

On the behaviour of power series in the absence  
of Hadamard-Ostrowski gaps  
Sur le comportement des séries entières en  
l'absence de lacunes de Hadamard-Ostrowski\*

Thomas Kalmes, Jürgen Müller, and Markus Nieß

**Abstract**

We show that the partial sums  $(S_n f)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  of a power series  $f$  with radius of convergence one tend to  $\infty$  in capacity on (arbitrarily large) compact subsets of the complement of the closed unit disk, if  $f$  does not have so-called Hadamard-Ostrowski gaps. Regarding a recent result of Gardiner, this covers a large class of functions  $f$  holomorphic in the unit disk.

Nous montrons que les sommes partielles  $(S_n f)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  d'une série entière  $f$  de rayon de convergence 1 tendent vers  $\infty$  en capacité sur les ensembles compacts (arbitrairement grands) du complémentaire du disque unité fermé, si  $f$  ne contient pas de lacunes de Hadamard-Ostrowski. Tenant compte d'un résultat récent de Gardiner, ceci couvre une grande classe de fonctions  $f$  holomorphes sur le disque unité.

**Key words.** Convergence in capacity, Hadamard-Ostrowski gaps.

*2010 Mathematics subject classification.* Primary: 40C15, Secondary: 30B10

## 1 Introduction and main result

For a power series  $f(z) = \sum_{\nu=0}^{\infty} a_{\nu} z^{\nu}$  with radius of convergence one, we denote the partial sums by

$$S_n f(z) = \sum_{\nu=0}^n a_{\nu} z^{\nu} .$$

---

\*©2013. This manuscript version is made available under the CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 license <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>  
Published in: Comptes Rendus Mathématique. Académie des Sciences. Paris, Volume 351, Issue 7-8, Pages 255–259  
DOI: 10.1016/j.crma.2013.04.012  
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.crma.2013.04.012>

Then  $(S_n f)(z_0)$  is unbounded at each point  $z_0$  with  $|z_0| > 1$ . More precisely, we have

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} |S_n f(z_0)|^{1/n} = |z_0| \quad (1)$$

and, for  $R \geq 1$ ,

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \max_{|z|=R} |S_n f(z)|^{1/n} = R. \quad (2)$$

This does not prevent subsequences of  $(S_n f)$  from being convergent, even on large subsets of the plane. Indeed, it is well-known (see e.g. the expository articles [6] and [8]) that there exist functions  $f$  holomorphic in the unit disk  $\mathbb{D}$  having the property that the sequence  $(S_n f)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is universal outside  $\mathbb{D}$  in the sense that for each compact set  $K \subset \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{D}$  with connected complement and each continuous function  $h : K \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  which is holomorphic in the interior of  $K$ , there is a subsequence of  $(S_n f)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  tending to  $h$  uniformly on  $K$ .

It turns out that for such power series the sequence of coefficients  $(a_\nu)_{\nu \in \mathbb{N}_0}$  necessarily exhibits a strong kind of irregularity in the sense of having so-called Ostrowski gaps (see [5], [10], [12]). In contrast, if the sequence  $(a_\nu)_{\nu \in \mathbb{N}_0}$  behaves regularly, as for example in the case of the geometric series  $f(z) = \sum_{\nu=0}^{\infty} z^\nu$ , the partial sums  $(S_n f(z))_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  tend to be attracted by  $\infty$  for  $z$  outside the closed unit disk. Our aim is to show that, for a reasonable class of power series, this turns out to be true in a certain sense.

In the sequel, we use the term capacity for logarithmic capacity. For unexplained notions from potential theory see [13].

**Definition 1.1** A sequence  $(h_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  of Borel-measurable functions is said to *converge in capacity* to  $\infty$  on a set  $D \subset \mathbb{C}$ , if for every  $M > 0$  we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \text{cap}(\{z \in D : |h_n(z)| \leq M\}) = 0.$$

Furthermore, if  $D$  is open,  $(h_n)$  is said to *converge locally in capacity* on  $D$  to  $\infty$ , if the sequence converges in capacity to  $\infty$  on every (non-polar) compact subset of  $D$ .

