{n+1}\left(\mu^{n}\right) \leq \nu^{0}=\varphi_{n}\left(\mu^{n}\right)=\mu^{n} .
$$

It follows from Lemmas 8 and 9 that the equation $\mu-\varphi_{n+1}(\mu)=0, \mu \in \Lambda$, has the unique solution $\mu^{n+1}$ and

$$
\lambda_{2}>\mu^{0} \geq \mu^{1} \geq \ldots \geq \mu^{n} \geq \mu^{n+1} \geq \lambda_{1}
$$

Let us prove that $\mu^{n} \rightarrow \lambda_{1}$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Taking into account Lemma 7, 10, 11, we obtain the following relations:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mu^{n+1}-\gamma_{1}\left(\mu^{n+1}\right) & =\left(\mu^{n+1}-\varphi_{n+1}\left(\mu^{n}\right)\right)+\left(\varphi_{n+1}\left(\mu^{n}\right)-\gamma_{1}\left(\mu^{n+1}\right)\right) \leq \\
& \leq\left(\mu^{n+1}-\varphi_{n+1}\left(\mu^{n}\right)\right)+\left(\varphi_{n+1}\left(\mu^{n}\right)-\gamma_{1}\left(\mu^{n}\right)\right)= \\
& =\left(\mu^{n+1}-\varphi_{n+1}\left(\mu^{n}\right)\right)+\left(\nu^{1}-\gamma_{1}\left(\mu^{n}\right)\right) \leq \\
& \leq\left(\mu^{n+1}-\varphi_{n+1}\left(\mu^{n}\right)\right)+\rho\left(\mu^{n}, \nu^{0}\right)\left(\nu^{0}-\gamma_{1}\left(\mu^{n}\right)\right) \leq \\
& \leq\left(\mu^{n+1}-\varphi_{n+1}\left(\mu^{n}\right)\right)+\rho\left(\mu^{n}\right)\left(\mu^{n}-\gamma_{1}\left(\mu^{n}\right)\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\nu^{0}=\varphi_{n}\left(\mu^{n}\right)=\mu^{n}, \nu^{1}=\varphi_{n+1}\left(\mu^{n}\right)$.
Since $\lambda_{2}>\mu^{0} \geq \mu^{1} \geq \ldots \geq \mu^{n} \geq \ldots \geq \lambda_{1}$, there exists $\xi \in\left[\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}\right)$ such that $\mu^{n} \rightarrow \xi$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

By condition a) and the relations $\left\|u^{n}\right\|_{B\left(\mu^{n}\right)}=1, n=0,1, \ldots$, we obtain that there exists a constant $c>0$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\|u^{n}\right\| \leq \frac{\left\|u^{n}\right\|_{B\left(\mu^{n}\right)}}{\sqrt{\beta_{1}\left(\mu^{n}\right)}}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{\beta_{1}\left(\mu^{n}\right)}} \leq c, \quad n=0,1, \ldots, \\
& c=\max _{\mu \in\left[\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}\right]} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\beta_{1}(\mu)}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence there exists an element $w \in H$ and a subsequence $u^{n_{i}+1}, i=1,2, \ldots$, such that $u^{n_{i}+1} \rightarrow w$ as $i \rightarrow \infty$.

Let us prove that $\mu^{n_{i}+1}-\varphi_{n_{i}+1}\left(\mu^{n_{i}}\right) \rightarrow 0$ as $i \rightarrow \infty$. We have

$$
0 \leq \mu^{n_{i}+1}-\varphi_{n_{i}+1}\left(\mu^{n_{i}}\right)=R\left(\mu^{n_{i}+1}, u^{n_{i}+1}\right)-R\left(\mu^{n_{i}}, u^{n_{i}+1}\right) \rightarrow 0
$$

as $i \rightarrow \infty$. Here, we have taken into account that

$$
R\left(\mu^{n_{i}+1}, u^{n_{i}+1}\right) \rightarrow R(\xi, w), \quad R\left(\mu^{n_{i}}, u^{n_{i}+1}\right) \rightarrow R(\xi, w)
$$

as $i \rightarrow \infty$.
Using the relations

$$
0 \leq \mu^{n_{i}+1}-\gamma_{1}\left(\mu^{n_{i}+1}\right) \leq\left(\mu^{n_{i}+1}-\varphi_{n_{i}+1}\left(\mu^{n_{i}}\right)\right)+\rho\left(\mu^{n_{i}}\right)\left(\mu^{n_{i}}-\gamma_{1}\left(\mu^{n_{i}}\right)\right)
$$

