

Parameter estimation for multivariate exponential sums

Daniel Potts* Manfred Tasche[‡]

The recovery of signal parameters from noisy sampled data is an essential problem in digital signal processing. In this paper, we discuss the numerical solution of the following parameter estimation problem. Let h be a multivariate exponential sum, i.e., h is a finite linear combination of complex exponentials with distinct frequency vectors. Determine all parameters of h , i.e., all frequency vectors, all coefficients, and the number of exponentials, if finitely many equispaced sampled data of h are given. Using Ingham-type inequalities, the stability of the reconstructed exponential sum \tilde{h} is discussed both in the square and uniform norm. Further we show that a rectangular Fourier-type matrix has a bounded condition number, if the frequency vectors are well-separated and if the number of samples is sufficiently large. Then we reconstruct the parameters of an exponential sum h by a novel algorithm, the sparse approximate Prony method (SAPM), where we use only some data sampled along few lines. The first part of SAPM estimates the frequency vectors by using the approximate Prony method in the univariate case. The second part of SAPM computes all coefficients by solving an overdetermined linear Vandermonde-type system. Numerical experiments show the performance of our method.

Key words and phrases: Parameter estimation, multivariate exponential sum, multivariate exponential fitting problem, harmonic retrieval, sparse approximate Prony method, sparse approximate representation of signals.

AMS Subject Classifications: 65D10, 65T40, 41A45, 41A63, 65F20, 94A12.

*potts@mathematik.tu-chemnitz.de, Chemnitz University of Technology, Faculty of Mathematics, D-09107 Chemnitz, Germany

[‡]manfred.tasche@uni-rostock.de, University of Rostock, Institute for Mathematics, D-18051 Rostock, Germany

1 Introduction

Let the dimension $d \in \mathbb{N}$ and a positive integer $M \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{1\}$ be given. We consider a d -variate exponential sum of order M that is a linear combination

$$h(\mathbf{x}) := \sum_{j=1}^M c_j e^{i\mathbf{f}_j \cdot \mathbf{x}} \quad (\mathbf{x} = (x_l)_{l=1}^d \in \mathbb{R}^d) \quad (1.1)$$

of M complex exponentials with complex coefficients $c_j \neq 0$ and distinct frequency vectors $\mathbf{f}_j = (f_{j,l})_{l=1}^d \in \mathbb{T}^d \cong [-\pi, \pi]^d$. Here the torus \mathbb{T} is identified with the interval $[-\pi, \pi)$. Further the dot in the exponent denotes the usual scalar product in \mathbb{R}^d . If h is real-valued, then we can represent (1.1) as a linear combination of ridge functions

$$h(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{j=1}^M |c_j| \cos(\mathbf{f}_j \cdot \mathbf{x} + \varphi_j)$$

with $c_j = |c_j| e^{i\varphi_j}$. Assume that the frequency vectors $\mathbf{f}_j \in \mathbb{T}^d$ ($j = 1, \dots, M$) fulfill the *gap condition on \mathbb{T}^d*

$$\text{dist}(\mathbf{f}_j, \mathbf{f}_l) := \min\{\|(\mathbf{f}_j + 2\pi\mathbf{k}) - \mathbf{f}_l\|_\infty : \mathbf{k} \in \mathbb{Z}^d\} \geq q > 0$$

for all $j, l = 1, \dots, M$ with $j \neq l$. Let $N \in \mathbb{N}$ with $N \geq 2M + 1$ be given. Suppose that perturbed sampled data

$$\tilde{h}(\mathbf{n}) := h(\mathbf{n}) + e(\mathbf{n}), \quad \|e(\mathbf{n})\|_2 \leq \varepsilon$$

of (1.1) for all $\mathbf{n} \in K \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_N^d := [-N, N]^d \cap \mathbb{Z}^d$ are given, where the error terms $e(\mathbf{n}) \in \mathbb{C}$ are bounded by certain accuracy $\varepsilon > 0$.

Then we consider the following *parameter estimation problem* for the d -variate exponential sum (1.1): Recover the distinct frequency vectors $\mathbf{f}_j \in [-\pi, \pi)^d$ and the complex coefficients c_j in such a way that

$$|\tilde{h}(\mathbf{n}) - \sum_{j=1}^M c_j e^{i\mathbf{f}_j \cdot \mathbf{n}}| \leq \varepsilon \quad (\mathbf{n} \in K) \quad (1.2)$$

for very small accuracy $\varepsilon > 0$ and for minimal order M . With other words, we are interested in sparse approximate representations of the given noisy data $\tilde{h}(\mathbf{n}) \in \mathbb{C}$ by sampled data $h(\mathbf{n}) \in \mathbb{C}$ ($\mathbf{n} \in K$) of the exponential sum (1.1), where the condition (1.2) is fulfilled.

The approximation of data by finite linear combinations of complex exponentials has a long history, see [19, 20]. There exists a variety of applications, such as fitting nuclear magnetic resonance spectroscopic data [18] or the annihilating filter method [29, 6, 28]. Recently, the reconstruction method of [3] was generalized to bivariate exponential sums in [1]. In contrast to [1] we introduce a sparse approximate Prony method, where

we use only some data sampled along few lines. Further we remark the relation to a reconstruction method for sparse multivariate trigonometric polynomials, see Remark 5.4 and [14, 12, 30].

In this paper, we extend the approximate Prony method (see [23]) to multivariate exponential sums. Our approach can be described as follows:

(i) Solving a few one-dimensional reconstruction problems, we determine a finite set of feasible distinct frequency vectors \mathbf{f}'_k ($k = 1, \dots, M'$). For each reconstruction we use only data sampled along a line. As parameter estimation we use the one-dimensional approximate Prony method which can be replaced by another Prony-like method, such as ESPRIT [24, 25] or matrix pencil method [10, 27].

(ii) Then we test if a feasible frequency vector \mathbf{f}'_k ($k = 1, \dots, M'$) is an actual frequency vector of the exponential sum (1.1) too. Replacing the condition (1.2) by the overdetermined linear system

$$\sum_{k=1}^{M'} c'_k e^{i\mathbf{f}'_k \cdot \mathbf{n}} = \tilde{h}(\mathbf{n}) \quad (\mathbf{n} \in K), \quad (1.3)$$

we compute the least squares solution $(c'_k)_{k=1}^{M'}$. Then we say that \mathbf{f}'_k is an actual frequency vector of (1.1), if $|c'_k|$ is sufficiently large. Otherwise, \mathbf{f}'_k is interpreted as frequency vector of noise and is canceled.

(iii) In a final correction step, we solve the linear system

$$\sum_{j=1}^M \tilde{c}_j e^{i\tilde{\mathbf{f}}_j \cdot \mathbf{n}} = \tilde{h}(\mathbf{n}) \quad (\mathbf{n} \in K)$$

for the actual frequency vectors $\tilde{\mathbf{f}}_j$ ($j = 1, \dots, M'$).

As explained above, our reconstruction method uses least squares solution of the linear system (1.3) with the rectangular coefficient matrix

$$\left(e^{i\mathbf{f}'_k \cdot \mathbf{n}} \right)_{\mathbf{n} \in K, k=1, \dots, M'} \quad (|K| > M').$$

If this matrix has full rank M' and if its condition number is moderately sized, then one can efficiently compute the least squares solution of (1.3) which is sensitive to permutations of the coefficient matrix and the sampled data (see [7, pp. 239 – 244]). In the special case $K = \mathbb{Z}_N^d$, we show that this matrix is uniformly bounded, if $N > \frac{\sqrt{d}\pi}{q}$. Then we use $(2N + 1)^d$ sampled data for the reconstruction of M frequency vectors \mathbf{f}_j and M complex coefficients c_j of (1.1).

But our aim is an efficient parameter estimation of (1.1) by a relatively low number of given sampled data $\tilde{h}(\mathbf{n})$ ($\mathbf{n} \in K$). The corresponding approach is called sparse approximate Prony method (SAPM). Numerical experiments for d -variate exponential sums with $d \in \{2, 3, 4\}$ show the performance of our parameter reconstruction.

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we discuss the stability of the multivariate exponentials, since these results are essentially for the least squares problems

(1.3). Based on Ingham–type inequalities (see [15, 16]), we prove the Riesz stability of finitely many multivariate exponentials under some mild conditions in the squared and uniform norm (see Lemma 2.1 and Corollary 2.3). Furthermore we present a result for the converse assertion, i.e., if finitely many d -variate exponentials are Riesz stable, then the corresponding frequency vectors are well-separated (see Lemma 2.2). In Section 3, we extend these stability results to draw conclusions for discrete norms. Further we prove that the condition number of the coefficient matrix of (1.3) is uniformly bounded, if $K = \mathbb{Z}_N^d$ and N is sufficiently large. By the results of Section 3, one can see that well-separated frequency vectors are essential for a successful parameter estimation of (1.1). In Section 4 we give a short description of the approximate Prony method in the one-dimensional setting. We extend this method to the multivariate case in Section 5. Here we suggest the new SAPM. The main idea is to project the multivariate reconstruction problem to several one-dimensional problems and combine finally the one-dimensional results. We use only few data sampled along some lines in order to reconstruct a multivariate exponential sum. Finally, various numerical examples are presented in Section 6.

2 Stability of exponentials

As known, the main difficulty is the reconstruction of frequency vectors with small separation distance $q > 0$. Therefore first we discuss the stability properties of the finitely many d -variate exponentials in dependence of q . We start with a generalization of the known Ingham inequalities (see [11]):

Lemma 2.1 (see [15, pp. 153 – 156]). *Let $d \in \mathbb{N}$, $M \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{1\}$ and $T > 0$ be given. If the frequency vectors $\mathbf{f}_j \in \mathbb{R}^d$ ($j = 1, \dots, M$) fulfill the gap condition on \mathbb{R}^d*

$$\|\mathbf{f}_j - \mathbf{f}_k\|_\infty \geq q > \frac{\sqrt{d}\pi}{T} \quad (j, k = 1, \dots, M; j \neq k),$$

then the exponentials $e^{i\mathbf{f}_j \cdot (\cdot)}$ ($j = 1, \dots, M$) are Riesz stable in $L^2([-T, T]^d)$, i.e., for all complex vectors $\mathbf{c} = (c_j)_{j=1}^M$

$$\alpha \|\mathbf{c}\|_2^2 \leq \left\| \sum_{j=1}^M c_j e^{i\mathbf{f}_j \cdot (\cdot)} \right\|_2^2 \leq \beta \|\mathbf{c}\|_2^2 \quad (2.1)$$

with some positive constants α, β , independent of the particular choice of the coefficients c_j . Here $\|\mathbf{c}\|_2$ denotes the Euclidean norm of $\mathbf{c} \in \mathbb{C}^M$ and

$$\|f\|_2 := \left(\frac{1}{(2T)^d} \int_{[-T, T]^d} |f(\mathbf{x})|^2 d\mathbf{x} \right)^{1/2} \quad (f \in L^2([-T, T]^d)).$$

For a proof see [15, pp. 153 – 156]. Note that for $d = 1$, we obtain exactly the classical Ingham inequalities (see [11]). Obviously, the exponentials

$$e^{i\mathbf{f}_j \cdot (\cdot)} \quad (j = 1, \dots, M) \quad (2.2)$$

with distinct frequency vectors $\mathbf{f}_j \in \mathbb{R}^d$ ($j = 1, \dots, M$) are linearly independent and Riesz stable with positive constants α and β . The important fact is that the lower constant α is closely related to the separation distance q . More precisely, the existence of a positive constant α for the exponentials (2.2) implies that the frequency vectors are well-separated and that this system of exponentials is Riesz stable in $L^2([-T, T]^d)$. The following lemma generalizes a former result [17] for univariate exponentials.