This definition is in accordance with the definition of convergence in capacity to finite limit functions, as considered e.g. in [9]. The notion of convergence in capacity is well-known in Padé approximation (see, e.g. [1, Section 6.6]). Since  $\text{cap}(K) \geq \sqrt{|K|/\pi}$ , where  $|K|$  denotes the area of a compact plane set  $K$ , convergence in capacity implies convergence in (plane Lebesgue) measure.

**Definition 1.2** Let  $f(z) = \sum_{\nu=0}^{\infty} a_\nu z^\nu$  have radius of convergence one. We say that  $f$  possesses *Hadamard-Ostrowski gaps* if there are sequences  $(p_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  and  $(q_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  in  $\mathbb{N}$  with  $p_1 < q_1 \leq p_2 < q_2 \leq \dots$ , such that

1.  $\liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{q_k}{p_k} > 1$ ,
2.  $\limsup_{I \ni \nu \rightarrow \infty} |a_\nu|^{1/\nu} < 1$  for  $I := \bigcup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \{p_k + 1, p_k + 2, \dots, q_k - 1\}$ .

**Remark 1.3** A recent result of Gardiner [3, Cor. 3] states that a power series  $f(z) = \sum_{\nu=0}^{\infty} a_{\nu} z^{\nu}$  which converges on  $\mathbb{D}$  and is analytically continuable to a domain  $\Omega \supset \mathbb{D}$ , but not to a neighbourhood of a given point  $\xi \in \partial\mathbb{D}$ , has no Hadamard-Ostrowski gaps, if  $\mathbb{C} \setminus \Omega$  is thin at  $\xi$ . In particular, it follows that all functions holomorphic in  $\mathbb{D}$  which have an isolated singularity at some point  $\xi$  on the boundary of  $\mathbb{D}$  do not have Hadamard-Ostrowski gaps. It is easily seen that the same is true for all (repeated) antiderivatives of such functions. Thus, for a large class of functions holomorphic in  $\mathbb{D}$  this turns out to be the case.

Our main result is the following. The proof is given in the next section.

**Theorem 1.4** *Let  $f(z) = \sum_{\nu=0}^{\infty} a_{\nu} z^{\nu}$  be a power series with radius of convergence one and without Hadamard-Ostrowski gaps. Then  $S_n f \rightarrow \infty$  locally in capacity on  $\mathbb{C} \setminus \overline{\mathbb{D}}$ .*

Under the conditions of the theorem,  $S_n f$  does not need to tend to  $\infty$  (globally) in capacity on any open annulus  $\{z: 1 < |z| < R\}$ , where  $R > 1$ . As an example, on the semi-circular arcs

$$B_n := \{z \in \mathbb{C}: \operatorname{Re} z \leq 0, |z| = 1 + 1/n\}$$

the partial sums  $S_n f(z) = (z^{n+1} - 1)(z - 1)^{-1}$  of the geometric series  $f$  are uniformly bounded, more precisely

$$\max_{z \in B_n} |S_n f(z)| \leq 5/\sqrt{2},$$

while  $\operatorname{cap}(B_n) = (1 + 1/n)\operatorname{cap}(B) \geq \operatorname{cap}(B)$ , where  $B$  is the corresponding semi-circular arc on the unit circle. This also shows that pointwise convergence to  $\infty$  on the annulus does not imply convergence in capacity there.

**Remark 1.5** Let  $h_n$  be a sequence of meromorphic functions in the plane. According to [11, Thm. 2], convergence in capacity of  $h_n \rightarrow h$  on a bounded set  $D \subset \mathbb{C}$  implies that there exists a subsequence  $(n_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  in  $\mathbb{N}$  such that  $h_{n_k} \rightarrow h$  quasi-everywhere on  $D$ , i.e.

$$\operatorname{cap}(\{z \in D: h_{n_k}(z) \not\rightarrow h(z)\}) = 0.$$

**Corollary 1.6** *Let  $f(z) = \sum_{\nu=0}^{\infty} a_{\nu} z^{\nu}$  be a power series with radius of convergence one and without Hadamard-Ostrowski gaps. Then every subsequence  $(S_{n_k} f)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  of  $(S_n f)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  contains a subsequence  $(S_{n_{k_j}} f)_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$  with  $1/S_{n_{k_j}} f \rightarrow 0$  quasi-everywhere on  $\mathbb{C} \setminus \overline{\mathbb{D}}$ .*