as $i \rightarrow \infty$, we get

$$
0 \leq \xi-\gamma_{1}(\xi) \leq \rho(\xi)\left(\xi-\gamma_{1}(\xi)\right)
$$

where $0<\rho(\mu)<1, \mu \in\left[\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}\right)$. Hence the number $\xi \in\left[\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}\right)$ satisfies the equation $\xi-\gamma_{1}(\xi)=0$, i. e. $\xi=\lambda_{1}$ is an eigenvalue of problem (1) and $\mu^{n} \rightarrow \lambda_{1}$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. This completes the proof of the theorem.

## 5. Error estimates of the gradient iterative methods

Assume that there exist positive continuous functions $\alpha_{0}(\mu, \eta)$ and $\beta_{0}(\mu, \eta), \mu, \eta \in \Lambda$, such that

$$
\|A(\mu)-A(\eta)\| \leq \alpha_{0}(\mu, \eta)|\mu-\eta|, \quad\|B(\mu)-B(\eta)\| \leq \beta_{0}(\mu, \eta)|\mu-\eta|,
$$

for $\mu, \eta \in \Lambda$.
For a fixed segment $[a, b]$ on $\Lambda$ we set

$$
\alpha_{0, \max }(a, b)=\max _{\mu, \eta \in[a, b]} \alpha_{0}(\mu, \eta), \quad \beta_{0, \max }(a, b)=\max _{\mu, \eta \in[a, b]} \beta_{0}(\mu, \eta) .
$$

Lemma 12. Assume that the following inequality holds:

$$
\frac{\alpha_{0, \max }(a, b)}{\alpha_{1, \min }(a, b)}(b-a) \leq \frac{1}{2},
$$

for a fixed segment $[a, b]$ on $\Lambda$. Then the following estimate is valid:

$$
|R(\mu, v)-R(\eta, v)| \leq r(a, b, v)|\mu-\eta|, \quad \mu, \eta \in[a, b], \quad v \in H \backslash\{0\},
$$

where

$$
r(a, b, v)=2\left(\frac{\alpha_{0, \max }(a, b)}{\alpha_{1, \min }(a, b)}+\frac{\beta_{0, \max }(a, b)}{\beta_{1, \min }(a, b)}\right) R(a, v) .
$$

The proof follows from Lemma 3.
Put

$$
\begin{aligned}
& q(\mu)=\max \left\{\rho\left(\lambda_{1}\right), \rho(\mu)\right\}, \quad \mu \in\left[\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}\right), \\
& \omega=\frac{\lambda_{2} \sqrt{1-d}}{1+\sqrt{1-d}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Note that $0<q(\mu)<1$ for $\mu \in\left[\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}\right)$.
Lemma 13. The following equality is valid:

$$
\max _{\mu \in\left[\lambda_{1}, \mu^{0}\right]} \rho(\mu)=q\left(\mu^{0}\right)
$$

for $\mu^{0} \in\left[\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}\right)$. If $0 \leq \omega \leq \lambda_{1}$, then $q\left(\mu^{0}\right)=\rho\left(\mu^{0}\right)$. If $\lambda_{1} \leq \omega<\lambda_{2}$ and $\lambda_{1} \leq \mu^{0} \leq \omega$, then $q\left(\mu^{0}\right)=\rho\left(\lambda_{1}\right)$.

Proof. It is not difficult to make sure (see also [6]) that $\rho^{\prime}(\omega)=0, \rho^{\prime}(\mu)<0$ for $\mu \in(0, \omega), \rho^{\prime}(\mu)>0$ for $\mu \in\left(\omega, \lambda_{2}\right)$. These relations imply desired results. Thus, the lemma is proved.