Lemma 2.2 *Let $d \in \mathbb{N}$, $M \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{1\}$ and $T > 0$. Further let $\mathbf{f}_j \in \mathbb{R}^d$ ($j = 1, \dots, M$) be given. If there exists a constant $\alpha > 0$ such that*

$$\alpha \|\mathbf{c}\|_2^2 \leq \left\| \sum_{j=1}^M c_j e^{i\mathbf{f}_j \cdot (\cdot)} \right\|_2^2$$

for all complex vectors $\mathbf{c} = (c_j)_{j=1}^M$, then the frequency vectors \mathbf{f}_j are well-separated by

$$\|\mathbf{f}_j - \mathbf{f}_k\|_\infty \geq \frac{\sqrt{2\alpha}}{dT}$$

for all $j, k = 1, \dots, M$ ($j \neq k$). Moreover the exponentials $e^{i\mathbf{f}_j \cdot (\cdot)}$ ($j = 1, \dots, M$) are Riesz stable in $L^2([-T, T]^d)$.

Proof. 1. In the following proof we use similar arguments as in [5, Theorem 7.6.5]. We choose $c_j = -c_k = 1$ for $j \neq k$. All the other coefficients are equal to 0. Then by the assumption, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} 2\alpha &\leq \|e^{i\mathbf{f}_j \cdot (\cdot)} - e^{i\mathbf{f}_k \cdot (\cdot)}\|_2^2 \\ &= \frac{1}{(2T)^d} \int_{[-T, T]^d} |1 - e^{i(\mathbf{f}_k - \mathbf{f}_j) \cdot \mathbf{x}}|^2 d\mathbf{x} \\ &= \frac{1}{(2T)^d} \int_{[-T, T]^d} 4 \sin^2((\mathbf{f}_k - \mathbf{f}_j) \cdot \mathbf{x}/2) d\mathbf{x} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{(2T)^d} \int_{[-T, T]^d} |(\mathbf{f}_k - \mathbf{f}_j) \cdot \mathbf{x}|^2 d\mathbf{x} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{(2T)^d} \int_{[-T, T]^d} \|\mathbf{f}_k - \mathbf{f}_j\|_1^2 T^2 d\mathbf{x}, \end{aligned} \tag{2.3}$$

where we have used the estimate

$$|(\mathbf{f}_k - \mathbf{f}_j) \cdot \mathbf{x}| \leq \|\mathbf{f}_k - \mathbf{f}_j\|_1 \|\mathbf{x}\|_\infty \leq \|\mathbf{f}_k - \mathbf{f}_j\|_1 T$$

for all $\mathbf{x} \in [-T, T]^d$. Therefore (2.3) shows that

$$d \|\mathbf{f}_k - \mathbf{f}_j\|_\infty \geq \|\mathbf{f}_k - \mathbf{f}_j\|_1 \geq \frac{\sqrt{2\alpha}}{T}$$

for all $j, k = 1, \dots, M$ ($j \neq k$).

2. We see immediately that M is an upper Riesz bound for the exponentials $e^{i\mathbf{f}_j \cdot (\cdot)}$ ($j = 1, \dots, M$) in $L^2([-T, T]^d)$. By the Cauchy–Schwarz inequality we obtain

$$\left| \sum_{k=1}^M c_k e^{i\mathbf{f}_k \cdot \mathbf{x}} \right|^2 \leq M \|\mathbf{c}\|_2^2$$

for all $\mathbf{c} = (c_j)_{j=1}^M \in \mathbb{C}^M$ and all $\mathbf{x} \in [-T, T]^d$ such that

$$\left\| \sum_{k=1}^M c_k e^{i\mathbf{f}_k \cdot (\cdot)} \right\|_2^2 \leq M \|\mathbf{c}\|_2^2.$$

This completes the proof. ■

Corollary 2.3 *If the assumptions of Lemma 2.1 are fulfilled, then the exponentials $e^{i\mathbf{f}_j \cdot (\cdot)}$ ($j = 1, \dots, M$) are Riesz stable in $C([-T, T]^d)$, i.e., for all complex vectors $\mathbf{c} = (c_j)_{j=1}^M$*

$$\sqrt{\frac{\alpha}{M}} \|\mathbf{c}\|_1 \leq \left\| \sum_{j=1}^M c_j e^{i\mathbf{f}_j \cdot (\cdot)} \right\|_\infty \leq \|\mathbf{c}\|_1$$

with the uniform norm

$$\|f\|_\infty := \max_{\mathbf{x} \in [-T, T]^d} |f(\mathbf{x})| \quad (f \in C([-T, T]^d)).$$

Proof. Let $h \in C([-T, T]^d)$ be defined by (1.1). Then $\|h\|_2 \leq \|h\|_\infty < \infty$. Using the triangle inequality, we obtain that

$$\|h\|_\infty \leq \sum_{j=1}^M |c_j| \cdot 1 = \|\mathbf{c}\|_1.$$

From Lemma 2.1 and $\|\mathbf{c}\|_1 \leq \sqrt{M} \|\mathbf{c}\|_2$, it follows that

$$\sqrt{\frac{\alpha}{M}} \|\mathbf{c}\|_1 \leq \sqrt{\alpha} \|\mathbf{c}\|_2 \leq \|h\|_2.$$

This completes the proof. ■

Now we use the uniform norm of $C([-T, T]^d)$ and estimate the error $\|h - \tilde{h}\|_\infty$ between the original exponential sum (1.1) and its reconstruction

$$\tilde{h}(\mathbf{x}) := \sum_{j=1}^M \tilde{c}_j e^{i\tilde{\mathbf{f}}_j \cdot \mathbf{x}} \quad (\mathbf{x} \in [-T, T]^d).$$

We obtain a small error $\|h - \tilde{h}\|_\infty$ in the case $\sum_{j=1}^M |c_j - \tilde{c}_j| \ll 1$ and $\|\mathbf{f}_j - \tilde{\mathbf{f}}_j\|_\infty \leq \delta \ll 1$ ($j = 1, \dots, M$).

Theorem 2.4 Let $M \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{1\}$ and $T > 0$ be given. Let $\mathbf{c} = (c_j)_{j=1}^M$ and $\tilde{\mathbf{c}} = (\tilde{c}_j)_{j=1}^M$ be arbitrary complex vectors. If $\mathbf{f}_j, \tilde{\mathbf{f}}_j \in \mathbb{R}^d$ ($j = 1, \dots, M$) fulfill the conditions

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathbf{f}_j - \mathbf{f}_k\|_\infty &\geq q > \frac{3\sqrt{d}\pi}{2T} \quad (j, k = 1, \dots, M; j \neq k), \\ \|\tilde{\mathbf{f}}_j - \mathbf{f}_j\|_\infty &\leq \delta < \frac{\sqrt{d}\pi}{4T} \quad (j = 1, \dots, M), \end{aligned}$$

then both

$$e^{i\mathbf{f}_j \cdot (\cdot)} \quad (j = 1, \dots, M)$$

and

$$e^{i\tilde{\mathbf{f}}_j \cdot (\cdot)} \quad (j = 1, \dots, M)$$

are Riesz stable in $C([-T, T]^d)$. Further

$$\|h - \tilde{h}\|_\infty \leq \|\mathbf{c} - \tilde{\mathbf{c}}\|_1 + d\delta T \|\mathbf{c}\|_1.$$

Proof. 1. By the gap condition on \mathbb{R}^d we know that

$$\|\mathbf{f}_j - \mathbf{f}_k\|_\infty \geq q > \frac{3\sqrt{d}\pi}{2T} > \frac{\sqrt{d}\pi}{T} \quad (j, k = 1, \dots, M; j \neq k).$$

Hence the original exponentials $e^{i\mathbf{f}_j \cdot (\cdot)}$ ($j = 1, \dots, M$) are Riesz stable in $C([-T, T]^d)$ by Corollary 2.3. Using the assumptions, we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} \|\tilde{\mathbf{f}}_j - \tilde{\mathbf{f}}_k\|_\infty &\geq \|\mathbf{f}_j - \mathbf{f}_k\|_\infty - \|\tilde{\mathbf{f}}_j - \mathbf{f}_j\|_\infty - \|\tilde{\mathbf{f}}_k - \mathbf{f}_k\|_\infty \\ &\geq q - 2 \frac{\sqrt{d}\pi}{4T} > \frac{\sqrt{d}\pi}{T}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus the reconstructed exponentials

$$e^{i\tilde{\mathbf{f}}_j \cdot (\cdot)} \quad (j = 1, \dots, M)$$

are Riesz stable in $C([-T, T]^d)$ by Corollary 2.3 too.