The proof follows with a standard diagonal sequence argument from Theorem 1.4:

The annuli  $K_l := \{z: 1 + 1/l \leq |z| \leq 1 + l\}$  ( $l \in \mathbb{N}$ ) form a compact exhaustion of  $\mathbb{C} \setminus \overline{\mathbb{D}}$ . Let  $(S_{n_k} f)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  be any subsequence of  $(S_n f)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ . By Theorem 1.4 and Remark 1.5, there exists a subsequence  $(n_k^{(1)})_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  of  $(n_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  such that

$$1/S_{n_k^{(1)}} f \rightarrow 0 \text{ quasi-everywhere on } K_1.$$

Proceeding in this way, there exists a subsequence  $(n_k^{(2)})_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  of  $(n_k^{(1)})_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  such that

$$1/S_{n_k^{(2)}} f \rightarrow 0 \text{ quasi-everywhere on } K_2,$$

and so on. The diagonal sequence  $(n_k^{(k)})_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  is a subsequence of  $(n_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  for which  $\text{cap}(\{z \in K_l : 1/S_{n_k^{(k)}} f \not\rightarrow 0\}) = 0$  for all  $l \in \mathbb{N}$ . Since countable unions of polar sets are polar, this implies  $\text{cap}(\{|z| > 1 : 1/S_{n_k^{(k)}} f \not\rightarrow 0\}) = 0$ .

## 2 Proof of the main theorem

For  $r > 1$  we set  $\mathbb{D}_r := \{\zeta \in \mathbb{C} : |\zeta| < r\}$ . The following purely potential theoretic result will play the key role for our argumentation.

**Lemma 2.1** *Suppose that  $(u_j)$  is a sequence of upper bounded and subharmonic functions on  $\mathbb{D}$ . If*

$$\limsup_{j \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{\mathbb{D}} u_j \leq 0$$

*and if there is a sequence  $(E_j)$  of compact subsets of  $\mathbb{D}_\delta$  for some  $\delta < 1$  with  $\text{cap}(E_j) \geq \alpha > 0$  and*

$$\beta := \limsup_{j \rightarrow \infty} \max_{E_j} u_j < 0$$

*then, for all  $r \in (\delta, 1)$ , a positive constant  $c(\delta, r)$  exists so that*

$$\limsup_{j \rightarrow \infty} \max_{\mathbb{D}_r} u_j \leq \beta \frac{c(\delta, r)}{\log(1/\alpha)}.$$

**Proof.** In view of the maximum principle (for subharmonic functions) we may suppose that the sets  $\mathbb{C} \setminus E_j$  are connected. Let  $\varepsilon > 0$  be fixed. Then there exists an integer  $j_0 = j_0(\varepsilon)$  with

$$\sup_{\mathbb{D}} u_j < \varepsilon \quad \text{and} \quad \max_{E_j} u_j < \beta + \varepsilon \quad (j \geq j_0).$$

Let  $\omega_{\mathbb{D} \setminus E_j}$  denote the harmonic measure of  $\mathbb{D} \setminus E_j$ . According to the two-constant-theorem (see, e.g. [13, Theorem 4.3.7]) we get, for  $\zeta \in \mathbb{D} \setminus E_j$  and  $j \geq j_0$ ,

$$u_j(\zeta) \leq (\beta + \varepsilon) \omega_{\mathbb{D} \setminus E_j}(\zeta, E_j) + \varepsilon(1 - \omega_{\mathbb{D} \setminus E_j}(\zeta, E_j)) = \beta \omega_{\mathbb{D} \setminus E_j}(\zeta, E_j) + \varepsilon.$$

Moreover, from a result in [4, p.123], we obtain the existence of a positive constant  $c(\delta, r)$  with

$$\max_{|\zeta|=r} \omega_{\mathbb{D} \setminus E_j}(\zeta, E_j) \geq \frac{c(\delta, r)}{\log(1/\text{cap}(E_j))} \geq \frac{c(\delta, r)}{\log(1/\alpha)}$$

and thus the maximum principle yields

$$\sup_{\mathbb{D}_r} u_j \leq \beta \frac{c(\delta, r)}{\log(1/\alpha)} + \varepsilon \quad (j > j_0).$$