Theorem 3. Let $\lambda_{1}$ and $\lambda_{2}$ be eigenvalues of problem (1) such that $\lambda_{1}<\lambda_{2}$. Assume that the sequence $\mu^{n}, n=0,1, \ldots$ is calculated by the formulas (3), (4), $\mu^{0}<\lambda_{2}$, and that numbers $n_{0} \geq 0$ and $\varepsilon>0$ such that $\lambda_{1} \leq \mu^{n+1} \leq \mu^{n} \leq \lambda_{1}+\varepsilon<\lambda_{2}$ and

$$
\frac{\alpha_{0, \max }\left(\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{1}+\varepsilon\right)}{\alpha_{1, \min }\left(\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{1}+\varepsilon\right)} \varepsilon \leq \frac{1}{2}
$$

for $n \geq n_{0}$. Then the following estimate is valid:

$$
\mu^{n+1}-\gamma_{1}\left(\mu^{n+1}\right) \leq q_{n}\left(\mu^{n}-\gamma_{1}\left(\mu^{n}\right)\right),
$$

where $q_{n}=r\left(\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{1}+\varepsilon, u^{n+1}\right)+\rho\left(\mu^{n}\right), n \geq n_{0}$.
Suppose $r\left(\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{1}+\varepsilon, u^{n+1}\right) \leq \sigma, n \geq n_{0}$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mu^{n+1}-\gamma_{1}\left(\mu^{n+1}\right) & \leq q_{0}^{n+1}\left(\mu^{0}-\gamma_{1}\left(\mu^{0}\right)\right), \\
\mu^{n+1}-\lambda_{1} & \leq q_{0}^{n+1}\left(\mu^{0}-\gamma_{1}\left(\mu^{0}\right)\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

for $q_{0}=\sigma+q\left(\mu^{0}\right), n \geq n_{0}$.
Proof. According to Lemma 12, for $n \geq n_{0}$ we obtain the following relation:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mu^{n+1}-\varphi_{n+1}\left(\mu^{n}\right) & =\varphi_{n+1}\left(\mu^{n+1}\right)-\varphi_{n+1}\left(\mu^{n}\right)= \\
& =R\left(\mu^{n+1}, u^{n+1}\right)-R\left(\mu^{n}, u^{n+1}\right) \leq \\
& \leq r\left(\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{1}+\varepsilon, u^{n+1}\right)\left(\mu^{n}-\mu^{n+1}\right) \leq \\
& \leq r\left(\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{1}+\varepsilon, u^{n+1}\right)\left(\mu^{n}-\gamma_{1}\left(\mu^{n}\right)\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

in which we have taken into account that

$$
\gamma_{1}\left(\mu^{n}\right) \leq \varphi_{n+1}\left(\mu^{n}\right) \leq \varphi_{n+1}\left(\mu^{n+1}\right)=\mu^{n+1} .
$$

Now, by Theorem 2 and Lemma 13, we obtain desired estimates. Thus, the theorem is proved.

Remark 5. Assume that the operators $A(\mu)=A, B(\mu)=B, C(\mu)=C$, do not depend on $\mu \in \mathbb{R}$, and that the following relations are valid:

$$
\delta_{0}(C v, v) \leq(A v, v) \leq \delta_{1}(C v, v), \quad v \in H,
$$

for given constants $\delta_{0}$ and $\delta_{1}, 0<\delta_{0} \leq \delta_{1}$. In this case, the iterative method (3) and (4) has the following form:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& C \frac{\tilde{u}^{n+1}-u^{n}}{\tau}+\left(A-\mu^{n} B\right) u^{n}=0, \\
& u^{n+1}=\frac{\tilde{u}^{n+1}}{\left\|\tilde{u}^{n+1}\right\|_{B}}, \quad \mu^{n}=R\left(u^{n}\right) \quad n=0,1, \ldots,
\end{aligned}
$$

where $R(v)=(A v, v) /(B v, v), v \in H \backslash\{0\}, \tau=\delta_{1}^{-1}, u^{0}$ is a given element of $H,\left\|u^{0}\right\|_{B}=1$.
Then the error estimates of Theorem 3 are transformed to the estimates:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mu^{n+1}-\lambda_{1} \leq \rho\left(\mu^{n}\right)\left(\mu^{n}-\lambda_{1}\right), \\
& \mu^{n+1}-\lambda_{1} \leq q_{0}^{n+1}\left(\mu^{0}-\lambda_{1}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

for $n=0,1, \ldots$, where $0<\rho(\mu)<1$ for $\mu \in\left[\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}\right), q(\mu)=\max \left\{\rho\left(\lambda_{1}\right), \rho(\mu)\right\}, \mu \in\left[\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}\right)$, $0<q_{0}=q\left(\mu^{0}\right)<1$,

$$
\rho(\nu)=\frac{1-\delta\left(1-\nu / \lambda_{2}\right)}{1+\delta\left(1-\nu / \lambda_{2}\right)\left(\nu / \lambda_{1}-1\right)}, \quad \delta=\delta_{0} / \delta_{1}, \quad \nu \in\left[\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}\right) .
$$

These error estimates are identical with known results (see, for example, [2], [6]).