2. Now we estimate the normwise error $\|h - \tilde{h}\|_\infty$ by the triangle inequality. Then we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|h - \tilde{h}\|_\infty &\leq \left\| \sum_{j=1}^M (c_j - \tilde{c}_j) e^{i\tilde{\mathbf{f}}_j \cdot (\cdot)} \right\|_\infty + \left\| \sum_{j=1}^M c_j (e^{i\mathbf{f}_j \cdot (\cdot)} - e^{i\tilde{\mathbf{f}}_j \cdot (\cdot)}) \right\|_\infty \\ &\leq \sum_{j=1}^M |c_j - \tilde{c}_j| + \sum_{j=1}^M |c_j| \max_{\mathbf{x} \in [-T, T]^d} |e^{i\mathbf{f}_j \cdot \mathbf{x}} - e^{i\tilde{\mathbf{f}}_j \cdot \mathbf{x}}|. \end{aligned}$$

Since for $\mathbf{d}_j := \tilde{\mathbf{f}}_j - \mathbf{f}_j$ ($j = 1, \dots, M$) and arbitrary $\mathbf{x} \in [-T, T]^d$, we can estimate

$$\begin{aligned} |e^{i\mathbf{f}_j \cdot \mathbf{x}} - e^{i\tilde{\mathbf{f}}_j \cdot \mathbf{x}}| &= |1 - e^{i\mathbf{d}_j \cdot \mathbf{x}}| = \sqrt{2 - 2 \cos(\mathbf{d}_j \cdot \mathbf{x})} \\ &= 2 \left| \sin \frac{\mathbf{d}_j \cdot \mathbf{x}}{2} \right| \leq |\mathbf{d}_j \cdot \mathbf{x}| \leq \|\mathbf{d}_j\|_\infty \|\mathbf{x}\|_1 \leq d\delta T \end{aligned}$$

such that we obtain

$$\|h - \tilde{h}\|_\infty \leq \|\mathbf{c} - \tilde{\mathbf{c}}\|_1 + d\delta T \|\mathbf{c}\|_1.$$

This completes the proof. ■

3 Stability of exponentials on a grid

In the former section we have studied the stability of d -variate exponentials defined on $[-T, T]^d$. Now we investigate the stability of d -variate exponentials restricted on a uniform grid \mathbb{Z}_N^d . First we will show that a discrete version of Lemma 2.1 is also true for d -variate exponential sums (1.1). If we sample an exponential sum (1.1) on the uniform grid \mathbb{Z}_N^d , then it is impossible to distinguish between the frequency vectors \mathbf{f}_j and $\mathbf{f}_j + 2\pi\mathbf{k}$ with certain $\mathbf{k} \in \mathbb{Z}^d$, since by the periodicity of the complex exponential

$$e^{i\tilde{\mathbf{f}}_j \cdot \mathbf{n}} = e^{i(\mathbf{f}_j + 2\pi\mathbf{k}) \cdot \mathbf{n}} \quad (\mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{Z}_N^d).$$

Therefore we assume in the following that $\mathbf{f}_j \in [-\pi, \pi)^d$ ($j = 1, \dots, M$) and we measure the distance between two different frequency vectors $\mathbf{f}_j, \mathbf{f}_l \in [-\pi, \pi)^d$ ($j, l = 1, \dots, M; j \neq l$) by

$$\text{dist}(\mathbf{f}_j, \mathbf{f}_l) := \min\{\|(\mathbf{f}_j + 2\pi\mathbf{k}) - \mathbf{f}_l\|_\infty : \mathbf{k} \in \mathbb{Z}^d\}.$$

Then the *separation distance* of the set $\{\mathbf{f}_j \in [-\pi, \pi)^d : j = 1, \dots, M\}$ is defined by

$$\min\{\text{dist}(\mathbf{f}_j, \mathbf{f}_l) : j, l = 1, \dots, M; j \neq l\} \in (0, \pi].$$

The separation distance can be interpreted as the smallest gap between two distinct frequency vectors in the d -dimensional torus \mathbb{T}^d .

Since we restrict an exponential sum h on the grid \mathbb{Z}_N^d , we use the norm

$$\frac{1}{(2N+1)^{d/2}} \left(\sum_{\mathbf{k} \in \mathbb{Z}_N^d} |h(\mathbf{k})|^2 \right)^{1/2}$$

in the Hilbert space $l^2(\mathbb{Z}_N^d)$.

Lemma 3.1 (see [16]). *Let $q \in (0, \pi]$ and $M \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{1\}$ be given. If the frequency vectors $\mathbf{f}_m \in (-\pi + \frac{q}{2}, \pi - \frac{q}{2})^d$ ($m = 1, \dots, M$) satisfy*

$$\|\mathbf{f}_m - \mathbf{f}_n\|_\infty \geq q > \frac{\sqrt{d}\pi}{N} \quad (m, n = 1, \dots, M; m \neq n), \quad (3.1)$$

then the exponentials $e^{i\mathbf{f}_j \cdot (\cdot)}$ ($j = 1, \dots, M$) are Riesz stable in $l^2(\mathbb{Z}_N^d)$, i.e., all complex vectors $\mathbf{c} = (c_j)_{j=1}^M$ satisfy the following Ingham-type inequalities

$$\alpha \|\mathbf{c}\|_2^2 \leq \frac{1}{(2N+1)^d} \sum_{\mathbf{k} \in \mathbb{Z}_N^d} \left| \sum_{j=1}^M c_j e^{i\mathbf{f}_j \cdot \mathbf{k}} \right|^2 \leq \beta \|\mathbf{c}\|_2^2$$

with some positive constants α and β , independent of the particular choice of \mathbf{c} .

Proof. 1. Note that $\mathbf{f}_m \in (-\pi + \frac{q}{2}, \pi - \frac{q}{2})^d$ ($m = 1, \dots, M$) with (3.1) implies

$$\text{dist}(\mathbf{f}_m, \mathbf{f}_n) > q$$

for all $m, n = 1, \dots, M$ with $m \neq n$. Thus the separation distance of the frequency vectors is greater than q . We see immediately that

$$\frac{1}{(2N+1)^d} \sum_{\mathbf{k} \in \mathbb{Z}_N^d} \left| \sum_{j=1}^M c_j e^{i \mathbf{f}_j \cdot \mathbf{k}} \right|^2 \leq \beta \|\mathbf{c}\|_2^2$$

is valid for $\beta = M$, because by Cauchy–Schwarz inequality we obtain

$$\left| \sum_{j=1}^M c_j e^{i \mathbf{f}_j \cdot \mathbf{k}} \right|^2 \leq M \|\mathbf{c}\|_2^2.$$

Thus we have to show only the existence of a constant $\alpha > 0$.

2. As usual, the space $H_0^1((-q, q)^d)$ consists of all functions (defined on $(-q, q)^d$) in the Sobolev space $H^1((-q, q)^d)$ of order 1, whose trace is zero. Let $\psi \in H_0^1((-q, q)^d)$ be a given function which we extend by 0 continuously on $\mathbb{R}^d \setminus (-q, q)^d$. Assume that ψ is infinitely differentiable outside the boundary of $[-q, q]^d$. By $\pi \geq q$, the Fourier transform of ψ reads as follows

$$\hat{\psi}(\mathbf{t}) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \psi(\mathbf{x}) e^{-i \mathbf{t} \cdot \mathbf{x}} d\mathbf{x} = \int_{[-\pi, \pi]^d} \psi(\mathbf{x}) e^{-i \mathbf{t} \cdot \mathbf{x}} d\mathbf{x} \quad (\mathbf{t} \in \mathbb{R}^d).$$

Then we obtain

$$\hat{\psi}(\mathbf{j}) = \int_{[-\pi, \pi]^d} \psi(\mathbf{x}) e^{-i \mathbf{j} \cdot \mathbf{x}} d\mathbf{x} = \int_{[-q, q]^d} \psi(\mathbf{x}) e^{-i \mathbf{j} \cdot \mathbf{x}} d\mathbf{x} \quad (\mathbf{j} \in \mathbb{Z}^d).$$

Since ψ is infinitely differentiable in the cube $[-q, q]^d$, it follows by repeated partial integration that

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{\mathbf{j} \in \mathbb{Z}_N^d} |\hat{\psi}(\mathbf{j})| < \infty.$$

The exponential functions

$$\sqrt[2d]{\frac{1}{2\pi}} e^{i \mathbf{j} \cdot \mathbf{x}} \quad (\mathbf{j} \in \mathbb{Z})$$

form an orthonormal basis in $L^2([-\pi, \pi]^d)$. If

$$\psi_{2\pi}(\mathbf{x}) := \sum_{\mathbf{k} \in \mathbb{Z}^d} \psi(\mathbf{x} + 2\pi \mathbf{k}) \quad (\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^d)$$

is the 2π –periodization of ψ , then the d –variate Fourier series of $\psi_{2\pi}$ (with square partial sums) converges uniformly in \mathbb{R}^d such that for all $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^d$

$$\sum_{\mathbf{j} \in \mathbb{Z}^d} \hat{\psi}(\mathbf{j}) e^{i \mathbf{j} \cdot \mathbf{x}} := \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{\mathbf{j} \in \mathbb{Z}_N^d} \hat{\psi}(\mathbf{j}) e^{i \mathbf{j} \cdot \mathbf{x}} = (2\pi)^d \psi_{2\pi}(\mathbf{x}). \quad (3.2)$$

Note that $\psi_{2\pi}(\mathbf{x}) = \psi(\mathbf{x})$ for $\mathbf{x} \in [-\pi, \pi]^d$ and $\psi_{2\pi}(\mathbf{x}) = 0$ if $q < \|\mathbf{x}\|_\infty < 2\pi - q$. By our assumptions concerning the frequencies \mathbf{f}_m , we see that in the case $m \neq n$

$$q < \|\mathbf{f}_m - \mathbf{f}_n\|_\infty \leq \|\mathbf{f}_m\|_\infty + \|\mathbf{f}_n\|_\infty < 2\left(\pi - \frac{q}{2}\right) = 2\pi - q$$

and hence $\psi_{2\pi}(\mathbf{f}_m - \mathbf{f}_n) = 0$. Thus for all $\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{f}_m - \mathbf{f}_n$ ($m, n = 1, \dots, M$), the function $\psi_{2\pi}$ is infinitely differentiable and the Fourier series (3.2) converges in these points. Then from (1.1) and (3.2) it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\mathbf{j} \in \mathbb{Z}^d} \hat{\psi}(\mathbf{j}) |h(\mathbf{j})|^2 &= \sum_{m,n=1}^M c_m \bar{c}_n \sum_{\mathbf{j} \in \mathbb{Z}^d} \hat{\psi}(\mathbf{j}) e^{i(\mathbf{f}_m - \mathbf{f}_n) \cdot \mathbf{j}} \\ &= (2\pi)^d \sum_{m,n=1}^M c_m \bar{c}_n \psi_{2\pi}(\mathbf{f}_m - \mathbf{f}_n) \\ &= (2\pi)^d \psi(\mathbf{0}) \|\mathbf{c}\|_2^2. \end{aligned} \tag{3.3}$$

3. By φ we denote the following eigenfunction of the Laplacian operator $-\Delta$ in the Sobolev space $H_0^1((-\frac{q}{2}, \frac{q}{2})^d)$ corresponding to the first eigenvalue $\frac{d\pi^2}{q^2}$:

$$\varphi(\mathbf{x}) := \prod_{j=1}^d \cos \frac{\pi x_j}{q} \quad (\mathbf{x} \in (-\frac{q}{2}, \frac{q}{2})^d).$$

Extending φ by zero on $\mathbb{R}^d \setminus (-\frac{q}{2}, \frac{q}{2})^d$, we obtain a continuous function on \mathbb{R}^d , still denoted by φ . We compute the Fourier transform

$$\hat{\varphi}(\mathbf{t}) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \varphi(\mathbf{x}) e^{-i\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{t}} d\mathbf{x} \quad (\mathbf{t} \in \mathbb{R}^d)$$

by

$$\int_{-q/2}^{q/2} \cos \frac{\pi x_j}{q} e^{-ix_j t_j} dx_j = \frac{2\pi}{q} \frac{\cos \frac{qt_j}{2}}{\frac{\pi^2}{q^2} - t_j^2} \quad (t_j \neq \pm \frac{\pi}{q}),$$

where the limits of the right-hand side for $t_j \rightarrow \pm \frac{\pi}{q}$ are equal to $\frac{q}{2}$. Thus we obtain

$$\hat{\varphi}(\mathbf{t}) = \left(\frac{2\pi}{q}\right)^d \prod_{j=1}^d \frac{\cos \frac{qt_j}{2}}{\frac{\pi^2}{q^2} - t_j^2} \quad (\mathbf{t} = (t_j)_{j=1}^d \in \mathbb{R}^d).$$

