

Theorem 3.6: Let $f \in \mathbb{R}_n$, let $k \in \mathbb{N}$ be such that

$$\mathfrak{m}_{\mathbb{R}_n}^{k+1} \subset \mathfrak{m}_{\mathbb{R}_n}^2 \mathcal{J}_f. \text{ Then } f \text{ is } k\text{-determined.}$$

Proof: Given $g \in \mathbb{R}_n$ s.t. $\mathcal{J}^k f = \mathcal{J}^k g$

then we need to show that $f \sim_{\mathbb{R}} g$. Pf in 7 steps.

1.) We have $f = g + S$ with $S \in \mathfrak{m}^{k+1}$, hence

$$\partial_{x_i} f \in \partial_{x_i} g + \mathfrak{m}^k \quad \forall i \in \{1, \dots, n\} \Rightarrow \mathcal{J}_f \subset \mathcal{J}_g + \mathfrak{m}^k$$

$$\Rightarrow \mathfrak{m}^2 \mathcal{J}_f \subset \mathfrak{m}^2 \mathcal{J}_g + \mathfrak{m}^{k+2} : \text{ hence, by assumption}$$

$$\text{of 3.6 : } \mathfrak{m}^{k+1} \subset \mathfrak{m}^2 \mathcal{J}_f \subset \mathfrak{m}^2 \mathcal{J}_g + \mathfrak{m}^{k+2}$$

$$= \mathfrak{m}^2 \mathcal{J}_g + \mathfrak{m} \cdot \mathfrak{m}^{k+1} \xrightarrow{\text{Nak}} \mathfrak{m}^{k+1} \subset \mathfrak{m}^2 \mathcal{J}_g \subset \mathfrak{m} \mathcal{J}_g$$

$$\text{So : } \forall g : \mathcal{J}^k f = \mathcal{J}^k g \Rightarrow \mathfrak{m}^{k+1} \subset \mathfrak{m} \mathcal{J}_g.$$

$$2.) \text{ Put } F(x_1, \dots, x_n, t) := (1-t) \cdot f(\underline{x}) + t \cdot g(\underline{x})$$

then $j^k F = j^k f$ we can understand

F as an element in $\mathbb{R}_n[t] \subset \mathbb{R}_{n+1}$

$f(x) = F(x, 0)$ and $g(x) = F(x, 1)$,

moreover, we put $\forall t \in \mathbb{K} \quad f_t(x) := F(x, t)$

$\in \mathbb{R}_n$. We need to show: $m^{k+1} \subset m^2 \int_f \Rightarrow f$ is

k determined.

Claim 1: It is sufficient to show that

$\forall t \in \mathbb{K}: \exists \varepsilon > 0: f_0 \underset{\mathbb{R}}{\sim} f_t \quad \forall s \in \Delta_\varepsilon(t) :=$
 $\{s \in \mathbb{K} \mid |s-t| < \varepsilon\}$

clear: since $[0, 1] \subset \mathbb{K}$ is compact,

\forall coverings $[0, 1] = \cup \Delta_\varepsilon(t)$, \exists finite subset of coverings. $\Rightarrow f_0 = f \underset{\mathbb{R}}{\sim} f_1 = g$

Claim 2: In order to show Claim 1,

it suffices: $\forall g' \in \mathcal{R}_n, S \in m^{k+1} \text{ s.t.}$

$$m^{k+1} \subset m \int g' \Rightarrow \exists \varepsilon > 0 : g' \sim g' - t \cdot S$$

$\forall t \in \Delta_\varepsilon := \Delta_\varepsilon(0)$. Clear since by

1.) of the proof we have $m^{k+1} \subset m \int g'$

$\forall g' \text{ s.t. } j^{\otimes k} f = j^{\otimes k} g'$, in particular for

$$g' = f_t$$

3.) we need to prove: $g' \in \mathcal{R}_n, \underline{m^{k+1} \subset m \int g'}$

$$\underline{S \in m^{k+1} \Rightarrow \exists \varepsilon > 0 : g' \sim g' - t \cdot S \quad \forall t \in \Delta_\varepsilon}$$

Write $G(x, t) := g' - t \cdot S \in \mathcal{R}_{n+1}$

It suffices to find open ngl.