## 6. Steepest descent methods

Now we shall investigate the preconditioned gradient iterative method which, unlike method (3), (4), does not use the function $\tau(\mu)=\delta_{1}^{-1}(\mu), \mu \in \Lambda$, introduced in section 3:

$$
\begin{align*}
& C\left(\mu^{n}\right) \frac{\tilde{u}^{n+1}-u^{n}}{\tau_{n}}+\left(A\left(\mu^{n}\right)-\mu^{n} B\left(\mu^{n}\right)\right) u^{n}=0 \\
& u^{n+1}=\frac{\tilde{u}^{n+1}}{\left\|\tilde{u}^{n+1}\right\|_{B\left(\mu^{n}\right)}}, \quad n=0,1, \ldots \tag{5}
\end{align*}
$$

where the number $\mu^{n}$ is defined as a solution of the equation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu-\varphi_{n}(\mu)=0, \quad \mu \in \Lambda \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $n=0,1, \ldots$ Here $u^{0}$ is a given element of $H,\left\|u^{0}\right\|_{B\left(\mu^{0}\right)}=1$, the functions $\varphi_{n}(\mu), \mu \in \Lambda$, $n=0,1, \ldots$, are defined by the formulas:

$$
\varphi_{n}(\mu)=R\left(\mu, u^{n}\right), \quad \mu \in \Lambda, \quad n=0,1, \ldots
$$

The number $\tau_{n}$ in (5) is calculated by the formulas:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\tau_{n}=\frac{-b+\sqrt{b^{2}-4 a c}}{2 a}, \\
a=a_{2} b_{1}-a_{1} b_{2}, \\
b=a_{1}-\mu^{n} a_{2}, \\
c=\left(v^{n}, w^{n}\right), \\
a_{1}=\left(A\left(\mu^{n}\right) w^{n}, w^{n}\right), \quad b_{1}=\left(A\left(\mu^{n}\right) u^{n}, w^{n}\right), \\
a_{2}=\left(B\left(\mu^{n}\right) w^{n}, w^{n}\right), \quad b_{2}=\left(B\left(\mu^{n}\right) u^{n}, w^{n}\right), \\
v^{n}=\left(A\left(\mu^{n}\right)-\mu^{n} B\left(\mu^{n}\right)\right) u^{n}, \\
w^{n}=C^{-1}\left(\mu^{n}\right) v^{n},
\end{gathered}
$$

for $n=0,1, \ldots$
Remark 6. The formulas for calculating the number $\tau_{n}$ were obtained from the following condition:

$$
R\left(\mu^{n}, u^{n}-\tau_{n} w^{n}\right)=\min _{\tau \in[0, \infty)} R\left(\mu^{n}, u^{n}-\tau w^{n}\right) .
$$

Remark 7. The following formula holds:

$$
\tilde{u}^{n+1}=u^{n}-\tau_{n} w^{n}=u^{n}-c_{n} \operatorname{grad}_{C\left(\mu^{n}\right)} R\left(\mu^{n}, u^{n}\right),
$$

where

$$
c_{n}=\frac{1}{2} \tau_{n}\left\|u^{n}\right\|_{B\left(\mu^{n}\right)}^{2} .
$$

This formula follows from Remark 3.
Lemma 14. The functions $\varphi_{n}(\mu), \mu \in \Lambda, n=0,1, \ldots$, are continuous nonincreasing functions with positive values. In addition, the following inequalities are valid: $\varphi_{n}(\mu) \geq$ $\gamma_{1}(\mu), \mu \in \Lambda, n=0,1, \ldots$

The proof follows from Lemmas 2 and 3 .

Lemma 15. The functions $\mu-\varphi_{n}(\mu), \mu \in \Lambda, n=0,1, \ldots$, are continuous and strictly increasing functions with negative and positive values in the neighbourhoods of the points $\alpha$ and $\beta$, respectively.

