

9. Projections and Krylov spaces

1. Proof the following invariances of krylov spaces $\mathcal{K}_m(A, \mathbf{v})$:

- 1.1. $\mathcal{K}_m(\alpha A, \beta \mathbf{v}) = \mathcal{K}_m(A, \mathbf{v}) \quad \forall \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C} \setminus 0$
- 1.2. $\mathcal{K}_m(A - \sigma I, \mathbf{v}) = \mathcal{K}_m(A, \mathbf{v}) \quad \forall \sigma \in \mathbb{C}$
- 1.3. $\mathcal{K}_m(TAT^{-1}, T\mathbf{v}) = T\mathcal{K}_m(A, \mathbf{v}) \quad \forall \text{ regular } T \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$

2. 2.1. Let $\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_M$ eigenvectors of a matrix $A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ to pairwise different eigenvalues $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_M \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$. Moreover let $\mathbf{v} = \beta_1 \mathbf{x}_1 + \dots + \beta_M \mathbf{x}_M$ with $\beta_j \neq 0$ for all $j = 1, \dots, M$.

Proof, that for the grade $d(A, \mathbf{v})$ (in german: Abbruchindex) of the krylov-sequence $A^m \mathbf{v}$ holds:
 $d(A, \mathbf{v}) = M$.

2.2. Let $A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ invertable and $\mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{C}^n \setminus \{\mathbf{0}\}$. Show that

$$d = d(A, \mathbf{v}) = \min\{m : A^{-1} \mathbf{v} \in \mathcal{K}_m(A, \mathbf{v})\}.$$

3. Let $P_1 = P_{\mathcal{R}_1, \mathcal{S}_1}$ and $P_2 = P_{\mathcal{R}_2, \mathcal{S}_2}$ projections in \mathbb{C}^n .

3.1. Proof that:

$$P_1 P_2 = 0 \implies \mathcal{R}_1 \cap \mathcal{R}_2 = \{\mathbf{0}\},$$

and, if P_1 and P_2 are orthogonal it holds

$$P_1 P_2 = 0 \iff \mathcal{R}_1 \cap \mathcal{R}_2 = \{\mathbf{0}\}.$$

Find a counterexample which shows that the equivalence in the non orthogonal case is not true.

3.2. Proof that: $Q = P_1 + P_2$ is a projection if and only if $P_1 P_2 = P_2 P_1 = 0$.

Determine for that case image and kernal of Q and an und examine with this if Q is orthogonal if P_1 and P_2 are orthogonal.

3.3. Show: If $P_1 P_2 = P_2 P_1$, then $Q = P_1 P_2$ is a projection.

Determine again under this assumption image and kernal of Q and show that Q is orthogonal if this is true for P_1 and P_2 .

3.4. Proof that

$$P_{\mathcal{W}_m} = P_{\mathcal{V}_m} \cdots P_{\mathcal{V}_1},$$

where are $\mathcal{V}_j = \text{span}\{\mathbf{v}_j\}^\perp$, $\mathcal{W}_m = \{\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_m\}^\perp$ and $\{\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_m\}$ a orthonormal base(of $\mathcal{K}_m(A, \mathbf{b})$).