$0 \in U \subset \mathbb{K}^n$ and a $\begin{cases} \mathcal{C}^\infty \\ \text{hvl. map} \end{cases}$

$$H : U \times \Delta_\varepsilon \longrightarrow U \text{ s.t. :}$$

- i) $H(\underline{x}, 0) = \underline{x}$
 - ii) $H(0, t) = 0$
 - iii) $G(H(\underline{x}, t), t) = g'(\underline{x}) (= G(\underline{x}, 0))$
- $\forall \underline{x} \in U$
 $\forall t \in \Delta_\varepsilon$

Sufficient, since: $\forall t \in \Delta_\varepsilon$, ii) implies

$$h_t := H(-, t) \in \mathbb{R}^n_{\oplus n}, \text{ moreover, i) implies}$$

$Dh_0 = Id_n$ and because of continuity of Dh_t we have $\det(Dh_t) \neq 0$

$\forall t \in \Delta_\varepsilon$ for some $\varepsilon > 0$.

Hence $\forall t \in \Delta_\varepsilon : h_t \in \mathcal{G}_n$

Hence iii) $\Rightarrow g' - tS = \mathcal{G}(-, t) \sim g' \forall t \in \Delta_\varepsilon$

4.) in 3.) it suffices to find u

and H s.t. i), ii) and iii)' hold,

where iii)' := ∂_t (iii):

$$\partial_t \mathcal{G}(H(x, t), t) = \partial_t g'(x) = 0$$

This holds since $\partial_t \mathcal{G}(H(x, t), t) = 0$

is an ODE with coefficients from \mathbb{R}_n

and i), ii) are initial values.

$$\text{iii)' : } \partial_t \mathcal{G}(H(x, t), t)$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^m (\partial_{x_i} G)(H(x, t), t) \cdot (\partial_t H_i)(x, t) + (\partial_t G)(H(x, t), t) = 0 \quad (*)$$

where $H(x, t) = (H_1(x, t), \dots, H_m(x, t))$

so given $G := g' - t \cdot S$, where $m^{k+1} \subset m J_{g'}$, $S \in m^{k+1}$, we need to find H satisfying (*).

S.) Claim 3: From $m^{k+1} \subset m J_{g'}$

it follows: $\exists \psi_1, \dots, \psi_m \in R_{m+1}$:

a) $\psi_i(0, t) = 0$

b) $S = \sum_{i=1}^m (\partial_{x_i} G)(x, t) \cdot \psi_i(x, t)$

Pf of claim 3: notation. If

$I \subset R_n$ is ideal, then write

$I \cdot R_{n+1}$ for the ideal generated by

I in R_{n+1} (notice that $R_n \subset R_{n+1}$ is

a subring). Recall $G(x, t) = g' - t \cdot S$

$$S \in m_{R_n}^{k+1} \implies \boxed{\partial_{x_i} G = \partial_{x_i} g' - t \cdot \underbrace{\partial_{x_i} S}_{m_{R_n}^k}}$$

$$\implies \partial_{x_i} g' \in \underbrace{\mathcal{J}_G}_{\text{if}} + (t) \cdot m_{R_n}^k \cdot R_{n+1}$$

$$(\partial_{x_1} G, \dots, \partial_{x_n} G) \subset R_{n+1}$$

$$\mathcal{J}_{g'} \cdot R_{n+1} \stackrel{(*)}{\subset} \mathcal{J}_G + (t) \cdot m_{R_n}^k \cdot R_{n+1}$$

Since: $m_{R_n}^{k+1} \subset m_{R_n} \cdot \mathcal{J}_{g'} \implies m_{R_n}^{k+1} \cdot R_{n+1} \subset m_{R_n} \cdot \mathcal{J}_{g'} \cdot R_{n+1}$

from (**) :

$$m_{R_n}^{k+1} \cdot R_{n+1} \subset m_{R_n} \cdot \mathcal{J}_G \cdot R_{n+1} \subset m_{R_n} \mathcal{J}_G + (t) \cdot m_{R_n} \mathcal{J}_G$$

$\underbrace{\quad}_{m_{R_{n+1}}}$
 $\underbrace{\quad}_{m_{R_n}^{k+1} \mathcal{J}_G}$

so $m^{k+1} \subset m_{R_n} \mathcal{J}_G +$

NAK $\implies m_{R_n}^{k+1} \cdot R_{n+1} \subset m_{R_n} \mathcal{J}_G \subset R_{n+1}$

hence: from $S \in m_{R_n}^{k+1}$ (so that we

can see $S \in m_{R_n}^{k+1} \cdot R_{n+1}$) we get

$$S \in m_{R_n} \mathcal{J}_G \implies \exists \psi_1, \dots, \psi_n \in R_{n+1}$$

s.t. $S = \sum \psi_i(x_i, t) \cdot \partial_{x_i} \mathcal{G}(x, t)$

and $\psi_i \in m_{R_n} \cdot R_{n+1}$, i.e. $\psi_i(0, t) = 0$

6.) Let $\varphi_i \in \mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{R}^n} \cdot \mathbb{R}_{n \times 1} \subset \mathbb{R}_{n \times 1}$ be given, consider the system of ODE

$$\left. \begin{aligned} \partial_t H_i(x, t) &= \varphi_i(H(x, t), t) \\ H(x, 0) &= x \end{aligned} \right\} \text{(6.1)}$$