The proof is similar to the proof of Lemma 5 (see [13]).
Theorem 4. Let $\lambda_{1}$ and $\lambda_{2}$ be eigenvalues of problem (1) such that $\lambda_{1}<\lambda_{2}$. Assume that the sequence $\mu^{n}, n=0,1, \ldots$ is calculated by the formulas (5), (6), $\mu^{0}<\lambda_{2}$. Then $\mu^{n} \rightarrow \lambda_{1}$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, and the following inequalities are valid

$$
\lambda_{2}>\mu^{0} \geq \mu^{1} \geq \ldots \geq \mu^{n} \geq \ldots \geq \lambda_{1}
$$

Moreover, the following estimate holds:

$$
\mu^{n+1}-\gamma_{1}\left(\mu^{n+1}\right) \leq\left(\mu^{n+1}-\varphi_{n+1}\left(\mu^{n}\right)\right)+\rho\left(\mu^{n}\right)\left(\mu^{n}-\gamma_{1}\left(\mu^{n}\right)\right)
$$

where $0<\rho(\mu)<1, \mu \in\left[\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}\right), n=0,1, \ldots$
Proof. The solutions $\mu^{n}, n=0,1, \ldots$ of the equations $\mu-\varphi_{n}(\mu)=0, \mu \in \Lambda, n=$ $0,1, \ldots$ satisfy the following inequalities:

$$
\lambda_{2}>\mu^{0} \geq \mu^{1} \geq \ldots \geq \mu^{n} \geq \ldots \geq \lambda_{1}
$$

This is proving by analogy with Theorem 2 according to Lemmas 14 and 15.
Let us prove that $\mu^{n} \rightarrow \lambda_{1}$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Taking into account Lemma 7, 10, 11, we obtain the following relations:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mu^{n+1}-\gamma_{1}\left(\mu^{n+1}\right) & =\left(\mu^{n+1}-\varphi_{n+1}\left(\mu^{n}\right)\right)+\left(R\left(\mu^{n}, u^{n+1}\right)-\gamma_{1}\left(\mu^{n+1}\right)\right) \leq \\
& \leq\left(\mu^{n+1}-\varphi_{n+1}\left(\mu^{n}\right)\right)+\left(R\left(\mu^{n}, w\right)-\gamma_{1}\left(\mu^{n}\right)\right)= \\
& =\left(\mu^{n+1}-\varphi_{n+1}\left(\mu^{n}\right)\right)+\left(\nu^{1}-\gamma_{1}\left(\mu^{n}\right)\right) \leq \\
& \leq\left(\mu^{n+1}-\varphi_{n+1}\left(\mu^{n}\right)\right)+\rho\left(\mu^{n}, \nu^{0}\right)\left(\nu^{0}-\gamma_{1}\left(\mu^{n}\right)\right) \leq \\
& \leq\left(\mu^{n+1}-\varphi_{n+1}\left(\mu^{n}\right)\right)+\rho\left(\mu^{n}\right)\left(\mu^{n}-\gamma_{1}\left(\mu^{n}\right)\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\nu^{0}=\varphi_{n}\left(\mu^{n}\right)=\mu^{n}, \nu^{1}=\varphi_{n+1}\left(\mu^{n}\right)$, the element $w \in H$ is defined by the formulas:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& C\left(\mu^{n}\right) \frac{\tilde{w}-u^{n}}{\tau\left(\mu^{n}\right)}+\left(A\left(\mu^{n}\right)-\mu^{n} B\left(\mu^{n}\right)\right) u^{n}=0, \\
& w=\frac{\tilde{w}}{\|\tilde{w}\|_{B\left(\mu^{n}\right)}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Note that from this formula and Remark 6, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
R\left(\mu^{n}, u^{n+1}\right) & =R\left(\mu^{n}, u^{n}-\tau_{n} w^{n}\right)=\min _{\tau \in[0, \infty)} R\left(\mu^{n}, u^{n}-\tau w^{n}\right) \leq \\
& \leq R\left(\mu^{n}, u^{n}-\tau\left(\mu^{n}\right) w^{n}\right)=R\left(\mu^{n}, w\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Now the proof is completed similarly to the proof of Theorem 2. Thus, the theorem is proved.