Since  $\varepsilon > 0$  was arbitrary, the conclusion follows.  $\square$

Now, we are prepared for the *Proof of Theorem 1.4*:

1. We show in a first step that  $S_n f(1/\zeta) \rightarrow \infty$  locally in capacity on  $\mathbb{D}$ .

For this purpose, let  $f(z) = \sum_{\nu=0}^{\infty} a_{\nu} z^{\nu}$  be a power series with radius of convergence one. Assume that  $(\zeta \mapsto S_n f(1/\zeta))_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  does not tend to  $\infty$  in capacity on a non-polar compact set  $E \subset \mathbb{D}$ . We have to show that  $f$  has Hadamard-Ostrowski gaps.

By assumption, there exist  $M > 0$ ,  $\alpha > 0$ , and a sequence  $(n_j)_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$  in  $\mathbb{N}$  tending to infinity such that the compact sets

$$E_j := \{\zeta \in E : |S_{n_j} f(1/\zeta)| \leq M\} \quad (j \in \mathbb{N})$$

all satisfy  $\text{cap}(E_j) \geq \alpha$ . We define

$$u_j(\zeta) := \log |S_{n_j} f(1/\zeta) \zeta^{n_j}|^{1/n_j} = \log |\zeta| + \frac{1}{n_j} \log |S_{n_j} f(1/\zeta)| \quad (\zeta \in \mathbb{D}).$$

Then the  $u_j$  are subharmonic in  $\mathbb{C}$  (note that  $S_n f(1/\zeta) \zeta^n$  is a polynomial in  $\zeta$ ). Moreover, (2) implies

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \max_{|\zeta|=1} |S_n f(1/\zeta)|^{1/n} = 1$$

and therefore (by the maximum principle)

$$\limsup_{j \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{\mathbb{D}} u_j \leq 0.$$

Since  $E_j \subset E \subset \mathbb{D}_{\delta}$  for some  $\delta < 1$ , we further obtain

$$\max_{E_j} u_j \leq \log(\delta) + \frac{1}{n_j} \log M$$

and thus

$$\limsup_{j \rightarrow \infty} \max_{E_j} u_j \leq \log(\delta) < 0. \quad (3)$$

Since  $\text{cap}(E_j) \geq \alpha$ , Lemma 2.1 yields

$$\limsup_{j \rightarrow \infty} \max_{\mathbb{D}_r} u_j \leq \log(\delta) \frac{c(\delta, r)}{\log(1/\alpha)} < 0$$

for  $\delta < r < 1$  (note that  $\alpha \leq \text{cap}(E_j) \leq \text{cap}(\mathbb{D}_{\delta}) = \delta < 1$ ). If we fix such an  $r \in (\delta, 1)$ , for  $R := 1/r \in (1, 1/\delta)$  this estimate implies

$$\limsup_{j \rightarrow \infty} \max_{|z|=R} |S_{n_j} f(z)|^{1/n_j} < R.$$

Hence, there is  $\gamma < 1$  so that

$$\max_{|z|=R} |S_{n_j} f(z)| < (\gamma R)^{n_j}$$

for  $j$  sufficiently large. For every  $\nu \leq n_j$ , Cauchy's formula implies

$$|a_\nu|^{\frac{1}{\nu}} = \left| \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{|z|=R} \frac{S_{n_j} f(z)}{z^{\nu+1}} dz \right|^{\frac{1}{\nu}} \leq \gamma^{\frac{n_j}{\nu}} \cdot R^{\frac{n_j}{\nu}-1} \leq \gamma \cdot R^{\frac{n_j}{\nu}-1}.$$

Now, if  $q \in (0, 1)$  is arbitrary and  $qn_j \leq \nu \leq n_j$ , we obtain

$$|a_\nu|^{\frac{1}{\nu}} \leq \gamma \cdot R^{\frac{1}{q}-1},$$

and hence

$$\limsup_{j \rightarrow \infty} \max_{qn_j \leq \nu \leq n_j} |a_\nu|^{\frac{1}{\nu}} \leq \gamma \cdot R^{\frac{1}{q}-1}.$$

Finally, we can choose  $q < 1$  close enough to 1 so that  $\gamma R^{\frac{1}{q}-1} < 1$  which gives

$$\limsup_{j \rightarrow \infty} \max_{qn_j \leq \nu \leq n_j} |a_\nu|^{\frac{1}{\nu}} < 1.$$

This implies that  $f$  has Hadamard-Ostrowski gaps.