Let $\psi := N^2(\varphi * \varphi) + \Delta(\varphi * \varphi)$. Then ψ satisfies the conditions of step 2 with $\psi(\mathbf{0}) > 0$. Further ψ is infinitely differentiable outside the boundary of $[-q, q]^d$. By the properties of the Fourier transform, we obtain

$$\hat{\psi}(\mathbf{t}) = (N^2 - \|\mathbf{t}\|_2^2) (\hat{\varphi}(\mathbf{t}))^2 \quad (\mathbf{t} \in \mathbb{R}^d).$$

Thus $\hat{\psi}$ is bounded from above by some positive constant ν and $\hat{\psi} \leq 0$ on $\mathbb{R}^d \setminus B_N$, where B_N is the open ball with center $\mathbf{0}$ and radius N . Thus from (3.3) it follows that

$$\frac{(2\pi)^d \psi(\mathbf{0})}{\nu} \|\mathbf{c}\|_2^2 \leq \sum_{\|\mathbf{j}\|_2 \leq N} |h(\mathbf{j})|^2 \leq \sum_{\mathbf{j} \in \mathbb{Z}_N^d} |h(\mathbf{j})|^2.$$

This completes the proof. ■

Lemma 3.2 *Let $d \in \mathbb{N}$, $M \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{1\}$ and $N \in \mathbb{N}$ with $N \geq 2M + 1$ be given. Further let $\mathbf{f}_j \in [-\pi, \pi)^d$ ($j = 1, \dots, M$). If there exists a constant $\alpha > 0$ such that*

$$\alpha \|\mathbf{c}\|_2^2 \leq \frac{1}{(2N+1)^d} \sum_{\mathbf{k} \in \mathbb{Z}_N^d} \left| \sum_{j=1}^M c_j e^{i\mathbf{f}_j \cdot \mathbf{k}} \right|^2$$

for all complex vectors $\mathbf{c} = (c_j)_{j=1}^M$, then the frequency vectors \mathbf{f}_j are well-separated by

$$\text{dist}(\mathbf{f}_j, \mathbf{f}_l) \geq \frac{\sqrt{2\alpha}}{dN}$$

for all $j, l = 1, \dots, M$ with $j \neq l$. Moreover the exponentials $e^{i\mathbf{f}_j \cdot (\cdot)}$ ($j = 1, \dots, M$) are Riesz stable in $l^2(\mathbb{Z}_N^d)$.

The proof follows similar lines as the proof of Lemma 2.2 and is omitted here.

Introducing the *rectangular Fourier-type matrix*

$$\mathbf{F} := (2N+1)^{-d/2} (e^{i\mathbf{f}_j \cdot \mathbf{k}})_{\mathbf{k} \in \mathbb{Z}_N^d, j=1, \dots, M} \in \mathbb{C}^{(2N+1)^d \times M},$$

we improve the result of [22, Theorem 4.3].

Corollary 3.3 *Under the assumptions of Lemma 3.1, the rectangular Fourier-type matrix \mathbf{F} has a uniformly bounded condition number $\text{cond}_2(\mathbf{F})$ for all integers $N > \frac{\sqrt{d}\pi}{q}$.*

Proof. By Lemma 3.1, we know that for all $\mathbf{c} \in \mathbb{C}^M$

$$\alpha \mathbf{c}^H \mathbf{c} \leq \mathbf{c}^H \mathbf{F}^H \mathbf{F} \mathbf{c} \leq \beta \mathbf{c}^H \mathbf{c} \quad (3.4)$$

with positive constants α, β . Let $\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \dots \geq \lambda_M \geq 0$ be the ordered eigenvalues of $\mathbf{F}^H \mathbf{F} \in \mathbb{C}^{M \times M}$. Using the Rayleigh–Ritz Theorem and (3.4), we obtain that

$$\alpha \mathbf{c}^H \mathbf{c} \leq \lambda_M \mathbf{c}^H \mathbf{c} \leq \mathbf{c}^H \mathbf{F}^H \mathbf{F} \mathbf{c} \leq \lambda_1 \mathbf{c}^H \mathbf{c} \leq \beta \mathbf{c}^H \mathbf{c}$$

and hence

$$0 < \alpha \leq \lambda_M \leq \lambda_1 \leq \beta < \infty.$$

Thus $\mathbf{F}^H \mathbf{F}$ is positive definite and

$$\text{cond}_2(\mathbf{F}) = \sqrt{\frac{\lambda_1}{\lambda_M}} \leq \sqrt{\frac{\beta}{\alpha}}.$$

This completes the proof. ■

Remark 3.4 Let us consider the parameter estimation problem (1.2) in the special case $K = \mathbb{Z}_N^d$ with $(2N + 1)^d$ given sampled data $\tilde{h}(\mathbf{n})$ ($\mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{Z}_N^d$). Assume that distinct frequency vectors $\mathbf{f}_j \in [-\pi, \pi)^d$ ($j = 1, \dots, M$) with separation distance q are determined. If we replace (1.2) by the overdetermined linear system

$$\sum_{j=1}^M c_j e^{i\mathbf{f}_j \cdot \mathbf{k}} = \tilde{h}(\mathbf{k}) \quad (\mathbf{k} \in \mathbb{Z}_N^d), \quad (3.5)$$

then by Corollary 3.3 the coefficient matrix has a uniformly bounded condition number for all $N > \frac{\sqrt{d}\pi}{q}$. Further this matrix has full rank M . Hence the least squares solution $(c_j)_{j=1}^M$ can be computed and the sensitivity of the least squares solution to perturbations can be shown [7, pp. 239 – 244]. Unfortunately, this method requires too many sampled data. In Section 5, we propose another parameter estimation method which uses only a relatively low number of sampled data. \square

4 Approximate Prony method for $d = 1$

In this section we give a short description of the *approximate Prony method* (APM) in the case $d = 1$. For details see [3, 23, 21]. Let $M \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{1\}$ and $N \in \mathbb{N}$ with $N \geq 2M + 1$ be given. By \mathbb{Z}_N we denote the finite set $[-N, N] \cap \mathbb{Z}$. We consider a univariate exponential sum

$$h(x) := \sum_{j=1}^M c_j e^{if_j x} \quad (x \in \mathbb{R})$$

with distinct, ordered frequencies $-\pi \leq f_1 < f_2 < \dots < f_M < \pi$ and nontrivial complex coefficients c_j . Assume that these frequencies are well-separated in the sense that

$$\text{dist}(f_j, f_l) := \min\{|(f_j + 2\pi k) - f_l| : k \in \mathbb{Z}\} > \frac{\pi}{N}$$

for all $j, l = 1, \dots, M$ with $j \neq l$. Suppose that noisy sampled data $\tilde{h}(k) := h(k) + e(k) \in \mathbb{C}$ ($k \in \mathbb{Z}_N$) are given, where the magnitudes of the error terms $e(k)$ are uniformly bounded by a certain accuracy $\varepsilon_1 > 0$. Then we consider the following *nonlinear approximation problem*: Recover the distinct frequencies $f_j \in [-\pi, \pi)$ and the complex coefficients c_j in such a way that

$$|\tilde{h}(k) - \sum_{j=1}^M c_j e^{if_j k}| \leq \varepsilon \quad (k \in \mathbb{Z}_N)$$

for very small accuracy $\varepsilon > 0$ and for minimal number M of nontrivial summands. This problem can be solved by the following algorithm.

Algorithm 4.1 (APM)

Input: $L, N \in \mathbb{N}$ ($3 \leq L \leq N$, L is an upper bound of the number of exponentials), $\tilde{h}(k) = h(k) + e(k) \in \mathbb{C}$ ($k \in \mathbb{Z}_N$) with $|e(k)| \leq \varepsilon_1$, and accuracy bounds $\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2 > 0$.

1. Determine the smallest singular value $\tilde{\sigma}$ of the rectangular Hankel matrix

$$\tilde{\mathbf{H}} := (\tilde{h}(k+l))_{k=-N, l=0}^{N-L, L}$$

and related right singular vector $\tilde{\mathbf{u}} = (\tilde{u}_l)_{l=0}^L$ by singular value decomposition.

2. Compute all zeros \tilde{z}_j ($j = 1, \dots, L$) of the polynomial $\sum_{l=0}^L \tilde{u}_l z^l$ and determine all that zeros \tilde{z}_j ($j = 1, \dots, \tilde{M}$) that fulfill the property $||\tilde{z}_j| - 1| \leq \varepsilon_2$. Note that $L \geq \tilde{M}$.

3. For $\tilde{w}_j := \tilde{z}_j/|\tilde{z}_j|$ ($j = 1, \dots, \tilde{M}$), compute $\tilde{c}_j \in \mathbb{C}$ ($j = 1, \dots, \tilde{M}$) as least squares solution of the overdetermined linear Vandermonde-type system

$$\sum_{j=1}^{\tilde{M}} \tilde{c}_j \tilde{w}_j^k = \tilde{h}(k) \quad (k \in \mathbb{Z}_N).$$

For large \tilde{M} and N , we can apply the CGNR method (conjugate gradient on the normal equations), where the multiplication of the rectangular Fourier-type matrix $(\tilde{w}_j^k)_{k=-N, j=1}^{N, \tilde{M}}$ is realized in each iteration step by the nonequispaced fast Fourier transform (NFFT) (see [13]).

4. Delete all the \tilde{w}_l ($l \in \{1, \dots, \tilde{M}\}$) with $|\tilde{c}_l| \leq \varepsilon_1$ and denote the remaining entries by \tilde{w}_j ($j = 1, \dots, M$) with $M \leq \tilde{M}$.

5. Repeat step 3 and compute $\tilde{c}_j \in \mathbb{C}$ ($j = 1, \dots, M$) as least squares solution of the overdetermined linear Vandermonde-type system

$$\sum_{j=1}^M \tilde{c}_j \tilde{w}_j^k = \tilde{h}(k) \quad (k \in \mathbb{Z}_N)$$

with respect to the new set $\{\tilde{w}_j : j = 1, \dots, M\}$ again. Set $\tilde{f}_j := \text{Im}(\log \tilde{w}_j)$ ($j = 1, \dots, M$).

Output: The reconstructed parameters of h are $M \in \mathbb{N}$, $\tilde{f}_j \in [-\pi, \pi)$, and $\tilde{c}_j \in \mathbb{C}$ ($j = 1, \dots, M$).