Usual existence theorems for ODE's yield unique solution of (6.1) on some $U \times \Delta_\varepsilon$, $0 \in U \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, since $\underbrace{H(x, 0) = x}_{\Downarrow}$ so $\det Dh_t(0) \neq 0 \quad \forall t \in \Delta_\varepsilon$ $Dh_0(0) = Id$

7.) from 5): (recall $G(x, t) = g'(x) - t \cdot S(x)$)

$$S = -(\partial_t G)(x, t) = -\sum_{i=1}^n \varphi_i(x, t) \cdot (\partial_{x_i} G)(x, t)$$

$\forall (x, t) \in U \times \Delta_\varepsilon$

\Rightarrow

$$(\partial_t G)(H(x, t), t) = \sum y_i (H(x, t), t)$$

$$\cdot (\partial_{x_i} G)(H(x, t), t)$$

since $h_t = H(-, t) \in G_{\mathcal{M}} \quad \forall t \in \Delta_\varepsilon$

$$\stackrel{6.)}{\implies} (\partial_t G)(H(x, t), t) = \sum (\partial_t H_i)(x, t)$$

$$\cdot (\partial_{x_i} G)(x, t)$$

This is the ODE (*) !!!



Lemma 3.8: $f, g \in \mathcal{R}_n$; $f \sim_{\mathcal{R}} g$

a) $\mu(f) = \mu(g)$ (i.e. $\mu(f) < \infty \iff \mu(g) < \infty$ and, if finite, they are equal)

b) f is k -det. $\Leftrightarrow g$ is k -det.

Proof: a) Let $\varphi = (\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_n) \in G_n$ with $\varphi^* f = g$

i.e. $g(x) = f(\varphi(x))$, G_n is group

$\Rightarrow \exists \psi \in G_n : \boxed{\varphi \circ \psi = \psi \circ \varphi = \text{id}}$, $f(\psi) = g(\varphi(x))$

$$\Rightarrow \underline{d_{x_i} g} = \sum_{j=1}^n \underbrace{(d_{x_j} f)(\varphi(x))}_{\varphi^* df} \cdot \frac{d\varphi_j}{d_{x_i}}(x)$$

$$\text{so } d_{x_i} g \in \varphi^* df \Rightarrow \underline{Jg} \subset \varphi^* Jf$$

$$\text{similarly: } \underline{Jf} \subset \psi^* Jg \quad \left. \begin{array}{l} \nearrow \\ \searrow \end{array} \right\} Jg = \varphi^* Jf$$

$$\text{since } \boxed{\varphi^* \circ \psi^* = \psi^* \circ \varphi^* = \text{id}_{\mathbb{R}^n}} \quad \begin{array}{l} \nearrow \\ \searrow \end{array} \quad Jf = \psi^* Jg$$

$$\Rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n / Jf \xrightleftharpoons[\psi^*]{\varphi^*} \mathbb{R}^n / Jg$$

hence $\text{dim}_{\mathbb{K}} (\mathcal{R}_n / \mathcal{I}_g) = \text{dim}_{\mathbb{K}} (\mathcal{R}_n / \mathcal{I}_f)$

\parallel \parallel
 $\mu(g) = \mu(f)$

b) if $\varphi \in \mathcal{I}_n \subset \mathcal{R}_n^{\oplus n} \Rightarrow \varphi^* m^k \subset m^k$

let f be k -determined (need to show: g is k -det.): let $S \in m^{k+1}$ and

$g' = g + S$, show $g \sim_{\mathbb{R}} g'$. Let $\varphi \in \mathcal{I}_n$

with $f = \varphi^* g$. Then:

$$g' \sim \varphi^* g' = \varphi^* (g + S) = \underbrace{\varphi^* g}_f + \varphi^* S$$

$\downarrow m^{k+1}$ f is k -det \downarrow

$$= f + \varphi^* S \underset{\mathbb{R}}{\sim} f \overset{\text{ass.}}{\sim} g \implies g' \sim g \quad \square$$