2. From [13, Theorem 5.3.1] it is easily seen that convergence in capacity is preserved under bi-Lipschitz mappings: Let  $K \subset \mathbb{C}$  be a compact set and  $\varphi : K \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  bi-Lipschitz. For any sequence  $(h_n)$  of Borel-measurable functions on  $\varphi(K)$  we have  $h_n \rightarrow \infty$  in capacity on  $\varphi(K)$  if and only if  $h_n \circ \varphi \rightarrow \infty$  in capacity on  $K$ . If we apply this for arbitrary compact subsets  $K$  of  $\mathbb{C} \setminus \overline{\mathbb{D}}$  and  $\varphi(z) = 1/z$  on  $K$ , the conclusion follows from the first part of the proof with  $h_n(\zeta) = S_n f(1/\zeta)$ .  $\square$

### 3 Further Remarks

1. A closer inspection of the proof of our main theorem shows that the existence of a sequence of compact subsets  $K_j$  of an annulus  $\{z : R \leq |z| \leq S\}$ , where  $1 < R \leq S$ , with  $\inf_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \text{cap}(K_j) > 0$  and

$$\limsup_{j \rightarrow \infty} \max_{z \in K_j} \frac{1}{|z|} |S_{n_j} f(z)|^{1/n_j} < 1 \tag{4}$$

implies that  $f$  has Hadamard-Ostrowski gaps (in this case we still have

$$\limsup_{j \rightarrow \infty} \max_{E_j} u_j < 0$$

in the estimate (3), where again  $E_j := 1/K_j$ ). In particular, (4) is satisfied if

$$\limsup_{j \rightarrow \infty} \max_{z \in K_j} |S_{n_j} f(z)|^{1/n_j} < R.$$

With regard to (1) and (2), this means that the subsequence  $(S_{n_j})$  has a reduced growth compared to the full sequence on the compact sets  $K_j$ .

2. Consider a power series  $f$  of radius of convergence one and being analytically continuable to a domain  $\Omega$  strictly larger than  $\mathbb{D}$ . From a classical result of Ostrowski on overconvergence (see e.g. [7, Thm. 16.7.1]), it follows that the conclusion of Corollary 1.6 does no longer hold if  $f$  has Hadamard-Ostrowski gaps.

More precisely, let  $\xi \in \Omega \cap \partial\mathbb{D}$ . If  $(p_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  and  $(q_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  are as in Definition 1.2, the subsequence  $(S_{p_k} f)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  converges uniformly on some open disk  $U$  with centre  $\xi$  (to the continuation of  $f$ ). Thus, in particular, no subsequence of  $(S_{p_k} f)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  can tend to infinity quasi-everywhere on  $U \setminus \bar{\mathbb{D}}$ .

In contrast, there exist  $f$  having  $\partial\mathbb{D}$  as its natural boundary and having Hadamard-Ostrowski gaps so that  $(S_n f)(z) \rightarrow \infty$  for all  $|z| > 1$ . A simple example is given by the gap series

$$f(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} z^{2^k},$$

where one may choose  $p_k = 2^k$  and  $q_k = p_{k+1}$ .

3. In [2] it was shown that, for functions  $f$  having no Hadamard-Ostrowski gaps, pointwise (finite) limit functions of  $(S_n f)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  on sets  $E \subset \mathbb{C} \setminus \bar{\mathbb{D}}$  can only exist if  $E$  is polar. This also appears now as consequence of Corollary 1.6.