Remark 4.2 The convergence and stability properties of Algorithm 4.1 are discussed in [23]. In all numerical tests of Algorithm 4.1 (see Section 6 and [23, 21]), we have obtained very good reconstruction results. All frequencies and coefficients can be computed such that

$$\max_{j=1, \dots, M} |f_j - \tilde{f}_j| \ll 1, \quad \sum_{j=1}^M |c_j - \tilde{c}_j| \ll 1.$$

We have to assume that the frequencies f_j are well-separated, that $|c_j|$ are not too small, that the number $2N + 1$ of samples is sufficiently large, that a convenient upper bound L of the number of exponentials is known, and that the error bound ε_1 of the sampled data is small. Up to now, useful error estimates of $\max |f_j - \tilde{f}_j|$ and $\sum_{j=1}^M |c_j - \tilde{c}_j|$ are unknown. \square

Remark 4.3 The above algorithm has been tested for $M \leq 100$ and $N \leq 10^5$ in MATLAB with double precision. For fixed upper bound L and variable N , the arithmetic cost of this algorithm are very moderate with about $\mathcal{O}(N \log N)$ flops. In the step 1, the singular value decomposition needs $14(2N - L + 1)(L + 1)^2 + 8(L + 1)^2$ flops. In the step 2, the QR decomposition of the companion matrix requires $\frac{4}{3}(L + 1)^3$ flops (see [9], p. 337). For large values N and \tilde{M} , one can use the nonequispaced fast Fourier transform iteratively in steps 3 and 5. Since the condition number of the Fourier-type matrix $(\tilde{w}_j^k)_{k=-N, j=1}^{N, \tilde{M}}$ is uniformly bounded by Corollary 3.3, we need finitely many iterations of the CGNR method. In each iteration step, the product between this Fourier-type matrix and an arbitrary vector of length \tilde{M} can be computed with the NFFT by $\mathcal{O}(N \log N + L |\log \varepsilon|)$ flops, where $\varepsilon > 0$ is the wanted accuracy (see [13]). \square

Remark 4.4 In this paper, we use the Algorithm 4.1 for parameter estimation of univariate exponential sums. But we can replace this procedure also by another Prony-like method, such as ESPRIT [24, 25] or matrix pencil method [10, 27]. \square

Remark 4.5 By similar ideas, we can reconstruct also all parameters of an *extended exponential sum*

$$h(x) = \sum_{j=1}^M p_j(x) e^{i f_j x} \quad (x \in \mathbb{R}),$$

where p_j ($j = 1, \dots, M$) is an algebraic polynomial of degree $m_j \geq 0$ (see [4, p.169]). Then we can interpret the exactly sampled values

$$h(n) = \sum_{j=1}^M p_j(n) z_j^n \quad (n \in \mathbb{Z}_N)$$

with $z_j := e^{i f_j}$ as a solution of a homogeneous linear difference equation

$$\sum_{k=0}^K p_k h(j+k) = 0 \quad (j \in \mathbb{Z}), \tag{4.1}$$

where p_k ($k = 0, \dots, K$) are defined by

$$\prod_{j=1}^M (z - z_j)^{m_j+1} = \sum_{k=0}^{M_{\text{total}}} p_k z^k, \quad M_{\text{total}} := \sum_{j=1}^M (m_j + 1).$$

Note that in this case z_j is a zero of order m_j of the polynomial and we can cover multiple zeros with this approach. Consequently, (4.1) has the general solution

$$h(k) = \sum_{j=1}^M \left(\sum_{l=0}^{m_j} c_{j,l} k^l \right) z_j^k \quad (k \in \mathbb{Z}).$$

Then we determine the coefficients $c_{j,l}$ ($j = 1, \dots, M; l = 0, \dots, m_j$) in such a way that

$$\sum_{j=1}^M \left(\sum_{l=0}^{m_j} c_{j,l} k^l \right) z_j^k \approx h(k) \quad (k \in \mathbb{Z}_N),$$

where we assume that $N \geq 2M_{\text{total}} + 1$. To this end, we compute the least squares solution of the above overdetermined linear system. \square

5 Sparse approximate Prony method for $d > 1$

Let $d, M \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{1\}$ and $N \in \mathbb{N}$ with $N \geq 2M + 1$ be given. The aim of this section is to present a new efficient parameter estimation method for a d -variate exponential sum of order M using only $\mathcal{O}(N)$ sampling points. The main idea is to project the multivariate reconstruction problem to several one-dimensional problems and to solve these problems by methods from the previous Section 4. Finally we combine the results from the one-dimensional problems. Note that it is not necessary to sample the d -variate function h on the whole grid \mathbb{Z}_N^d .

For simplicity, we consider first a bivariate exponential sum

$$h(x_1, x_2) = \sum_{j=1}^M c_j e^{i(f_{j,1}x_1 + f_{j,2}x_2)}.$$

Assume that the frequency vectors $\mathbf{f}_j = (f_{j,1}, f_{j,2})^\top \in [-\pi, \pi)^2$ ($j = 1, \dots, M$) are *well-separated* by

$$\text{dist}(\mathbf{f}_j, \mathbf{f}_k) > \frac{\sqrt{2}\pi}{N}.$$

Additionally we suppose that the components of the frequency vectors fulfill $\text{dist}(f_{j,l}, f_{k,l}) > \pi/N$ or $f_{j,l} = f_{k,l}$ for all $j, k = 1, \dots, M$ and $l = 1, 2$. We solve the corresponding parameter estimation problem stepwise and call this new procedure *sparse approximate Prony method* (SAPM). Here we use only values $h(n, 0)$, $h(0, n)$, $h(n, \alpha n + \beta)$ ($n \in \mathbb{Z}_N$) sampled along straight lines, where $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$ and $\beta \in \mathbb{Z}$ are conveniently chosen.

First we consider the given noisy data $\tilde{h}(n, 0)$ ($n \in \mathbb{Z}_N$) of

$$h(n, 0) = \sum_{j=1}^M c_j e^{if_{j,1}n} = \sum_{j=1}^{M_1} c_{j,1} e^{if'_{j,1}n}, \quad (5.1)$$

where $1 \leq M_1 \leq M$, $f'_{j,1} \in [-\pi, \pi)$ ($j = 1, \dots, M_1$) are the distinct values of $f_{j,1}$ ($j = 1, \dots, M$) and $c_{j,1} \in \mathbb{C}$ are certain linear combinations of the coefficients c_j . Assume that $c_{j,1} \neq 0$ (without cancellation). Using the Algorithm 4.1, compute the pairwise different frequencies $f'_{j,1} \in [-\pi, \pi)$ ($j = 1, \dots, M_1$).

Analogously, we consider the given noisy data $\tilde{h}(0, n)$ ($n = -N, \dots, N$) of

$$h(0, n) = \sum_{j=1}^M c_j e^{if_{j,2}n} = \sum_{j=1}^{M_2} c_{j,2} e^{if'_{j,2}n}, \quad (5.2)$$

where $1 \leq M_2 \leq M$, $f'_{j,2} \in [-\pi, \pi)$ ($j = 1, \dots, M_2$) are the distinct values of $f_{j,2}$ ($j = 1, \dots, M$) and $c_{j,2} \in \mathbb{C}$ are certain linear combinations of the coefficients c_j . Assume that $c_{j,2} \neq 0$ (without cancellation). Using the Algorithm 4.1, we compute the pairwise different frequencies $f'_{j,2} \in [-\pi, \pi)$ ($j = 1, \dots, M_2$).

Then we form the Cartesian product

$$F = \{(f'_{j_1,1}, f'_{j_2,2})^\top \in [-\pi, \pi)^2 : j_1 = 1, \dots, M_1, j_2 = 1, \dots, M_2\} \quad (5.3)$$

of the sets $\{f'_{1,1}, \dots, f'_{M_1,1}\}$ and $\{f'_{1,2}, \dots, f'_{M_2,2}\}$. Now the question arises which $(f'_{k,1}, f'_{k,2})^\top$ ($k = 1, \dots, M_1M_2$) are approximations of the frequency vectors $\mathbf{f}_j = (f_{j,1}, f_{j,2})^\top$ ($j = 1, \dots, M$). Therefore we choose further parameters $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$, $\beta \in \mathbb{Z}$ and consider the given noisy data $\tilde{h}(n, \alpha n + \beta)$ ($n \in \mathbb{Z}_N$) of

$$h(n, \alpha n + \beta) = \sum_{j=1}^M c_j e^{i\beta f_{j,2}} e^{i(f_{j,1} + \alpha f_{j,2})n} = \sum_{j=1}^{M_3} c_{j,3} e^{if_j(\alpha)n}, \quad (5.4)$$

where $1 \leq M_3 \leq M$, $f_j(\alpha) \in [-\pi, \pi)$ ($j = 1, \dots, M_3$) are the distinct values of $(f_{j,1} + \alpha f_{j,2})_{2\pi}$ ($j = 1, \dots, M$). Here $(f_{j,1} + \alpha f_{j,2})_{2\pi}$ is the symmetric residuum of $f_{j,1} + \alpha f_{j,2}$ modulo 2π , i.e. $f_{j,1} + \alpha f_{j,2} \in (f_{j,1} + \alpha f_{j,2})_{2\pi} + 2\pi\mathbb{Z}$ and $(f_{j,1} + \alpha f_{j,2})_{2\pi} \in [-\pi, \pi)$. Note that $f_j(\alpha) \in [-\pi, \pi)$ and that $f_{j,1} + \alpha f_{j,2}$ can be located outside of $[-\pi, \pi)$. The coefficients $c_{j,3} \in \mathbb{C}$ are certain linear combinations of the coefficients $c_j e^{i\beta f_{j,2}}$. Assume that $c_{j,3} \neq 0$ (without cancellation). Using the Algorithm 4.1, we compute the distinct frequencies $f_j(\alpha) \in [-\pi, \pi)$ ($j = 1, \dots, M_3$).