Theorem 5. Let $\lambda_{1}$ and $\lambda_{2}$ be eigenvalues of problem (1) such that $\lambda_{1}<\lambda_{2}$. Assume that the sequence $\mu^{n}$, $n=0,1, \ldots$ is calculated by the formulas (5), (6), $\mu^{0}<\lambda_{2}$, and that numbers $n_{0} \geq 0$ and $\varepsilon>0$ such that $\lambda_{1} \leq \mu^{n+1} \leq \mu^{n} \leq \lambda_{1}+\varepsilon<\lambda_{2}$ and

$$
\frac{\alpha_{0, \max }\left(\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{1}+\varepsilon\right)}{\alpha_{1, \min }\left(\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{1}+\varepsilon\right)} \varepsilon \leq \frac{1}{2}
$$

for $n \geq n_{0}$. Then the following estimate is valid:

$$
\mu^{n+1}-\gamma_{1}\left(\mu^{n+1}\right) \leq q_{n}\left(\mu^{n}-\gamma_{1}\left(\mu^{n}\right)\right)
$$

where $q_{n}=r\left(\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{1}+\varepsilon, u^{n+1}\right)+\rho\left(\mu^{n}\right), n \geq n_{0}$.
Suppose $r\left(\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{1}+\varepsilon, u^{n+1}\right) \leq \sigma, n \geq n_{0}$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mu^{n+1}-\gamma_{1}\left(\mu^{n+1}\right) & \leq q_{0}^{n+1}\left(\mu^{0}-\gamma_{1}\left(\mu^{0}\right)\right), \\
\mu^{n+1}-\lambda_{1} & \leq q_{0}^{n+1}\left(\mu^{0}-\gamma_{1}\left(\mu^{0}\right)\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

for $q_{0}=\sigma+q\left(\mu^{0}\right), n \geq n_{0}$.
The proof is similar to the proof of Theorem 3.
Remark 8. Assume that the operators $A(\mu)=A, B(\mu)=B, C(\mu)=C$, do not depend on $\mu \in \mathbb{R}$, and that the following relations are valid:

$$
\delta_{0}(C v, v) \leq(A v, v) \leq \delta_{1}(C v, v), \quad v \in H,
$$

for given constants $\delta_{0}$ and $\delta_{1}, 0<\delta_{0} \leq \delta_{1}$. In this case, the iterative method (5) and (6) has the following form:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& C \frac{\tilde{u}^{n+1}-u^{n}}{\tau_{n}}+\left(A-\mu^{n} B\right) u^{n}=0, \\
& u^{n+1}=\frac{\tilde{u}^{n+1}}{\left\|\tilde{u}^{n+1}\right\|_{B}}, \quad \mu^{n}=R\left(u^{n}\right) \quad n=0,1, \ldots,
\end{aligned}
$$

where $R(v)=(A v, v) /(B v, v), v \in H \backslash\{0\}, u^{0}$ is a given element of $H,\left\|u^{0}\right\|_{B}=1$. The number $\tau_{n}$ is defined by the formulas:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\tau_{n} & =\frac{-b+\sqrt{b^{2}-4 a c}}{2 a}, \\
a & =a_{2} b_{1}-a_{1} b_{2}, \\
b & =a_{1}-\mu^{n} a_{2} \\
c & =\left(v^{n}, w^{n}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{gathered}
a_{1}=\left(A w^{n}, w^{n}\right), \quad b_{1}=\left(A u^{n}, w^{n}\right), \\
a_{2}=\left(B w^{n}, w^{n}\right), \quad b_{2}=\left(B u^{n}, w^{n}\right), \\
v^{n}=\left(A-\mu^{n} B\right) u^{n}, \\
w^{n}=C^{-1} v^{n},
\end{gathered}
$$

for $n=0,1, \ldots$
Then the error estimates of Theorem 5 are transformed to the estimates:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mu^{n+1}-\lambda_{1} \leq \rho\left(\mu^{n}\right)\left(\mu^{n}-\lambda_{1}\right), \\
& \mu^{n+1}-\lambda_{1} \leq q_{0}^{n+1}\left(\mu^{0}-\lambda_{1}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

for $n=0,1, \ldots$, where $0<\rho(\mu)<1$ for $\mu \in\left[\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}\right), q(\mu)=\max \left\{\rho\left(\lambda_{1}\right), \rho(\mu)\right\}, \mu \in\left[\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}\right)$, $0<q_{0}=q\left(\mu^{0}\right)<1$,

$$
\rho(\nu)=\frac{1-\delta\left(1-\nu / \lambda_{2}\right)}{1+\delta\left(1-\nu / \lambda_{2}\right)\left(\nu / \lambda_{1}-1\right)}, \quad \delta=\delta_{0} / \delta_{1}, \quad \nu \in\left[\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}\right) .
$$

These error estimates are identical with known results (see, for example, [2], [6]).
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