4. Let  $H_0$  denote the space of all functions holomorphic in the punctured sphere  $\mathbb{C}_\infty \setminus \{1\}$  (where  $\mathbb{C}_\infty := \mathbb{C} \cup \{\infty\}$ ) and vanishing at infinity. From the result of Gardiner mentioned in Remark 1.3, it follows that functions in  $H_0 \setminus \{0\}$  do not have Hadamard-Ostrowski gaps. According to 3., finite limit functions can only exist on polar sets  $E \subset \mathbb{C} \setminus \bar{\mathbb{D}}$ . As is shown by the geometric series  $f$ , finite limit functions may exist on sets of positive capacity on the boundary  $\partial\mathbb{D}$  of the unit disk. Indeed, if  $K \subset \partial\mathbb{D} \setminus \{1\}$  is a Dirichlet set (see e.g. [8]), then a subsequence of

$$S_n f(z) = (z^{n+1} - 1)(z - 1)^{-1}$$

tends to 0 uniformly on  $K$ . It is known that Dirichlet sets of Hausdorff dimension 1 exist. Polar sets, however, necessarily have vanishing Hausdorff dimension.

On the other hand, from a result of Melas [9], it easily follows that for all countable sets  $E \subset \mathbb{C} \setminus \bar{\mathbb{D}}$  there is a residual set in  $H_0$  (where  $H_0$  is endowed with the topology of locally uniform convergence) consisting of functions which are universal on  $E$  in the sense that for each function  $h: E \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  a subsequence of  $(S_n f)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  tends to  $h$  pointwise on  $E$ . Moreover, [2, Thm. 2] shows that there is a residual set of functions in  $H_0$  so that  $\{S_n f: n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  is (uniformly) dense in the space  $C(K)$  of continuous functions on  $K$  for "many" perfect sets  $K \subset \mathbb{C} \setminus \bar{\mathbb{D}}$ . This shows in particular that, for a residual set of functions in  $H_0$ , uncountable exceptional sets  $E \subset \mathbb{C} \setminus \bar{\mathbb{D}}$  exist on which the sequence  $(S_n f)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  turns out to be far away from tending pointwise to  $\infty$ .

## References

- [1] G.A. Baker, P. Graves-Morris, *Padé Approximants*, 2nd ed., Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1996.
- [2] P. Beise, T. Meyrath, J. Müller, Universality properties of Taylor series inside the domain of holomorphy, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **383** (2011), 234-238.
- [3] S.J. Gardiner, Existence of universal Taylor series for nonsimply connected domains, *Constr. Approx.* **35** (2012), 245-257.
- [4] J.B. Garnett, D.E. Marshall, *Harmonic Measure*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2005.
- [5] W. Gehlen, W. Luh, J. Müller, On the existence of O-universal functions, *Complex Variables* **41** (2000), 81-90.
- [6] K.G. Grosse-Erdmann, Universal families and hypercyclic operators, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. (N.S)* **36** (1999), 345-381.
- [7] E. Hille, *Analytic Function Theory, Vol. II*, 2nd Edition, Chelsea, New York, 1987.
- [8] J.P. Kahane, Baire's category theorem and trigonometric series *J. Anal. Math.* **80** (2000), 143-182.
- [9] A. Melas, Universal functions on nonsimply connected domains, *Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble)* **51** (2001), 1539-1551.
- [10] A. Melas, V. Nestoridis, Universality of Taylor series as a generic property of holomorphic functions, *Adv. Math.* **157** (2001) 138-176.
- [11] B. Meyer, On convergence in capacity, *Bull. Austral. Math. Soc.* **14** (1976), 1-5.
- [12] J. Müller, V. Vlachou, A. Yavrian, Universal overconvergence and Ostrowski-gaps, *Bull. London Math. Soc.* **38** (2006), 597-606.
- [13] T. Ransford, *Potential Theory in the Complex Plane*, Cambridge University Press (1995).

T. KALMES, FACULTY OF MATHEMATICS, CHEMNITZ TECHNICAL UNIVERSITY,  
09107 CHEMNITZ, GERMANY  
*E-mail address:* thomas.kalmes@math.tu-chemnitz.de

J. MÜLLER, UNIVERSITY OF TRIER, FB IV, MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITÄTSRING,  
54286 TRIER, GERMANY  
*E-mail address:* jmueller@uni-trier.de

M. NIESS, CHRISTIAN-ALBRECHTS-UNIVERSITY KIEL, LUDEWIG-MEYN-STR. 4,  
24118 KIEL, GERMANY  
*E-mail address:* niess@math.uni-kiel.de