Then we form the subset $\tilde{F} \subset F$ of all those $(f'_{k,1}, f'_{k,2})^\top \in F$ ($k = 1, \dots, M_1M_2$) such that there exists a frequency $f_j(\alpha)$ with

$$|f_j(\alpha) - (f'_{k,1} + \alpha f'_{k,2})_{2\pi}| < \varepsilon_1,$$

where $\varepsilon_1 > 0$ is an accuracy bound. We repeat the last step with different parameters α and β to obtain a small set $\tilde{F} := \{\tilde{\mathbf{f}}_j = (\tilde{f}_{j,1}, \tilde{f}_{j,2})^\top : j = 1, \dots, |\tilde{F}|\}$ if necessary. Finally we compute the coefficients \tilde{c}_j ($j = 1, \dots, |\tilde{F}|$) as least squares solution of the overdetermined linear system

$$\sum_{j=1}^{|\tilde{F}|} \tilde{c}_j e^{i\tilde{\mathbf{f}}_j \cdot \mathbf{n}} = \tilde{h}(\mathbf{n}) \quad (\mathbf{n} \in I). \quad (5.5)$$

With other words, this linear system (5.5) reads as follows

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{j=1}^{|\tilde{F}|} \tilde{c}_j e^{i\tilde{f}_{j,1}n} &= \tilde{h}(n, 0) \quad (n \in \mathbb{Z}_N), \\ \sum_{j=1}^{|\tilde{F}|} \tilde{c}_j e^{i\tilde{f}_{j,2}n} &= \tilde{h}(0, n) \quad (n \in \mathbb{Z}_N), \\ \sum_{j=1}^{|\tilde{F}|} \tilde{c}_j e^{i\beta\tilde{f}_{j,2}} e^{i(\tilde{f}_{j,1} + \alpha\tilde{f}_{j,2})n} &= \tilde{h}(n, \alpha n + \beta) \quad (n \in \mathbb{Z}_N). \end{aligned}$$

Unfortunately, these three system matrices can possess equal columns. Therefore we represent these matrices as products $\mathbf{F}_l \mathbf{M}_l$ ($l = 1, 2, 3$), where \mathbf{F}_l is a nonequispaced Fourier matrix with distinct columns and where all entries of \mathbf{M}_l are equal to 0 or 1 and only one entry of each column is equal to 1. By [22, Theorem 4.3] the nonequispaced Fourier matrices \mathbf{F}_l ($l = 1, 2, 3$) possesses left inverses \mathbf{L}_l . If we introduce the vectors $\tilde{\mathbf{h}}_1 := (\tilde{h}(n, 0))_{n=-N}^N$, $\tilde{\mathbf{h}}_2 := (\tilde{h}(0, n))_{n=-N}^N$, $\tilde{\mathbf{h}}_3 := (\tilde{h}(n, \alpha n + \beta))_{n=-N}^N$, $\tilde{\mathbf{c}} := (\tilde{c}_j)_{j=1}^{|\tilde{F}|}$, and the diagonal matrix $\mathbf{D} := \text{diag}(\exp(i\beta\tilde{f}_{j,2}))_{j=1}^{|\tilde{F}|}$, we obtain the linear system

$$\begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{M}_1 \\ \mathbf{M}_2 \\ \mathbf{M}_3 \mathbf{D} \end{pmatrix} \tilde{\mathbf{c}} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{L}_1 \tilde{\mathbf{h}}_1 \\ \mathbf{L}_2 \tilde{\mathbf{h}}_2 \\ \mathbf{L}_3 \tilde{\mathbf{h}}_3 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (5.6)$$

By a convenient choice of the parameters α, β , the rank of the above system matrix is equal to $|\tilde{F}|$. If this is not the case, we can use sampled values of h along another straight line. In our numerical experiments we have used only the values \tilde{h} sampled on grid points of the straight lines. We summarize:

Algorithm 5.1 (SAPM for $d = 2$)

Input: $\tilde{h}(n, 0), \tilde{h}(0, n) \in \mathbb{C}$ ($n \in \mathbb{Z}_N$), accuracies $\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2 > 0$.

m number of additional straight lines, parameters $\boldsymbol{\alpha} = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m) \in \mathbb{R}^m$, $\boldsymbol{\beta} = (\beta_1, \dots, \beta_m) \in \mathbb{R}^m$, $\tilde{h}(n, \alpha_l n + \beta_l) \in \mathbb{C}$ ($n \in \mathbb{Z}_N; l = 1, \dots, m$)

1. From the noisy data $\tilde{h}(n, 0)$ ($n \in \mathbb{Z}_N$) and $\tilde{h}(0, n)$ ($n \in \mathbb{Z}_N$) compute by Algorithm 4.1 the distinct frequencies $f'_{j,1} \in [-\pi, \pi)$ ($j = 1, \dots, M_1$) in (5.1) and $f'_{j,2} \in [-\pi, \pi)$ ($j = 1, \dots, M_2$) in (5.2), respectively. Set $I := \{(n, 0) : n \in \mathbb{Z}_N\} \cup \{(0, n) : n \in \mathbb{Z}_N\}$.
2. Form the Cartesian product (5.3).
3. For $l = 1, \dots, m$ do:

From the noisy data $\tilde{h}(n, \alpha_l n + \beta_l)$ ($n \in \mathbb{Z}_N$), compute the distinct frequencies $f_j(\alpha_l) \in [-\pi, \pi)$ ($j = 1, \dots, M_3$) in (5.4) by Algorithm 4.1. Form the subset $F' := \{f'_j : j = 1, \dots, |F'|\}$ of F of all those $(f'_{k,1}, f'_{k,2})^\top \in F$ ($k = 1, \dots, |F|$) such that there exists a frequency $f_j(\alpha_l)$ with

$$|f_j(\alpha_l) - (f'_{k,1} + \alpha_l f'_{k,2})2\pi| < \varepsilon_1.$$

Set $I := I \cup \{(n, \alpha_l n + \beta_l) : n \in \mathbb{Z}_N\}$.

4. Compute the least squares solution of the overdetermined linear system

$$\sum_{j=1}^{|F'|} c'_j e^{i\mathbf{f}'_j \cdot \mathbf{n}} = \tilde{h}(\mathbf{n}) \quad (\mathbf{n} \in I)$$

for the frequency set F' .

5. Form the subset $\tilde{F} = \{\tilde{\mathbf{f}}_j : j = 1, \dots, M\}$ of F' of all those $\mathbf{f}'_k \in F'$ ($k = 1, \dots, |F'|$) with $|c'_k| > \varepsilon_2$.

6. Compute the least squares solution of the overdetermined linear system (5.5) corresponding to the new frequency set \tilde{F} .

Output: $M := |\tilde{F}| \in \mathbb{N}$, $\tilde{\mathbf{f}}_j \in [-\pi, \pi]^2$, $\tilde{c}_j \in \mathbb{C}$ ($j = 1, \dots, M$).

Note that it can be useful in some applications to choose the straight lines $\alpha_l n + \beta_l$ ($n \in \mathbb{Z}_N$) at random.

In the following we extend the Algorithm 5.1 to the case $d > 2$. To this end, we add a dimension step by step. More precisely, in order to solve the parameter estimation problem for $d = 3$, we use Algorithm 5.1 with given values $\tilde{h}(n, 0, 0)$, $\tilde{h}(0, n, 0)$, $\tilde{h}(n, \alpha^{(1)}n + \beta^{(1)}, 0)$ ($n \in \mathbb{Z}_N$). Then we compute from the noisy data $\tilde{h}(0, 0, n)$ ($n \in \mathbb{Z}_N$) of

$$h(0, 0, n) = \sum_{j=1}^M c_j e^{if_{j,3}n} = \sum_{j=1}^{M_3} c_{j,3} e^{if'_{j,3}n},$$

where $1 \leq M_3 \leq M$, $f'_{j,3} \in [-\pi, \pi)$ ($j = 1, \dots, M_3$) the distinct values of $f_{j,3}$ ($j = 1, \dots, M_3$) and $c_{j,3} \in \mathbb{C}$ are certain linear combinations of the coefficients c_j . Assume that $c_{j,3} \neq 0$ (without cancellation). Using the Algorithm 4.1, we compute the distinct frequencies $f'_{j,3} \in [-\pi, \pi)$ ($j = 1, \dots, M_3$) and form the Cartesian product

$$F = \{(f'_{k,1}, f'_{k,2}, f'_{j,3})^\top \in [-\pi, \pi)^3 : k = 1, \dots, K, j = 1, \dots, M_3\}$$

of the sets $\{(f'_{1,1}, f'_{1,2})^\top, \dots, (f'_{K,1}, f'_{K,2})^\top\}$ and $\{f'_{1,3}, \dots, f'_{M_3,3}\}$, where the set

$$\{(f'_{k,1}, f'_{k,2})^\top \in [-\pi, \pi)^2 : k = 1, \dots, K\}$$

is the corresponding set after Step 5 of Algorithm 5.1. Now we form a subset of F by using further straight lines. We denote by m_r the number of straight lines to restrict the set F for the dimension r ($r = 2, \dots, d$). We describe this lines by the parameters

$$\begin{aligned} \boldsymbol{\alpha}^{(r)} &= \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_{1,1}^{(r)} & \cdots & \alpha_{1,r-1}^{(r)} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \alpha_{m_r,1}^{(r)} & \cdots & \alpha_{m_r,r-1}^{(r)} \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^{m_r \times (r-1)}, \\ \boldsymbol{\beta}^{(r)} &= \begin{pmatrix} \beta_{1,1}^{(r)} & \cdots & \beta_{1,r-1}^{(r)} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \beta_{m_r,1}^{(r)} & \cdots & \beta_{m_r,r-1}^{(r)} \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^{m_r \times (r-1)} \quad (r = 2, \dots, d), \end{aligned}$$

where $\alpha_{k,1}^{(r)}, \dots, \alpha_{k,r-1}^{(r)}$ and $\beta_{k,1}^{(r)}, \dots, \beta_{k,r-1}^{(r)}$ are the parameters for the k -th line ($k = 1, \dots, m_r$) for the restriction in dimension r ($r = 2, \dots, d$).

Thus we obtain the following algorithm:

Algorithm 5.2 (SAPM for $d > 2$)

Input: $\tilde{h}(n, 0, \dots, 0), \tilde{h}(0, n, 0, \dots, 0), \dots, \tilde{h}(0, \dots, 0, n)$ ($n \in \mathbb{Z}_N$), accuracies $\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2 > 0$, m_r number of straight lines for dimension $r = 2, \dots, d$, parameters of straight lines $\boldsymbol{\alpha}^{(r)}, \boldsymbol{\beta}^{(r)} \in \mathbb{R}^{m_r \times (r-1)}$.

Step 1. From the noisy data $\tilde{h}(n, 0, \dots, 0), \tilde{h}(0, n, 0, \dots, 0), \dots, \tilde{h}(0, \dots, 0, n)$ ($n \in \mathbb{Z}_N$) compute by Algorithm 4.1 the distinct frequencies $f'_{j,1} \in [-\pi, \pi)$ ($j = 1, \dots, M_1$), $f'_{j,2} \in [-\pi, \pi)$ ($j = 1, \dots, M_2$), \dots , $f'_{j,d} \in [-\pi, \pi)$ ($j = 1, \dots, M_d$). Set $I := \{(n, 0, \dots, 0) : n \in \mathbb{Z}_N\} \cup \dots \cup \{(0, \dots, n) : n \in \mathbb{Z}_N\}$.

Step 2. Set $F := \{f'_{j,1} : j = 1, \dots, M_1\}$.

Step 3. For $r = 2, \dots, d$ do:

Form the Cartesian product

$$F := F \times \{f'_{j,r} : j = 1, \dots, M_r\} = \{(\mathbf{f}_l^\top, f'_{j,r})^\top : l = 1, \dots, |F|, j = 1, \dots, M_r\}.$$

For $l = 1, \dots, m_r$ do:

For the noisy data

$$\tilde{h}(n, \alpha_{n,1}^{(r)}n + \beta_{n,1}^{(r)}, \dots, \alpha_{n,r-1}^{(r)}n + \beta_{n,r-1}^{(r)}, 0, \dots, 0) \quad (n \in \mathbb{Z}_N),$$

compute the distinct frequencies $G := \{f_j(\boldsymbol{\alpha}_n^{(r)})' \in [-\pi, \pi) (j = 1, \dots, M_r)\}$ by Algorithm 4.1. Form the subset \tilde{F} of F of all those $(f_{n_1,1}, f_{n_2,2}, \dots, f_{n_r,r})^\top \in F$ such that there exists a frequency $f_j(\boldsymbol{\alpha}_n^{(r)})' \in G$ with

$$|f_j(\boldsymbol{\alpha}_n^{(r)})' - (f_{n_1,1} + \alpha_{n,1}^{(r)}f_{n_2,2} + \dots + \alpha_{n,r-1}^{(r)}f_{n_r,r})_{2\pi}| < \varepsilon_1.$$

Set $F := \tilde{F}$ and $I := I \cup \{(n, \alpha_{n,1}^{(r)}n + \beta_{n,1}^{(r)}, \dots, \alpha_{n,r-1}^{(r)}n + \beta_{n,r-1}^{(r)}, 0, \dots, 0) : n \in \mathbb{Z}_N\}$.

Step 4. Compute the least squares solution of the overdetermined linear system

$$\sum_{j=1}^{|F|} \tilde{c}_j e^{i\mathbf{f}_j \cdot \mathbf{n}} = \tilde{h}(\mathbf{n}) \quad (\mathbf{n} \in I) \quad (5.7)$$

for the frequency set F .

Step 5. Form the set $\tilde{F} := \{\tilde{\mathbf{f}}_j : j = 1, \dots, |\tilde{F}|\}$ of all those $\mathbf{f}_k \in F$ ($k = 1, \dots, |F|$) with $|\tilde{c}_k| > \varepsilon_2$.

Step 6. Compute the least squares solution of the overdetermined linear system

$$\sum_{j=1}^{|\tilde{F}|} \tilde{c}_j e^{i\tilde{\mathbf{f}}_j \cdot \mathbf{n}} = \tilde{h}(\mathbf{n}) \quad (\mathbf{n} \in I) \quad (5.8)$$

corresponding to the new frequency set \tilde{F} .

Output: $M := |\tilde{F}| \in \mathbb{N}$, $\tilde{\mathbf{f}}_j \in [-\pi, \pi]^d$, $\tilde{c}_j \in \mathbb{C}$ ($j = 1, \dots, M$).

Remark 5.3 Note that we solve the overdetermined linear systems (5.7) and (5.8) only by using the values $\tilde{h}(\mathbf{n})$ ($\mathbf{n} \in I$), which we have used to determine the frequencies $\tilde{\mathbf{f}}_j$. If more values $\tilde{h}(\mathbf{n})$ are available, clearly one can use further values as well in the final step to ensure a better least squares solvability of the linear systems, see (5.6) for the case $d = 2$ and Corollary 3.3. In addition we mention that there are a variety of possibilities to combine the different dimensions, see e.g. Example 6.4. \square

Remark 5.4 Our method can be interpreted as a reconstruction method for sparse multivariate trigonometric polynomials from few samples, see [14, 12, 30] and the references therein. More precisely, let Π_N^d denote the space of all d -variate trigonometric polynomials of maximal order N . An element $p \in \Pi_N^d$ can be represented in the form

$$p(\mathbf{y}) = \sum_{\mathbf{k} \in \mathbb{Z}_N^d} c_{\mathbf{k}} e^{2\pi i \mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{y}} \quad (\mathbf{y} \in [-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}]^d)$$

with $c_{\mathbf{k}} \in \mathbb{C}$. There exist completely different methods for the reconstruction of “sparse trigonometric polynomials”, i.e., one assumes that the number M of the nonzero coefficients $c_{\mathbf{k}}$ is much smaller than the dimension of Π_N^d . Therefore our method can be used with

$$h(\mathbf{x}) := p\left(\frac{\mathbf{x}}{2N}\right) = \sum_{j=1}^M c_j e^{i\mathbf{f}_j \cdot \mathbf{x}} \quad (\mathbf{x} \in [-N, N]^d),$$

and $\mathbf{x} = 2N\mathbf{y}$ and $\mathbf{f}_j = \pi\mathbf{k}/N$ if $c_{\mathbf{k}} \neq 0$. Using Algorithm 5.2, we find the frequency vectors \mathbf{f}_j and the coefficients c_j and set finally $\mathbf{k} := \text{round}(N\mathbf{f}_j/\pi)$, $c_{\mathbf{k}} := c_j$. By [8] one knows sharp versions of L^2 -norm equivalences for trigonometric polynomials under the assumption that the sampling set contains no holes larger than the inverse polynomial degree, see also [2]. \square

6 Numerical experiments

Finally, we apply the algorithms suggested in Section 5 to various examples. We have implemented our algorithms in MATLAB with IEEE double precision arithmetic. We

compute the relative error of the frequencies given by

$$e(\mathbf{f}) := \max_{l=1,\dots,d} \frac{\max_{j=1,\dots,M} |f_{j,l} - \tilde{f}_{j,l}|}{\max_{j=1,\dots,M} |f_{j,l}|},$$

where $\tilde{f}_{j,l}$ are the frequency components computed by our algorithms. Analogously, the relative error of the coefficients is defined by

$$e(\mathbf{c}) := \frac{\max_{j=1,\dots,M} |c_j - \tilde{c}_j|}{\max_{j=1,\dots,M} |c_j|},$$

where \tilde{c}_j are the coefficients computed by our algorithms. Further we determine the relative error of the exponential sum by

$$e(h) := \frac{\max |h(\mathbf{x}) - \tilde{h}(\mathbf{x})|}{\max |h(\mathbf{x})|},$$

where the maximum is built from approximately 10000 equispaced points from a grid of $[-N, N]^d$, and where

$$\tilde{h}(\mathbf{x}) := \sum_{j=1}^M \tilde{c}_j e^{\tilde{\mathbf{f}}_j \cdot \mathbf{x}}$$

is the exponential sum recovered by our algorithms. We remark that the approximation property of h and \tilde{h} in the uniform norm of the univariate method was shown in [21, Theorem 3.4]. We begin with an example previously considered in [26].

Example 6.1 The bivariate exponential sum (1.1) taken from [26, Example 1] possesses the following parameters

$$(\mathbf{f}_j^\top)_{j=1}^3 = \begin{pmatrix} 0.48\pi & 0.48\pi \\ 0.48\pi & -0.48\pi \\ -0.48\pi & 0.48\pi \end{pmatrix}, \quad (c_j)_{j=1}^3 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

We sample this exponential sum (1.1) at the nodes $h(k, 0)$, $h(0, k)$ and $h(k, \alpha k + \beta)$, ($k \in \mathbb{Z}_N$), where $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{Z}$ are given in Table 6.1. Therefore the number of total sampling points used in our method are only $3(2N + 1)$ or $4(2N + 1)$. Then we apply our Algorithm 5.1 for exact sampled data and for noisy sampled data $\tilde{h}(\mathbf{k}) = h(\mathbf{k}) + 10^{-\delta} e_{\mathbf{k}}$, where $e_{\mathbf{k}}$ is uniformly distributed in $[-1, 1]$. The notation $\delta = \infty$ means that exact data are given. We present the chosen parameters and the results in Table 6.1. We choose the accuracy $\varepsilon_1 = \varepsilon_2$ in Algorithm 5.1 and obtain very precise results even in the case, where the unknown number $M = 3$ is estimated by L . \square

L	N	ε_1	α	β	δ	$e(\mathbf{f})$	$e(\mathbf{c})$	$e(h)$
5	6	10^{-4}	1	0	∞	1.7e-15	5.9e-14	3.2e-13
10	20	10^{-4}	1	0	∞	5.4e-15	4.5e-14	4.5e-14
5	25	10^{-3}	1	0	6	5.6e-09	1.6e-07	2.5e-07
5	25	10^{-3}	1, 2	0, 0	6	1.0e-08	5.9e-07	7.4e-07
5	25	10^{-3}	1	0	5	1.7e-08	1.2e-06	1.3e-06

Table 6.1: Results of Example 6.1.

Example 6.2 We consider the bivariate exponential sum (1.1) with following parameters

$$(\mathbf{f}_j^\top)_{j=1}^8 = \begin{pmatrix} 0.1 & 1.2 \\ 0.19 & 1.3 \\ 0.3 & 1.5 \\ 0.35 & 0.3 \\ -0.1 & 1.2 \\ -0.19 & 0.35 \\ -0.3 & -1.5 \\ -0.3 & 0.3 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (c_j)_{j=1}^8 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 + i \\ 2 + 3i \\ 5 - 6i \\ 0.2 - i \\ 1 + i \\ 2 + 3i \\ 5 - 6i \\ 0.2 - i \end{pmatrix}.$$

For given exact data, the results are presented in Table 6.2. The dash – means that we are not able to reconstruct the signal.

Then we use noisy sampled data $\tilde{h}(\mathbf{k}) = h(\mathbf{k}) + 10^{-\delta} e_{\mathbf{k}}$, where $e_{\mathbf{k}}$ is uniformly distributed in $[-1, 1]$. Instead of predetermined values α and β , we choose these values randomly. We use only one additional line for sampling and present the results in Table 6.3, where $e(\mathbf{f})$, $e(\mathbf{c})$ and $e(h)$ are the averages of 100 runs. Note that in this case we use only $3(2N + 1)$ sampling points for the parameter estimation. \square

L	N	ε_1	α	β	$e(\mathbf{f})$	$e(\mathbf{c})$	$e(h)$
8	15	10^{-4}	1	0	2.7e-09	5.7e-09	3.4e-09
8	15	10^{-4}	1, 2, 3	0, 1, 2	2.7e-09	5.9e-09	3.3e-09
15	30	10^{-4}	1	0	1.4e-13	3.4e-13	6.5e-13
15	30	$2 \cdot 10^{-1}$	1	0	–	–	–
15	30	$2 \cdot 10^{-1}$	1, 2	0, 0	1.4e-13	4.0e-13	6.0e-13
15	80	$2 \cdot 10^{-1}$	1	0	3.5e-15	3.2e-14	7.5e-14

Table 6.2: Results of Example 6.2 with exact data.

L	N	ε_1	δ	$e(\mathbf{f})$	$e(\mathbf{c})$	$e(h)$
8	35	10^{-3}	6	1.4e-06	3.9e-06	5.5e-06
15	30	10^{-3}	6	1.2e-05	3.9e-05	5.3e-05
15	50	10^{-3}	5	4.0e-07	4.1e-06	3.8e-06
15	50	10^{-3}	6	3.8e-08	3.6e-07	3.3e-07

Table 6.3: Results of Example 6.2 with noisy data.

Example 6.3 We consider the trivariate exponential sum (1.1) with following parameters

$$(\mathbf{f}_j^\top)_{j=1}^8 = \begin{pmatrix} 0.1 & 1.2 & 0.1 \\ 0.19 & 1.3 & 0.2 \\ 0.4 & 1.5 & 1.5 \\ 0.45 & 0.3 & -0.3 \\ -0.1 & 1.2 & 0.1 \\ -0.19 & 0.35 & -0.5 \\ -0.4 & -1.5 & 0.25 \\ -0.4 & 0.3 & -0.3 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (c_j)_{j=1}^8 = \begin{pmatrix} 1+i \\ 2+3i \\ 5-6i \\ 0.2-i \\ 1+i \\ 2+3i \\ 5-6i \\ 0.2-i \end{pmatrix}.$$

and present the results in Table 6.4. We use only $5(2N+1)$ or $6(2N+1)$ sampling points for the parameter estimation. \square

L	N	ε_1	$\boldsymbol{\alpha}^{(1)}$	$\boldsymbol{\alpha}^{(2)}$	$\boldsymbol{\beta}^{(1)}$	$\boldsymbol{\beta}^{(2)}$	δ	$e(\mathbf{f})$	$e(\mathbf{c})$	$e(h)$
8	15	10^{-4}	(1)	(1 1)	(0)	(0 0)	∞	1.5e-10	1.7e-10	8.2e-11
8	15	10^{-4}	(1)	(1 1)	(1)	(1 1)	∞	1.5e-10	1.7e-10	8.1e-11
10	30	10^{-3}	(1)	(1 1)	(0)	(0 0)	6	8.7e-07	1.5e-06	2.9e-06
10	30	10^{-3}	(1)	$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$	(0)	$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$	6	7.8e-08	1.1e-06	1.5e-06
10	30	10^{-3}	(1)	$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$	(0)	$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$	5	4.5e-06	1.0e-05	1.6e-05
10	30	10^{-3}	(1)	$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$	(0)	$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$	4	1.2e-05	2.5e-05	5.2e-05

Table 6.4: Results of Example 6.3.

Example 6.4 Now we consider the 4-variate exponential sum (1.1) with following pa-

rameters

$$(\mathbf{f}_j^\top)_{j=1}^8 = \begin{pmatrix} 0.1 & 1.2 & 0.1 & 0.45 \\ 0.19 & 1.3 & 0.2 & 1.5 \\ 0.3 & 1.5 & 1.5 & -1.3 \\ 0.45 & 0.3 & -0.3 & 0.4 \\ -0.1 & 1.2 & 0.1 & -1.5 \\ -0.19 & 0.35 & -0.5 & -0.45 \\ -0.4 & -1.5 & 0.25 & 1.3 \\ -0.4 & 0.3 & -0.3 & 0.4 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (c_j)_{j=1}^8 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 + i \\ 2 + 3i \\ 5 - 6i \\ 0.2 - i \\ 1 + i \\ 2 + 3i \\ 5 - 6i \\ 0.2 - i \end{pmatrix}.$$

Instead of using Algorithm 5.2 directly, we apply the Algorithm 5.1 for the first two variables and then for the last variables with the parameters $\boldsymbol{\alpha}^{(2)}$ and $\boldsymbol{\beta}^{(2)}$. Then we take the tensor product of the obtained two parameter sets and use the additional parameters from $\boldsymbol{\alpha}^{(4)}$ and $\boldsymbol{\beta}^{(4)}$ in order to find a reduced set. Finally we solve the overdetermined linear system. The results are presented in Table 6.5. We use only $7(2N + 1)$ or $10(2N + 1)$ sampling points for the parameter estimation. \square

L	N	ϵ_1	$\boldsymbol{\alpha}^{(2)}$	$\boldsymbol{\alpha}^{(4)}$	$\boldsymbol{\beta}^{(2)}$	$\boldsymbol{\beta}^{(4)}$	δ	$e(\mathbf{f})$	$e(\mathbf{c})$	$e(h)$
8	15	10^{-4}	1	(1 1 1)	0	(0 0 0)	∞	1.7e-10	2.5e-11	1.6e-10
8	15	10^{-4}	1	(1 1 1)	1	(1 1 1)	∞	1.7e-10	2.4e-11	1.6e-10
15	30	10^{-4}	1	(1 1 1)	0	(0 0 0)	∞	1.3e-14	6.4e-15	8.8e-14
15	30	10^{-3}	1	(1 1 1)	0	(0 0 0)	6	1.0e-06	3.2e-07	3.0e-06
15	30	10^{-3}	1	(1 1 1)	0	(0 0 0)	5	1.3e-05	3.4e-06	4.2e-05
15	30	10^{-3}	$\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ -1 & 1 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$	6	1.1e-06	2.7e-07	3.9e-06
15	30	10^{-3}	$\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ -1 & 1 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$	5	8.8e-06	1.9e-06	3.3e-05
15	50	10^{-3}	$\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ -1 & 1 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$	5	4.5e-07	1.2e-07	1.6e-06
15	50	10^{-3}	$\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ -1 & 1 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$	4	8.0e-07	2.4e-07	1.1e-05

Table 6.5: Results of Example 6.4.

Acknowledgment

The authors thank Franziska Nestler for the numerical experiments. The first named author gratefully acknowledges the support by the German Research Foundation within the project PO 711/10-1.

References

- [1] F. Andersson, M. Carlsson, and M.V. de Hoop. Nonlinear approximation of functions in two dimensions by sums of exponential functions. *Appl. Comput. Harmon. Anal.* 29:156 – 181, 2010.

- [2] R. F. Bass and K. Gröchenig. Random sampling of multivariate trigonometric polynomials. *SIAM J. Math. Anal.* 36:773 – 795, 2004.
- [3] G. Beylkin and L. Monzón. On approximations of functions by exponential sums. *Appl. Comput. Harmon. Anal.* 19:17 – 48, 2005.
- [4] D. Braess. *Nonlinear Approximation Theory*. Springer, Berlin, 1986.
- [5] O. Christensen. *An Introduction to Frames and Riesz Bases*. Birkhäuser, Boston, 2003.
- [6] P.L. Dragotti, M. Vetterli, and T. Blu. Sampling moments and reconstructing signals of finite rate of innovation: Shannon meets Strang–Fix. *IEEE Trans. Signal Process.* 55:1741 – 1757, 2007.
- [7] G.H. Golub and C.F. Van Loan. *Matrix Computations*. 3rd ed. Johns Hopkins Univ. Press, Baltimore, 1996.
- [8] K. Gröchenig. Reconstruction algorithms in irregular sampling. *Math. Comput.* 59:181 – 194, 1992.
- [9] N.J. Higham. *Functions of Matrices: Theory and Computation*. SIAM, Philadelphia, 2008.
- [10] Y. Hua and T.K. Sarkar. Matrix pencil method for estimating parameters of exponentially damped/undamped sinusoids in noise. *IEEE Trans. Acoust. Speech Signal Process.* 38:814 – 824, 1990.
- [11] A.E. Ingham. Some trigonometrical inequalities with applications to the theory of series. *Math. Z.* 41:367 – 379, 1936.
- [12] M.A. Iwen. Combinatorial sublinear–time Fourier algorithms. *Found. Comput. Math.*, 10:303–338, 2010.
- [13] J. Keiner, S. Kunis, and D. Potts. Using NFFT3 - a software library for various nonequispaced fast Fourier transforms. *ACM Trans. Math. Software* 36:Article 19, 1 – 30, 2009.
- [14] S. Kunis and H. Rauhut. Random sampling of sparse trigonometric polynomials II, Orthogonal matching pursuit versus basis pursuit. *Found. Comput. Math.* 8:737 – 763, 2008.
- [15] V. Komornik and P. Loreti. *Fourier Series in Control Theory*. Springer, New York, 2005.
- [16] V. Komornik and P. Loreti. Semi–discrete Ingham–type inequalities. *Appl. Math. Optim.* 55:203 – 218, 2007.
- [17] A.M. Lindner. A universal constant for exponential Riesz sequences. *Z. Anal. Anw.* 19:553 – 559, 2000.

- [18] J. M. Papy, L. De Lathauwer, and S. Van Huffel. Exponential data fitting using multilinear algebra: the decimative case. *J. Chemometrics* 23:341 – 351, 2004.
- [19] V. Pereyra and G. Scherer. Exponential data fitting. In V. Pereyra and G. Scherer, editors. *Exponential Data Fitting and its Applications*, pp. 1 – 26. Bentham Science Publ., 2010.
- [20] V. Pereyra and G. Scherer. *Exponential Data Fitting and its Applications*. Bentham Science Publ., 2010.
- [21] T. Peter, D. Potts, and M. Tasche. Nonlinear approximation by sums of exponentials and translates. *SIAM J. Sci. Comput.* 33:1920 – 1947, 2011.
- [22] D. Potts and M. Tasche. Numerical stability of nonequispaced fast Fourier transforms. *J. Comput. Appl. Math.* 222:655 – 674, 2008.
- [23] D. Potts and M. Tasche. Parameter estimation for exponential sums by approximate Prony method. *Signal Process.* 90:1631 – 1642, 2010.
- [24] R. Roy and T. Kailath. ESPRIT–estimation of signal parameters via rotational invariance techniques. *IEEE Trans. Acoustic Speech Signal Process.* 37:984 – 994, 1989.
- [25] R. Roy and T. Kailath. ESPRIT–estimation of signal parameters via rotational invariance techniques. In L. Auslander, F.A. Grünbaum, J.W. Helton, T. Kailath, P. Khargoneka, S. Mitter, editors. *Signal Processing, Part II: Control Theory and Applications*, pp. 369 – 411. Springer, New York, 1990.
- [26] J.J. Sacchini, W.M. Steedy and R.L. Moses. Two–dimensional Prony modeling parameter estimation. *IEEE Trans. Signal Process.* 41:3127 – 3137, 1993.
- [27] T. K. Sarkar and O. Pereira. Using the matrix pencil method to estimate the parameters of a sum of complex exponentials. *IEEE Antenn. and Propag. M.* 37:48 – 55, 1995.
- [28] P. Shukla and P.L. Dragotti. Sampling schemes for multidimensional signals with finite rate of innovation. *IEEE Trans. Signal Process.* 55:3670 – 3686, 2007.
- [29] M. Vetterli, P. Marziliano, and T. Blu. Sampling signals with finite rate of innovation. *IEEE Trans. Signal Process.* 50:1417 – 1428, 2002.
- [30] Z. Xu. Deterministic sampling of sparse trigonometric polynomials. *J. Complexity* 27:133 – 140, 2011.